

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 22-904 (RGA) (SRF)
	)	
AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.,	)	<b>CONFIDENTIAL</b> [REDACTED]
	)	[REDACTED]
Defendant.	)	

**DEFENDANT AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.’S OPENING BRIEF IN  
SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO EXCLUDE  
PLAINTIFF ACCELERATION BAY LLC’S EXPERT OPINIONS**

OF COUNSEL:

Alan M. Fisch  
R. Williams Sigler  
Jeffrey M. Saltman  
Lisa N. Phillips  
Kyle K. Tsui  
FISCH SIGLER LLP  
5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20015  
(202) 362-3500

Ken K. Fung  
FISCH SIGLER LLP  
400 Concar Drive  
San Mateo, CA 94402  
(605) 362-8207

May 31, 2024

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP  
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)  
Jennifer Ying (#5550)  
1201 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 1347  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
(302) 658-9200  
jblumenfeld@morrisonichols.com  
jying@morrisonichols.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Amazon Web Services,  
Inc.*

**ORIGINAL FILING DATE: MAY 31, 2024**  
**REDACTED FILING DATE: JUNE 7, 2024**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS ..... 2

III. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ..... 2

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS ..... 4

    A. Boeing Licenses the Asserted Patents to AWS Then Sells Them to AB..... 4

    B. AB Sues AWS..... 4

    C. The Accused Products..... 5

V. APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS ..... 8

VI. ARGUMENT ..... 9

    A. The AWS-Boeing Agreement Precludes All Infringement Claims Relying on  
    ██████████ ..... 9

    B. AB’s Alleged Damages Period Contravenes the Marking Statute. .... 11

    C. Summary Judgment on Willfulness and Enhanced Damages is Appropriate..... 15

    D. Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement on All 16 Asserted Claims Is  
    Warranted Because No Accused Products Maintain an M-Regular Network. .... 19

        1. VPC Does Not Maintain an M-Regular Network..... 20

        2. Transit Gateway Does Not Maintain an M-Regular Network. .... 25

        3. AB Has Not Disclosed a Damages Theory for ██████████ or  
        Hyperplane. .... 29

    E. AB’s Experts’ Flawed Apportionment Approach Should be Excluded. .... 30

        1. Dr. Cole’s Opinion Relies on Documents Outside the Damages  
        Period. .... 30

        2. Dr. Cole Inconsistently Applied an Unreliable Methodology. .... 33

    F. Mr. Gunderson Relies on Revenue Unrelated to Infringement. .... 36

    G. Mr. Gunderson’s Reliance on A Jury Verdict Should Be Excluded..... 39

VII. CONCLUSION..... 40

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Acceleration Bay LLC v. Activision Blizzard, Inc.</i> , 324 F. Supp. 3d 470 (D. Del. 2018).....	40
<i>Acceleration Bay LLC v. Activision Blizzard</i> , C.A. No. 6-453, D.I. 858 (D. Del. May 3, 2024).....	4, 39, 40
<i>Acceleration Bay v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.</i> , 612 F. Supp. 3d 408 (D. Del. 2020).....	20
<i>Acceleron, LLC v. Dell Inc.</i> , No. 1:12-cv-4123, 2022 WL 1087683 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 7, 2022), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 2022-1620, 2023 WL 4503189 (Fed. Cir. July 13, 2023).....	16
<i>Amsted Indus. Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.</i> , 24 F.3d 178 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	12, 13, 15
<i>Bayer Healthcare LLC v. Baxalta Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 964 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	15
<i>Bench Walk Lighting LLC v. LG Innotek Co.</i> C.A. No. 20-51, 2021 WL 65071 (D. Del. Jan. 7, 2021), <i>report and recommendation adopted</i> , 530 F. Supp. 3d 468, 478 (D. Del. 2021).....	17
<i>Blackbird Tech LLC v. ELB Elecs., Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 15-56, 2020 WL 5409052 (D. Del. Sept. 9, 2020).....	18
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317 (1986).....	8
<i>Contour IP Holding, LLC v. GoPro, Inc.</i> , No. 3:17-CV-04738, 2020 WL 5106845 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2020).....	36
<i>CSIRO v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	9
<i>Datatreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo &amp; Co.</i> , 522 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	1, 10
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.</i> , 509 U.S. 579 (1993).....	1, 2, 8, 30
<i>Eastman Kodak Co. v. Agfa-Gevaert N.V.</i> , 560 F. Supp. 2d 227 (W.D.N.Y. 2008).....	12, 13

