UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| Robocast Inc., | | |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | Plaintiff, | Civil Action No. 22-305-JLH-CJE |
| VS. | | |
| Netflix, Inc., | | [PUBLIC VERSION] |
| , , | Defendant. | |

<u>DECLARATION OF BRETT SMITH REGARDING ROBOCAST'S IN-CAMERA</u> <u>SUBMISSION OF LOGGED DOCUMENTS</u>

I, Brett Smith, do hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in New York and Senior Vice President for Legal and Intellectual Property at Robocast, Inc. I have personal knowledge of the matters contained herein and if called, could and would testify competently thereto.
 - 2. I am over 18 years of age and suffer no legal disabilities.
- 3. This declaration is submitted in concert with Robocast's in-camera submission of 25 documents identified by Netflix from Robocast's log of withheld documents in order to provide supporting context for Robocast withholding the documents. I understand from counsel that the Court has indicated that privileged documents, work product, and documents withheld for litigation funding that do not relate to the value of the IP in this case should not be produced.
- 4. From March 2008 to 2010 I worked as Director of Business and Legal Affairs (this being a commonly understood media industry title for an attorney) for IMU. On or about 2011 through on or about 2012, I worked as Special Counsel at Foley & Lardner LLP, working

¹ IMU (Interactive Media Universe) is a solely-owned LLC owned by Robocast founder Damon Torres which was formed solely to hold Mr. Torres's shares and support Robocast operations. My title when I was hired was Director of Operations and Business Development, but this changed after a few months when it became clear I was performing mostly legal work for both IMU and Robocast.



On or about February 2012 I returned to Robocast as Counsel. I served as Counsel for Robocast for about a year and was then promoted to Vice President, Business and Legal Affairs. I served as Vice President, Business and Legal Affairs until on or about June 2017, when I left to start a consulting business. In April 2019, I formed my law firm Brett Smith Law PLLC, through which in May 2019, I resumed legal work for Robocast. I returned full-time to Robocast in February 2022, as Senior Vice President for Legal and Intellectual Property where I am sole inhouse legal counsel providing legal advice on all aspects of Robocast's business, including but not limited to general corporate affairs, intellectual property management, and contract negotiation.

5. Document 1, ROBO-REV0000005659, is a draft agreement related to equity

| investments, scanned with hand edits. The document date and authorship are not clear from the |
|--|
| face of the document. |
| |
| . To the best of my knowledge this |
| marked-up draft was not shared outside of Robocast and its agents. |
| 6. Concerning Document 2, ROBO-REV0000005728, is a document identifier that I |
| understand from counsel inadvertently appears twice on Robocast's privilege log with different |
| document dates and document descriptions. |
| |
| |
| |
| |



The second

| atry for ROBO-REV0000005728 | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| , and is also being provided to | the Court |
| r in-camera review. | |

- 7. I also understand from counsel that, despite assuring Robocast that it will select its final 25 documents from among those listed in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 to their Reply letter at D.I. 294, that ROBO-REV0000005728 did not appear in either of Netflix's Appendices. I understand from counsel that Netflix did not previously raise any issue with the inadvertent double entry for ROBO-REV0000005728 prior to its submission on August 19, 2024, and if it had, that counsel could have quickly corrected the issue without Court involvement.
- 8. Document 3, ROBO-REV0000006850, was initially withheld as privileged. Upon further investigation in connection with the current dispute, counsel, Robocast employees, and I determined that the tracked changes initially thought to reflect communications with counsel did not in fact reflect communications with counsel. I understand from counsel that this document was produced to Netflix on August 14, 2024 along with a chart mapping ROBO-REV identifiers to production Bates numbers.
- 9. Document 4, ROBO-REV0000006345,



| 10. | Document 5, ROBO-REV0000006361, | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | An | | |
| alternate dra | ft of this ultimately unsent communication that omits discussion of | | |
| has been produced. | | | |
| 11. | Concerning Document 6, ROBO-REV0000014246, I | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | While from the date of the documents it appears | | |
| | , I can confirm that this document was nonetheless prepared | | |
| pursuant to my instructions | | | |
| To the best of my knowledge, this document was not shared beyond Robocast and its | | | |
| outside coun | isel. | | |
| 12. | Document 7, ROBO-REV0000000951, and Document 25, ROBO- | | |
| REV0000012661 are | | | |
| | | | |

knowledge this document was not shared outside of Robocast and its agents. While the document date listed on Robocast's privilege log is after I departed Robocast, I understand that if a document was saved over with additional edits by other Robocast employees after I departed Robocast, that the document metadata would reflect the date last edited, and not the date created. I further understand that if the document was saved as a new version with additional revisions from other employees, it would also reflect a document date post-dating my June 2017 departure

. To the best my



from Robocast. In either case, the date on the document does not change the fact that the document contains legal advice from me in my role as Robocast's in-house counsel.

| 13. Document 8, ROBO-REV0000012333, and Document 9, ROBO- |
|--|
| REV0000012334, are documents created within Robocast's project management system |
| Basecamp ² |
| |
| . Jon Hertzig has served as a consultant to |
| Robocast from 2016 to the present. Mr. Hertzig is a technical writer who generally assists |
| Robocast with drafting communications and other writing needs. |
| To the best of my knowledge, these |
| documents have not been shared outside of Robocast employees and agents. |
| 14. Document 10, ROBO-REV0000012338, is |
| |
| |
| |
| . Jon Hertzig, consultant to Robocast, provided drafting assistance. |
| 15. Document 11, ROBO-REV0000012339, is |
| |
| |

² Basecamp is a project management system that allows for communication and collaboration between team members. Robocast uses Basecamp for virtually all of its document drafting, organization, and collaboration and virtually all documents produced for the first time in the present litigation originated from Basecamp. Within Basecamp, Robocast has discrete projects or folders to organize different documents. Access can be granted to discrete projects or folders without granting access to the entirety of Robocast's documents and communications. Basecamp documents withheld for privilege or work product were taken from projects/folders that were accessible only to Robocast and its agents. *See* https://basecamp.com/.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

