

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
CASE NO: 9:19-cv-81160-RS

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORELLIUM, LLC,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**CORELLIUM, LLC'S *EXPEDITED MOTION* FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND  
INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Defendant, Corellium, LLC (“Corellium”), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby moves on an expedited basis under Local Rules 7.1(c)(2) and (d)(2) for leave to move for partial summary judgment against the claim for violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1201(b) as set forth in the Fourth Claim for Relief in the First Amended Complaint of Plaintiff, Apple Inc. The proposed Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Incorporated Memorandum of Law and Statement of Material Facts is submitted herewith as Exhibit A (“Proposed Motion”). As further set forth in paragraph 1 below, Corellium requests that the Court set an expedited schedule for a response to this Motion for Leave to File, so that the Court may have sufficient time to consider the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

The reasons supporting this Expedited Motion for Leave are as follows:

1. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(d)(2), Corellium requests this Court’s expedited consideration of this instant Motion for Leave, specifically, by May 14, 2021. The Proposed Motion may significantly streamline the issues for trial. The Court’s expedited consideration of

this Motion for Leave thus is necessary, in advance of the approaching July 6, 2021 trial date, to afford the Parties an opportunity to fully brief Corellium's Proposed Motion, and thereafter for the Court to weigh the issues raised.

2. Substantively, Local Rule 7.1(c)(2) requires a litigant to seek leave of court before filing a second summary judgment motion: "Filing and service of multiple motions for partial summary judgment is prohibited, absent prior permission of the Court. This prohibition does not preclude a party from filing both a motion for summary judgment asserting an immunity from suit and a later motion for summary judgment addressing any issues that may remain in the case."

3. On May 11, 2020, Corellium filed a Motion for Summary Judgment against all claims in the First Amended Complaint. [D.E. 456].

4. On December 29, 2020, the Court issued its Order on the Parties' Motions for Summary Judgment [D.E. 784], denying Apple's motion in its entirety, granting Corellium summary judgment against Apple's First, Second, and Third Claims for Relief (alleging copyright infringement), and denying Corellium's motion with respect to Apple's Fourth Claim for Relief under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"). *See generally*, Order.

5. The Court granted Corellium summary judgment against Apple's copyright claims based upon its holding that "Corellium has met its burden of establishing fair use. Thus, its use of iOS in connection with the Corellium Product is permissible." Order, at 33.

6. As explained in Corellium's Proposed Motion, fair use is an express exception from and limitation of the rights of a copyright owner. Apple thus has no right of a copyright owner to protect against Corellium's fair use. Accordingly, Apple cannot satisfy an essential element of a claim under Section 1201(b)(1)(A)-(C) of the DMCA – which proscribes distribution of tools to

the public only with respect to the circumvention of a technological measure “that effectively protects a right of a copyright owner under this title....”

7. The argument presented in Corellium’s Proposed Motion has not been previously presented to the Court. To counsel’s knowledge, no other court has ever been presented with the precise question that Corellium’s Proposed Motion poses to the Court.

8. Corellium’s Proposed Motion can be decided as a pure question of statutory interpretation based on the Court’s finding that use of the Corellium Program constitutes fair use. No additional findings of fact are necessary.

9. Although Corellium’s Proposed Motion comes after the initial round of dispositive motions, we respectfully submit it remains timely and appropriate. First, Corellium seeks through its Proposed Motion to narrow and simplify the issues to be presented to the jury at trial. If the Court grants Corellium’s Proposed Motion, then the jury will only be required to address Apple’s claim under Section 1201(a)(2). Such streamlining of issues before trial is consistent with the purpose of pretrial procedures, such as those specified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c)(2). Second, the issue presented to the Court in the Proposed Motion arises from this Court’s determination of fair use. In that respect, it is only natural that the Court consider the further impact of its prior rulings upon the remainder of the case.

10. Finally, consideration of the Proposed Motion will not delay trial in this matter. The Proposed Motion presents a straightforward issue of law that can be addressed prior to trial.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Corellium, LLC respectfully requests that the Court grant this *Expedited* Motion for Leave to file, and instruct the Clerk of the Court to accept the filing of the attached Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

**LOCAL RULE 7.1(A)(3) CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(3), the undersigned counsel verifies that counsel for Defendant conferred via telephone with counsel for Plaintiff on May 6, 2021, as well as on May 7, 2021 via email regarding the relief sought herein. Plaintiff opposes the Motion and the relief requested herein.

Date: May 7, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Seth Greenstein  
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 1300N  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
202-204-3500  
Seth D. Greenstein *Pro hac vice*  
[sgreenstein@constantinecannon.com](mailto:sgreenstein@constantinecannon.com)

*and*

/s/ Justin B. Levine  
COLE, SCOTT & KISSANE, P.A  
Esperante Building  
222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 120  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401  
Telephone (561) 612-3459  
S. Jonathan Vine  
Florida Bar. No.: 10966  
[Jonathan.vine@csklegal.com](mailto:Jonathan.vine@csklegal.com)  
Justin B. Levine  
Florida Bar No.: 106463  
[Justin.levine@csklegal.com](mailto:Justin.levine@csklegal.com)  
Lizza C. Constantine  
Florida Bar No.: 100294  
[Lizza.constantine@csklegal.com](mailto:Lizza.constantine@csklegal.com)

*and*

/s/ David Hecht  
HECHT PARTNERS LLP  
125 Park Ave., 25th Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Telephone (212) 851-6821

David Hecht, *Pro hac vice*  
dhecht@hechtpartners.com  
Maxim Price, *Pro hac vice*  
mprice@hechtpartners.com  
Conor McDonough, *Pro hac vice*  
cmcdonough@hechtpartners.com

*Attorneys for Defendant, Corellium, LLC*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that on May 7, 2021, the foregoing document was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. It is also certified the foregoing document is being served on all counsel of record identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or by some other authorized manner, or a combination thereof, so as to comply with the requirements of Local Rule 5.4 and other applicable rules and procedures.

### **SERVICE LIST**

Martin B. Goldberg  
mgoldberg@lashgoldberg.com  
rdiaz@lashgoldberg.com  
Emily L. Pincow  
epincow@lashgoldberg.com  
gizquierdo@lashgoldberg.com  
LASH & GOLDBERG LLP  
100 Southeast Second Street  
Miami, FL 33131

Kathryn Ruemmler (*pro hac vice*)  
kathryn.ruemmler@lw.com  
Sarang Vijay Damle (*pro hac vice*)  
sy.damle@lw.com  
Elana Nightingale Dawson (*pro hac vice*)  
elana.nightingaledawson@lw.com  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
555 Eleventh Street NW, Suite 1000

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.