*EF Operating Corp. v. American Buildings*  
 993 F.2d 1046 (3d Cir. 1993).....14

*Eko Brands, LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enter., Inc.*,  
 946 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....16

*Epic Games, Inc. v. Acceleration Bay LLC*,  
 No. 4:19-cv-4133 (N.D. Cal.), D.I. 1 .....14, 18

*Erfindergemeinschaft UroPep GbR v. Eli Lilly & Co.*,  
 No. 2:15-cv-1202, 2017 WL 2190055 (E.D. Tex. May 18, 2017) .....16

*Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Sys., Inc.*,  
 773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....29, 30, 39

*Extang Corp. v. Truck Accessories Grp., LLC*,  
 C.A. No. 19-923, 2022 WL 607868 (D. Del. Feb. 18, 2022) .....15

*Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.*,  
 387 F. Supp. 3d 1004 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....15

*Flatworld Interactives LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*,  
 77 F. Supp. 3d 378 (D. Del. 2014).....12

*Genband US LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Corp.*,  
 No. 2:14-cv-33, 2016 WL 122967 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2016) .....32

*Halo Electronics, Inc. v. Pulse Electronics, Inc.*  
 579 U.S. 93 (2016).....15

*Horizon Meds. LLC v. Apotex Inc.*,  
 C.A. No. 22-640, 2022 WL 16739909 (D. Del. Nov. 7, 2022) .....1, 10

*In re Teleglobe Commc'ns Corp.*,  
 493 F.3d 345 (3d Cir. 2007).....14

*Innovus Prime, LLC v. Panasonic Corp.*,  
 No. 12-cv-660, 2013 WL 3354390 (N.D. Cal. July 2, 2013) .....10, 11

*IoEngine, LLC v. PayPal Holdings, Inc.*,  
 607 F. Supp. 3d 464 (D. Del. 2022).....40

*IP Power Holdings Ltd. v. Westfield Outdoor, Inc.*,  
 No. 2:19-CV-01878, 2020 WL 2992415 (D. Nev. June 4, 2020) .....17

*Kaavo Inc. v. Amazon.com Inc.*,  
 323 F. Supp. 3d 630 (D. Del. 2018).....25

*Kimble v. Marvel Entertainment, LLC*,  
576 U.S. 446 (2015).....18

*Laser Dynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.*,  
694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....29, 30

*MasterObjects, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*,  
No. C 20-08103 WHA, 2021 WL 4685306 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2021) .....17

*Maxwell v. J. Baker, Inc.*,  
86 F.3d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....12

*Novartis Corp. v. Ben Venue Laby’s, Inc.*,  
271 F.3d 1043 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....24

*NXP USA, Inc. v. Impinj, Inc.*,  
No. 2:20-cv-1503, 2023 WL 3852914 (W.D. Wash. June 6, 2023) .....19

*Podobnik v. U.S. Postal Serv.*,  
409 F.3d 584 (3d Cir. 2005).....8

*Ravgen, Inc. v. Quest Diagnostics Inc.*,  
No. 2:21-cv-9011, 2022 WL 2047613 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 18, 2022).....19

*Refac Electronics Corp. v. A & B Beacon Business Machines Corp.*  
695 F. Supp. 753 (S.D.N.Y. 1988). .....12

*Schneider ex rel. Estate of Schneider v. Fried*,  
320 F.3d 396 (3d Cir. 2003).....8

*Skills Platform Inc. v. AviaGames Inc.*,  
No. 21-cv-2436, 2023 WL 8438738 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 5, 2023).....32

*SRI Int’l v. Advanced Tech. Labs.*,  
127 F.3d 1462 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....12, 13

*Transcore LP v. Elec. Transaction Consultants Corp*,  
563 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....10

*Unova Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard*  
No. 02-cv-3772, 2006 WL 5434534 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2006) .....13

*VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.*,  
767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....29

*Wang Labs., Inc. v. Toshiba Corp.*,  
993 F.2d 858 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....38

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.