IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 1:22-cv-22706-RNS

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,

Plaintiff

V.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

HMD AMERICA, INC.; HMD GLOBAL OY; SHENZHEN CHINO-E COMMUNICATION CO., LTD.; WINGTECH TECHNOLOGY CO.; LTD.; WINGTECH INTERNATIONAL, INC.; BEST BUY CO., INC.; BEST BUY STORES L.P.; TARGET CORP.; WALMART INC.,

\mathbf{r}	C	.1	4
Dei	ena	าวท	TC
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{I}}}$	CII	aun	w

JOINT INTERIM STATUS REPORT

Plaintiff Bell Northern Research LLC and Defendants HMD America, Inc., HMD Global Oy, Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Stores L.P., Target Corp., Wingtech Technology Co., Ltd., Wingtech International, Inc. and Walmart Inc.'s (collectively, "Defendants") hereby submit this Joint Interim Status Report pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order (D.I. 191) ("Scheduling Order") entered on June 21, 2023.

A. Have all defendants been served? If not, state the reasons:

All Defendants have been served.

B. Have all defendants responded to the complaint? If not, state the reasons.

Shenzhen Chino-E Communication Co. LTD. has not responded to the Complaint. All other Defendants have responded.

C. If this is a class action, has a motion for class certification been filed? If so, what



is its status?

This is not a class action case.

D. Have the parties agreed on and selected a mediator? Have the parties agreed upon a place, date, and time for mediation?

Plaintiff Bell Northern Research LLC and Defendants HMD America, Inc., HMD Global Oy will hold a mediation conference in this matter before David Friedland on September 12, 2023 at 9:00 AM ET at the law offices of GrayRobinson, 333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 3200, Miami, Florida 33131.

Plaintiffs Bell Northern Research LLC and Defendants Best Buy Stores L.P., Target Corp., and Walmart Inc. have not yet agreed on a place, date, and time for mediation but will do so before the September 8, 2023 deadline.

E. Have the parties engaged in informal settlement negotiations? If not, explain the reasons for the failure to do so. If yes, state the status of such negotiations (e.g., ongoing, impasse etc.) and the relative prospects for resolution through informal means.

The parties initially engaged in informal settlement negotiations, however the parties were unable to come to a resolution. The parties anticipate continuing informal settlement discussions in the future.

F. Describe the status of discovery conducted to date, and identify whether the parties reasonably believe that they will be able to complete discovery by the Court's deadline. If not, explain the reasons.

Discovery is ongoing with the parties each having issued their initial set of discovery requests. In addition, Plaintiff served infringement contentions on February 2, 2023, and



supplemental infringements contentions on April 14, 2023. HMD America, Inc., HMD Global Oy, Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Stores L.P., Target Corp., and Walmart Inc. served invalidity and non-infringement contentions on June 9, 2023, and June 30, 2023. Wingtech Technology Co., Ltd., Wingtech International, Inc., are scheduled to serve their invalidity and non-infringement contentions on July 14, 2023.

On June 30, 2023, counsel for Defendants HMD America Inc., HMD Global Oy, Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Stores L.P., Target Corp., and Walmart Inc. sent Plaintiff a letter notifying Plaintiff of several discovery deficiencies to date. Specifically, Defendants identified (among other things) Plaintiff's failure to comply with the discovery obligations imposed by: (i) the Court's Patent Rule 3-2 because Plaintiff failed to produce complete file histories, as well as "[a]ll documents evidencing ownership of the patent rights"; (ii) Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b) and Magistrate Judge Goodman's Discovery Procedures Order (Dkt. 9) because many of Plaintiff's interrogatory responses were non-responsive to the interrogatory presented, and/or contained boilerplate objections and simply responded that, in the future, documents "will be produced pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d)"; and (iii) Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b) because Plaintiff unilaterally narrowed the scope of many Requests for Production without explanation. Plaintiff has yet to respond to Defendants' letter.

Plaintiff states that it will respond fully to the foregoing letter mentioned in the above paragraph and does not agree that it has been deficient in its prior discovery responses, but it will continue to work with Defendants cooperatively in discovery to address any legitimate concerns Defendants might have.

The parties anticipate that they will be able to complete discovery by the Court's deadline.

G. Identify any other issues that the Court should be aware of that may affect the



resolution of this matter or the schedule currently set.

None at this time.

Date: July 14, 2023

/s/ Alexander Frederick Rojas

Alexander Frederick Rojas, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 124232 Jose Ignacio Rojas, Esq. Florida Bar No. 331546

ROJASLAW

201 S. Biscayne Blvd., Ste 28th Floor

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: (305) 446-4000 Facsimile: (305) 985-4146

Email: arojas@rojaslawfirm.com;

jrojas@rojaslawfirm.com

Christopher Clayton, Esq. (pro hac vice)

Paul Richter, Esq. (*pro hac vice*) Adam Woodward (No. 1029147)

DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC

1526 Gilpin Avenue Wilmington, DE 19806 Telephone: (302) 449-9010 Facsimile: (302) 353-4251

Email: cclayton@devlinlawfirm.com

prichter@devlinlawfirm.com awoodward@devlinlawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael A. Chajon

MATTHEW J. MOFFA, ESQ. (pro hac vice)

Email: MMoffa@perkinscoie.com

PERKINS COIE LLP

1155 Avenue of the Americas, 22nd floor

New York, NY 10036

Telephone: (212) 262-6900

KEVIN PATARIU, ESQ. (pro hac vice)

Email: kpatariu@perkinscoie.com

PERKINS COIE LLP

11452 El Camino Real

Suite 300

San Diego, CA 92013

Telephone: (858) 720-5700

MICHAEL A. CHAJON, ESQ. (pro hac vice)

Email: MChajon@perkinscoie.com

PERKINS COIE LLP

700 13th Street, NW

Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20005-3960

Telephone: (202) 654-6200

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS HMD AMERICA, INC., HMD GLOBAL OY, BEST BUY, BEST BUY STORES, L.P., and TARGET CORP.



Andrew J. Fuller, Esq. Florida Bar No. 1021164 NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

2 South Biscayne Blvd. Suite 21st Street Miami, Florida 33131

Tel: 305-373-9487

Email: Andrew.fuller@nelsonmullins.com Vicki.mattison@nelsonmullins.com

David M. Airan, Esq. (pro hac vice)
Christopher Gass, Esq. (pro hac vice)
Nicole E. Kopinski Esq. (pro hac vice)
LEYDIG, VOIT & MEYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza - Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, IL 60601
Tel: 312-616-5600
Email: dairan@leydig.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS WINGTECH TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. WINGTECH INTERNATIONAL, INC.

cgass@leydig.com; nkopinski@leydig.com



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

