

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

**Case No.: 1:22-cv-22706-SCOLA/GOODMAN**

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HMD AMERICA, INC., HMD GLOBAL  
OY, SHENZHEN CHINO-E  
COMMUNICATION CO. LTD., TINNO  
MOBILE TECHNOLOGY CORP.,  
SHENZHEN TINNO MOBILE CO., LTD.,  
TINNO USA, INC., UNISOC  
TECHNOLOGIES CO. LTD.,  
WINGTECH TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD.,  
WINGTECH INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
BEST BUY CO., INC., BEST BUY  
STORES L.P., TARGET CORP.,  
WALMART INC.,

Defendants.

---

**DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO STAY CERTAIN  
PATENT CONTENTION AND CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES,  
AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Plaintiff Bell Northern Research LLC's ("Plaintiff") opposition to Defendants' Stay Motion (ECF No. 140) does little more than attempt to argue the merits of the parties' discovery dispute pending before Judge Goodman (i.e., the sufficiency of Plaintiff's Infringement Contentions under P.R. 3-1). Opp. at 2, ECF No. 143. Indeed, Plaintiff faults Defendants for not fully briefing that discovery dispute in the opening Stay Motion, even though doing so is in direct contravention of the no-motion rule for discovery disputes. *See* Magistrate Judge Goodman's Discovery Procedures Order ("Discovery Order") at 2-3; ECF No. 9. The discovery dispute was properly brought under Judge Goodman's procedures and Defendants will address the merits of the insufficiencies of the Infringement Contentions at the March 15 hearing before Judge Goodman.

Next, Plaintiff posits in a footnote that it “is available to amend [Plaintiff’s Infringement Contentions] and that could be done in parallel with Defendants’ compliance with their obligations for making Invalidity Contentions.” Opp. at 2 n.1. However, this presupposes (wrongly) that Plaintiff is entitled to such amendment as a matter of right. Not so. Patent Rule (“P.R.”) 3-6 provides, in part: “Amendment of the Infringement Contentions or the Invalidity Contentions may be made only with leave of the Court upon a timely showing of good cause.” ECF No. 125 at 14. That is, absent good cause, a party is not entitled to amend its contentions and even upon a showing of good cause, leave of the Court is required.

Plaintiff acknowledges but dismisses the fact that the parties do *actually* dispute the sufficiency of the Infringement Contentions, a dispute that was aired out in the parties’ meet-and-confer for that issue. Opp. at 2. Then, Plaintiff suggests that Defendants can undertake responsive contentions even though, Defendants submit, Plaintiff’s infringement contentions are incomplete at best. *Id.* However, in the Stay Motion, Defendants stated that they are unable to respond to Plaintiff’s Infringement Contentions and further that a resolution of the sufficiency dispute in Defendants’ favor may impact (i.e., narrow) the entire scope of this litigation. Mot. at 4; ECF No.140. Moreover, Defendants’ notice of hearing before Judge Goodman asks the Court to consider the very question of whether – in view of the deficiencies Defendants intend to argue at the hearing – “Plaintiff’s February 7, 2023 Infringement Contentions should be (a) stricken entirely or (b) deemed limited to cover only the devices charted and only the acts of direct and literal infringement of system claims identified therein.” Notice of Hearing; ECF No. 142.

It would be wasteful for Defendants to proceed with responsive contentions concerning patent claims and/or accused devices prior to addressing the sufficiency of Plaintiff’s Infringement Contentions in the first instance. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the thirteen asserted patents by

over 70 products. Yet, for example, for multiple asserted patents, Plaintiff provided only one claim chart for one allegedly infringing product. These and more deficiencies permeate the Infringement Contentions, leaving Defendants and the Court to guess exactly what Plaintiff's infringement allegations are and the scope and meaning Plaintiff applies to its patent claims.

Finally, Plaintiff argues that “a stay would be prejudicial to Plaintiff as it would halt the initial, critical disclosure phase of this patent litigation.” Opp. at 3. But Plaintiff offers merely a conclusory statement about the supposed prejudice to Plaintiff if the contention and claim construction deadlines are briefly stayed. In fact, Plaintiff's infringement claims have been pending since at least April 2022 with the filing of Plaintiff's complaint in the predecessor action that Plaintiff itself voluntarily dismissed.<sup>1</sup> A brief stay will delay the case no more than Plaintiff itself already has. Moreover, on January 23, 2023, Plaintiff joined in submitting a discovery plan that allowed for *thirteen weeks* between service of Plaintiff's and Defendants' contentions. ECF No. 120. Plaintiff's newfound cry of prejudice from a far shorter delay rings hollow.

