UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

CALEB PROCHNOW,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Civil Action No.
v.	:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SOUGHT
MERCEDES MEDICAL, LLC,	:	
Defendant.	:	

CALEB PROCHNOW'S COMPLAINT FOR COPRYIGHT INFRINGEMENT, BREACH OF CONTRACT, AND UNJUST ENRICHMENT AGAINST MERCEDES MEDICAL, LLC AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Caleb Prochnow ("Mr. Prochnow"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following against defendant Mercedes Medical, LLC ("Mercedes" or "Defendant"):

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action arises from Defendant Mercedes' willful, deliberate, and repeated infringement of Mr. Prochnow's copyrights for original and creative works; Defendant Mercedes' breach of its contract with Mr. Prochnow; and



Defendant Mercedes' unjust enrichment through the use of Mr. Prochnow's works and derivatives thereof.

- 2. Caleb Prochnow is an artist and instructor at the Ringling College of Art and Design, based in Sarasota, Florida. Mr. Prochnow's original, pictorial illustrations have been purchased by a variety of corporations for use in advertising and marketing around the world.
- 3. In 2005, Mr. Prochnow created original pictorial illustrations of a cartoon hippopotamus who is now immediately recognizable and referred to by Mercedes as "Henry the Histo-Potamus" ("Henry"). Mercedes received limited ownership rights from Mr. Prochnow to use thirteen original illustrations of Henry in free, promotional calendars. No rights in the copyright were granted to Mercedes, nor were derivative rights provided to Mercedes.
- 4. For the next four years, Mr. Prochnow was asked by Mercedes to provide, and Mr. Prochnow provided, illustrations to Mercedes for use by Mercedes exclusively in annual free calendars. Each year the nominal price paid by Mercedes was less than published rates for limited-use calendar illustrations and confirmed that Mercedes's right to use the works was limited to free, promotional calendar illustrations. At no time did Mr. Prochnow grant or license copyright or derivative rights in any of his works of authorship to Mercedes.



- 5. In 2010, Mr. Prochnow received an offer from Mercedes to expand the use of Mr. Prochnow's Henry images and in return pay Mr. Prochnow quarterly an eight percent (8%) royalty on sales of products sold in conjunction with the use of Mr. Prochnow's Henry illustrations. The offer was accepted by Mr. Prochnow on at least March 26, 2010.
- 6. Soon thereafter, communications from Mercedes ceased, no royalty payments were sent to Mr. Prochnow, and Mr. Prochnow's contact at Mercedes left. Mr. Prochnow assumed that Mercedes had chosen not to use his illustrations with the sale of Mercedes products. Indeed, Mr. Prochnow believed that Mercedes had stopped using images of Henry entirely because they did not contact him for additional calendars or additional Henry illustrations.
- 7. In late 2015, Mr. Prochnow learned that Mercedes had and continues to use Mr. Prochnow's Henry illustrations and derivatives thereof directly with the sale of Mercedes products, including through sale of products on Mercedes' website, and on product packaging, catalogs, and other advertising materials relating directly to the sale of Mercedes' histology-related products.
- 8. Despite being explicitly informed of Mr. Prochnow's rights of authorship and copyrights in the original, pictorial illustrations, Mercedes' unauthorized use of the illustrations without remuneration to Mr. Prochnow continues unabated. Such actions by Mercedes constitute willful copyright



infringement, a breach of the parties' 2010 agreement, and unjust enrichment by Mercedes at the expense of Mr. Prochnow's efforts and work.

9. Attempts by Plaintiff to reach an amicable and mutually acceptable resolution without the need for litigation have been rebuffed by Defendant, leaving Mr. Prochnow with no choice but to institute the current action.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked at least under 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) pursuant to the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §101 et seq.
- 11. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c) and 28 U.S.C. §1400(a).

THE PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff Caleb Prochnow is an individual and resident of Manatee County.
- 13. Mr. Prochnow is an illustration instructor at Ringling College and has expertise as an artist creating content for use in products, advertising, and marketing for a variety of corporations around the world.
- 14. On information and belief, Defendant Mercedes Medical, LLC is a company organized under the laws of Florida, with its principal place of business in Sarasota County located at 7590 Commerce Court, Sarasota, FL 34243, and also maintains an office at 7590 Commerce Court, Sarasota, FL 34243.



15. Defendant Mercedes is a national medical distribution company and sells over 20,000 medical products from over 1,000 manufacturers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS RELEVANT TO ALL COUNTS

- 16. Starting in 2005, Mr. Prochnow was asked by Defendant Mercedes to prepare artwork relating to a vaguely described cartoon hippopotamus, a character Mercedes wished to use in free, promotional calendars.
- 17. On information and belief, prior to this time Mercedes' Henry character was relegated to plush animal toys; a hippo outfit used at Histology events; and a flat, two-dimensional generic hippopotamus. A picture of the two-dimensional depiction of a hippopotamus provided to Mr. Prochnow in 2005 is attached as Exhibit 1.
- 18. Mr. Prochnow, utilizing his training and skill as an artist, agreed to create his own expression of a hippopotamus for Mercedes to use in free, promotional calendars. Mr. Prochnow proceeded to bring Henry to life, as he is known today, in October of 2005.
- 19. As shown in Exhibit 2, Mr. Prochnow's work is conspicuously recognizable as a mischievous, smart, and sometimes athletic hippopotamus having large round eyes, defined ears and nostrils, and other original expressions of authorship created by Mr. Prochnow.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

