

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
CASE NO: 9:19-cv-81160-RS

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORELLIUM, LLC,

Defendant.

---

**DEFENDANT CORELLIUM, LLC'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFF APPLE INC.'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Summary of Argument ..... 1

II. Statement of Material Facts ..... 2

A. APPLE DISTRIBUTES IOS ONLINE, FREE AND UNENCRYPTED..... 2

B. CORELLIUM SELLS A TOOL THAT ENABLES ITS USERS TO CONDUCT HIGH-END SECURITY RESEARCH..... 3

III. Arguments and Authorities ..... 3

C. Legal Standard ..... 3

1. Standard ..... 3

2. Digital Millennium Copyright Act..... 4

D. APPLE’S FREE UNENCRYPTED DISTRUBUTION OF IOS ONLINE WITH ZERO TECHNOLOGICAL MEASURES IS FATAL TO ITS DMCA CLAIMS ..... 5

1. Under 1201(a) iOS Object Code is Accessed when an IPSW is Downloaded, Unencrypted, from Apple ..... 5

2. No Technological Measures Prevent the Public from Copying, Editing, Distributing, Performing, or Displaying the Contents of an IPSW file ..... 7

E. APPLE’S ALLEGED TCMS ARE NOT IN THE IPSW DISTRIBUTED ONLINE..... 8

F. EVEN IF APPLE’S TCMS EXISTED IN THE IPSW AND WERE EFFECTIVE, THEY WOULD ACTIVATE AFTER ACCESS, COPYING, AND USE ..... 11

G. APPLE HAS FAILED TO PROVE THAT CORELLIUM “TRAFFICS” IN CIRCUMVENTION TOOLS..... 13

H. APPLE’S FAILURE TO MOVE FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT ON ITS INFRINGEMENT CLAIM IS ALSO FATAL TO ITS MOTION..... 14

I. APPLE’S POSITION WOULD LEAD TO THE DEATH OF FAIR USE..... 16

J. CORELLIUM IS ENTITLED TO A FAIR USE DEFENSE..... 17

K. CORELLIUM’S DEFENSES UNDER SECTIONS 1201(F), (G), AND (J) ARE VALID AND IN MATERIAL DISPUTE..... 17

1. Reverse Engineering, 1201(f) ..... 18

2. Security Testing and Encryption Research, 1201(g), (j)..... 19

L. APPLE CAUSED CORELLIUM TO SPEND SUBSTANTIAL MONEY AND TIME AND SHOULD BE ESTOPPED FROM ASSERTING THE DMCA..... 19

IV. Conclusion ..... 20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Allen v. Tyson Foods, Inc.</i> , 121 F.3d 642 (11th Cir. 1997) .....	4
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986).....	3
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Psystar Corp.</i> , 673 F. Supp. 2d 931 (9th Cir. 2009) .....	7
<i>Bateman v. Mnemonics, Inc.</i> , 79 F.3d 1532 1539 .....	5, 6, 12
<i>Chamberlain Grp., Inc. v. Skylink Techs., Inc.</i> , 381 F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Fed. Deposit Ins. Corp. v. Harrison</i> , 735 F.2d 408 (11th Cir. 1984) .....	19
<i>Garcia v. Vanguard Car Rental USA, Inc.</i> , 540 F.3d 1242 (11th Cir. 2008) .....	15
<i>HCA Health Servs. of Ga., Inc. v. Employers Health Ins. Co.</i> , 240 F.3d 982 (11th Cir. 2001) .....	3
<i>HGI Assocs., Inc. v. Wetmore Printing Co.</i> , 427 F.3d 867 (11th Cir. 2005) .....	19
<i>Lexmark Int'l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 387 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 2004) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.</i> , 475 U.S. 574 (1986).....	4
<i>MDY Ind's, LLC v. Blizzard Entertainment, Inc.</i> , 629 F.3d 928 (9th Cir. 2010) .....	15
<i>NNG, KFT. v. AVA Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 2015 WL 5442725 (C.D. Cal. July 8, 2015).....	13
<i>Nordstrom Consulting, Inc. v. M &amp; S Techs., Inc.</i> , 2008 WL 623660 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 4, 2008).....	15
<i>Online Policy Group v. Diebold, Inc.</i> , 337 F. Supp. 2d 1195 (N.D. Cal. 2004) .....	15

...

*Sega Enterprises Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc.*,  
977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir.1992) .....6

*Suntrust Bank v. Houghton Mifflin Co.*,  
268 F.3d 1257 (11th Cir. 2001) .....16

*Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Corley*,  
273 F.3d 429 (2d Cir. 2001).....16

**Statutes**

17 U.S.C. §§ 101.....4

17 U.S.C. § 102.....4

17 U.S.C. § 106.....4, 12, 15

17 U.S.C. §§ 107.....4

17 U.S.C. § 1201..... *passim*

**Rules and Regulations**

Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 56.....1

Local Rule 56.1 .....1

**Reports**

H.R. Rep. 105-551(II), (1998).....15

S. REP. 105-190 (1998).....6

**Other Authorities**

David Nimmer, *A Riff on Fair Use in the Digital Millennium Copyright Act*,  
PA L. REV. 673, 687-89 (2000) .....15

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.