

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. a  
United Kingdom Limited Company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VALVE CORPORATION, a  
Washington Corporation,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

1:15-cv-04219-TWT

**PLAINTIFF IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD.'S OPENING CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND OF PATENTS .....	2
III. STATEMENT OF LAW .....	4
IV. DISCUSSION .....	5
A. Disputed Terms Present in All Patents.....	6
1. “Elongate Member” .....	6
B. The ‘525 Patent .....	10
1. “elongate member” ... “is inherently resilient and flexible” .....	10
2. “substantially the full distance between the top edge and the bottom edge” .....	12
C. The ‘770 Patent .....	14
1. “a first/second distance between the top edge and the bottom edge” .....	14
2. “substantially all” of the first/second distance.....	16
3. “the medial portion is closer to the top edge than a distal end of each of the first handle and second handle” .....	16
D. The ‘688 Patent .....	18
1. Claim 1: “the case being shaped to be held in both hands of a user such that the user’s thumbs are positioned to operate controls located on the top of the case and the user's index fingers are positioned to operate controls located on the front end of the case; wherein the games controller further comprises at least one first additional control located on a back of the case in a position operable by a middle, ring or little finger of the user.” .....	18

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
2. Claim 30: “the case being shaped to be held in both hands of a user such that the user's thumbs are positioned to operate controls located on the top of the case and the user's index fingers are positioned to operate controls located on the front end of the case, the control actuator being mountable on a base of the games controller in a position operable by a middle, ring or little finger of the user .....	18
E. The ‘688 Patent and the ‘229 Patent .....	22
1. “conduit” .....	22
2. “formed from material having a thickness” .....	24
V. CONCLUSION .....	26

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## CASES

<i>Andrew Corp. v. Gabriel Elecs., Inc.</i> , 847 F.2d 819 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	16
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> , 932 F.Supp.2d 1076 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2013) .....	15, 19
<i>Deere &amp; Co. v. Bush Hog, LLC</i> , 703 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	15
<i>Energizer Holdings, Inc. v. International Trade Com'n</i> , 435 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	24
<i>In re Moore</i> , 58 C.C.P.A. 1042, 439 F.2d 1232 (1971) .....	23
<i>Lighting Ballast Control LLC v. Philips Elecs. N. Am. Corp.</i> , 790 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	5
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir.), aff'd, 517 U.S. 370 (1995) .....	4
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120, 189 L.Ed.2d 37 (2014) .....	23
<i>On-Line Techs., Inc. v. Bo-denseewerk Perkin-Elmer GmbH</i> 386 F.3d 1133, 1138–39 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	5
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	4
<i>Seattle Box Co. v. Indus. Crating &amp; Packing, Inc.</i> , 731 F.2d 818 (Fed. Cir. 1984) .....	15, 16
<i>Specialty Composites v. Cabot Corp.</i> , 845 F.2d 981 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	31
<i>Starhome GmbH v. AT&amp;T Mobility LLC</i> , 743 F.3d 849 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	5
<i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficosa N. Am. Corp.</i> , 299 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	5
<i>Trs. of Columbia Univ. v. Syman-tec Corp.</i> 811 F.3d 1359, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	5
<i>Valve Corporation v. Ironburg Inventions Ltd.</i> , IPR2016-00948, Paper 10, at 14 (Sept. 27, 2016) .....	13

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES  
(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Verve, LLC v. Crane Cams, Inc.</i> , 311 F.3d 1116 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	15
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....	4
<i>Wonderland Nurserygoods Co., Ltd. v. KidsII, Inc.</i> , 2014 WL 4071809, Civil Action File No. 1:13–CV–1114–TWT, *1 (N.D. Ga. Aug. 18, 2014) .....	18

**STATUTES**

PARKS IP LAW .....	32
--------------------	----

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

Joint Claim Construction Statement, D.I. 64 (Nov. 22, 2016) .....	6
Joint Statement, Ex. 1 .....	6
Menell, Peter S., et al., 25 Berkeley Tech. L.J 711, 732 (2010).....	9
U.S. Patent Nos. 8,641,525 .....	passim

**RULES**

LPR 6.5 .....	1
---------------	---

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.