

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

SIPCO LLC, and
IP CO., LLC (d/b/a INTUS IQ)
Plaintiffs,

v.

EMERSON ELECTRIC CO., EMERSON
PROCESS MANAGMENT LLLP,
FISHER-ROSEMOUNT SYSTEMS, INC.,
ROSEMOUNT INC., BP PLC, BP
AMERICA, INC., and BP AMERICA
PRODUCTION COMPANY
Emerson Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 6:15-CV-907-JRG-KNM

EMERSON ELECTRIC CO., EMERSON PROCESS MANAGEMENT LLLP, FISHER-ROSEMOUNT SYSTEMS, INC., AND ROSEMOUNT INC.’S AMENDED ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendants Emerson Electric Co., Emerson Process Management LLLP, Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc., and Rosemount Inc., (collectively, “Emerson Defendants”), amended their answer the First Amended Complaint of Plaintiffs SIPCO, LLC (“SIPCO”) and IP CO, LLC (d/b/a/INTUS IQ) (“IP CO”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), as follows in the correspondingly numbered paragraphs:

1. Admitted.
2. Admitted.
3. Emerson Defendants admit that Emerson Electric Co. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

4. Emerson Defendants admit that Emerson Process Management LLLP is indirectly a wholly owned subsidiary of Emerson Electric Co., and is organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

5. Admitted.

6. Admitted.

7. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

8. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

9. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

10. Admitted that, in general, claims for patent infringement arise under the cited statutes; denied that the Complaint actually asserts a claim for patent infringement under the cited statutes.

11. Admitted.

12. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

13. Denied.

14. Denied.

15. Denied.

16. Admitted.

17. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

18. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the '492 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

19. Denied.

20. Admitted.

21. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

22. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the '692 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

23. Denied.

24. Admitted.

25. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

26. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the existence of the '893 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

27. Denied.

28. Admitted.

29. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

30. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the existence of the '516 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

31. Denied.

32. Admitted.

33. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

34. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the '661 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

35. Denied.

36. Admitted.

37. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

38. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the '314 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

39. Denied.

40. Admitted.

41. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

42. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the existence of the '471 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

43. Denied.

44. Admitted.

45. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

46. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the existence of the '496 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

47. Denied.

48. Admitted.

49. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

50. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the existence of the '780 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

51. Denied.

52. Admitted.

53. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

54. Denied.

55. Denied.

56. Admitted.

57. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

58. Emerson Defendants admit knowledge of the existence of the '732 patent before the filing of the Complaint and deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph.

59. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

60. Emerson Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny them.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.