A stay of the patent contention and claim construction deadlines are within the powers of the Court. *Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681, 706 (1997); *see also Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (“[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.”); *Johnson v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Georgia*, 263 F.3d 1234, 1269 (11th Cir. 2001). For the reasons set forth in the Stay Motion, the stay should be granted.

---

<sup>1</sup> *See* 22-cv- 21035-SCOLA, ECF No. 55 (Plaintiff's Notice of Voluntary Dismissal without Prejudice).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jodi-Ann Tillman

JOSEPH W. BAIN, Esq.  
Florida Bar No. 860360  
Email: [jbain@shutts.com](mailto:jbain@shutts.com)  
**SHUTTS & BOWEN LLP**  
1100 City Place Tower  
525 Okeechobee Boulevard  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401  
Telephone: (561) 835-8500  
Facsimile: (561) 650-8530

JODI-ANN TILLMAN, ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 1022214  
Email: [jtillman@shutts.com](mailto:jtillman@shutts.com)  
**SHUTTS & BOWEN LLP**  
200 East Broward Blvd.  
Suite 2100  
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301  
Telephone: (561) 671-5822  
Facsimile: (561) 650-8530

**ATTORNEYS FOR  
DEFENDANTS HMD AMERICA,  
INC., HMD GLOBAL OY, BEST  
BUY, BEST BUY STORES, L.P.,  
TARGET CORP. and WALMART INC.**

/s/ Matthew J. Moffa

MATTHEW J. MOFFA, ESQ. (*pro hac vice*)  
Email: [MMoffa@perkinscoie.com](mailto:MMoffa@perkinscoie.com)  
**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
1155 Avenue of the Americas, 22nd floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 262-6900

KEVIN PATARIU, ESQ. (*pro hac vice*)  
Email: [kpatariu@perkinscoie.com](mailto:kpatariu@perkinscoie.com)  
**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
11452 El Camino Real  
Suite 300  
San Diego, CA 92013  
Telephone: (858) 720-5700

MICHAEL A. CHAJON, ESQ. (*pro hac vice*)  
Email: [MChajon@perkinscoie.com](mailto:MChajon@perkinscoie.com)  
**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
700 13th Street, NW  
Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3960  
Telephone: (202) 654-6200

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS  
HMD AMERICA, INC., HMD GLOBAL  
OY, BEST BUY, BEST BUY STORES, L.P.,  
and TARGET CORP.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 2nd day of March, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court by using the CM/ECF system which served a copy to counsel of record.

/s/ Jodi-Ann Tillman

**SERVICE LIST**

Alexander Frederick Rojas, Esq.  
Florida Bar No. 124232  
Jose Ignacio Rojas, Esq.  
Florida Bar No. 331546  
**ROJASLAW**  
201 S. Biscayne Blvd., Ste 28th Floor  
Miami, FL 33131  
Telephone: (305) 446-4000  
Facsimile: (305) 985-4146  
Email: arojas@rojaslawfirm.com  
jrojas@rojaslawfirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC**

Andrew J. Fuller, Esq.  
Florida Bar No. 1021164  
**NELSON MULLINS**  
2 South Biscayne Blvd.  
Suite 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Tel: 305-373-9487  
Email: [Andrew.fuller@nelsonmullins.com](mailto:Andrew.fuller@nelsonmullins.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS  
TINNO MOBILE TECHNOLOGY  
CORP.,  
SHENZHEN TINNO MOBILE CO., LTD  
& TINNO USA, INC.**

Christopher Clayton, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
Paul Richter, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
Adam Woodward (Florida Bar No. 1029147)  
**DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC**  
1526 Gilpin Avenue  
Wilmington, DE 19806  
Telephone: (302) 449-9010  
Facsimile: (302) 353-4251  
Email: cclayton@devlinlawfirm.com  
prichter@devlinlawfirm.com  
awoodward@devlinlawfirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC**

Jason Xu, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
**RAMON LAW**  
1990 K. Street  
Suite 420  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel: 202-470-2141  
Email: [Jason.Xu@ramonlaw.com](mailto:Jason.Xu@ramonlaw.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS  
TINNO MOBILE TECHNOLOGY  
CORP.,  
SHENZHEN TINNO MOBILE CO., LTD  
& TINNO USA, INC.**

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.