

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LITL LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC. and DELL
INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 23-121-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Intervenor-Plaintiff,

v.

LITL LLC,

Intervenor-Defendant.

LITL LLC,

Intervenor-Defendant /
Counterclaim Plaintiff in
Intervention,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Intervenor-Plaintiff /
Counterclaim Defendant in
Intervention.

**LITL LLC'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND
COUNTERCLAIMS TO MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S
COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION**

Plaintiff and Intervenor-Defendant LiTL LLC (“Plaintiff” or “LiTL”) hereby responds to the Complaint in Intervention (“Complaint”) filed by Intervenor-Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) in LiTL’s action against Dell Technologies Inc. and Dell Inc. (collectively “Dell”), with the following Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims.

GENERAL DENIAL

Unless specifically admitted below, LiTL denies each and every allegation in the Complaint in Intervention. To the extent the headings of the Complaint are construed as allegations, they are each denied.

LiTL hereby answers the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint in Intervention with the following correspondingly numbered responses:

1. LiTL admits that Microsoft is seeking a declaratory judgment of non-infringement but denies that such action is meritorious.

PARTIES

2. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 2, based on information and belief that Microsoft has described itself accurately.

3. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 3.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 4.

5. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 5.

6. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 6.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND MICROSOFT’S INTEREST IN THIS ACTION

7. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 7.

8. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 8 that Dell is a customer of Microsoft’s and that Dell sells computer products that incorporate Microsoft’s Windows Operating System.

LiTL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8, and therefore denies them.

9. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 9.

10. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 10 that the First Amended Complaint specifically identified numerous Dell devices as infringing the '154, '315, '715, '818, and '888 patents. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10.

11. LiTL denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.

12. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 12 that the '154 patent is entitled “Portable computer with multiple display configurations,” and that the First Amended Complaint alleges that Dell infringes at least claim 1 of the '154 patent. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12.

13. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 13 that the '315 patent is entitled “System and method for streamlining user interaction with electronic content,” and that the First Amended Complaint alleges that Dell infringes at least claim 1 of the '315 patent. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13.

14. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 14 that the '715 patent is entitled “System and method for streamlining user interaction with electronic content,” and that the First Amended Complaint alleges that Dell infringes at least claim 1 of the '715 patent. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14.

15. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 15 that the '818 patent is entitled “System and method for streamlining user interaction with electronic content,” and that the First Amended Complaint alleges that Dell infringes at least claim 1 of the '818 patent. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 15.

16. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 16 that the '888 patent is entitled "Method and apparatus for managing digital media content," and that the First Amended Complaint alleges that Dell infringes at least claim 27 of the '888 patent. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 16.

17. LiTL denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 17. LiTL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 17, and therefore denies them.

18. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 18.

19. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 19.

20. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 20 that Microsoft has an objectively reasonable apprehension that LiTL will claim that Microsoft's products, including at least the Windows Operating System, directly or indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '154 patent, the '315 patent, the '715 patent, the '818 patent, and the '888 patent. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 20 that an actual controversy exists between Microsoft and LiTL. The remainder of Paragraph 20 contains statements to which no response is required.

COUNT 1

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,289,154)

21. LiTL incorporates by reference each of its answers set forth in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

22. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 22.

23. LiTL denies the allegations of Paragraph 23.

24. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 24 that a judicial declaration concerning these matters is necessary and appropriate, but denies any allegation that Microsoft is entitled to

a declaratory judgment of noninfringement. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 24.

COUNT 2

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,003,315)

25. LiTL incorporates by reference each of its answers set forth in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

26. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 26.

27. LiTL denies the allegations of Paragraph 27.

28. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 28 that a judicial declaration concerning these matters is necessary and appropriate, but denies any allegation that Microsoft is entitled to a declaratory judgment of noninfringement. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 28.

COUNT 3

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,880,715)

29. LiTL incorporates by reference each of its answers set forth in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

30. LiTL admits the allegations of Paragraph 30.

31. LiTL denies the allegations of Paragraph 31.

32. LiTL admits the allegation in Paragraph 32 that a judicial declaration concerning these matters is necessary and appropriate, but denies any allegation that Microsoft is entitled to a declaratory judgment of noninfringement. LiTL denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 32.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.