

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION	:	
and GENEVANT SCIENCES GmbH,	:	
	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	<b>NO. 22-252</b>
MODERNA, INC. and MODERNATX, INC.	:	
	:	
<b>Defendants.</b>	:	
<hr/>		
MODERNA, INC. and MODERNATX, INC.	:	
	:	
<b>Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,</b>	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION	:	
and GENEVANT SCIENCES GmbH,	:	
	:	
<b>Counterclaim-Defendants.</b>	:	

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

AND NOW, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2023, the Court having conducted a Rule 16(b) scheduling conference and received the parties’ Joint Status Report Pursuant to Rule 26(f), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, initial disclosures shall be made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within **ten (10) days** of the date the Court enters this Order. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court’s Default Standard for Discovery, Including Discovery of Electronically Stored Information (“ESI”), which is posted at <http://www.ded.uscourts.gov> (see Other Resources, Default Standard for Discovery) and is

incorporated herein by reference. The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Paragraph 3 of the Default Standard within **thirty (30) days** of the date the Court enters this Order. The parties shall meet and confer about a proposed ESI Order and submit it to the court within **forty-five (45) days** from the date the Court enters this Order. Until the parties have agreed on an appropriate ESI Order or the Court has resolved a dispute concerning a proposed ESI Order and such order is entered, the Court's Default Standard shall control. The lack of agreement between the parties on an ESI Order shall not be used as a basis to refuse to respond to discovery requests while such order is pending.

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings, shall be filed on or before **October 16, 2023**. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, any motion to join a party or motion to amend the pleadings shall be made pursuant to the procedures set forth in Paragraphs 8(g) and 9.

3. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within **twenty-one (21) days** from the date of this Order. Any proposed protective order should comply with the factors set forth in Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg, 23 F.3d 772 (3d Cir. 1994). Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, counsel must follow the Provisions of Paragraph 8(g) below.

Any proposed protective order must include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the

parties should list any other level of designation, such as “highly confidential,” which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

4. Papers Filed Under Seal. In accordance with section G of the Revised Administrative Procedures Governing Filing and Service by Electronic Means, a redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven (7) days of the filing of the sealed document. Any request to seal should comply with the principles set forth in In re Avandia Mktg. Sales Pracs. & Prods. Liab. Litig., 924 F.3d 662, 671 (3d Cir. 2019).

5. Courtesy Copies. The parties shall provide to the Court one (1) courtesy copy of all briefs and other document filed in support of any briefs (*i.e.*, appendices, exhibits, declarations, affidavits etc.). This provision also applies to papers filed under seal. All courtesy copies shall be double-sided.

6. Disclosures. Absent agreement among the parties, and approval of the Court:

(a) By **March 23, 2023**, Defendants shall produce core technical documents related to the accused product(s), sufficient to show how the accused product(s) work(s), including but not limited to non-publicly available operation manuals, product literature, schematics, and specifications. Defendants shall also produce sales figures for the accused product(s).

(b) By **April 23, 2023**, Plaintiffs shall produce an initial claim chart relating each known accused product to the asserted claims each such product allegedly infringes.

(c) By **May 19, 2023**, Defendants shall produce their initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim, as well as the known related invalidating references.

(d) By **March 15, 2024**, Plaintiffs shall provide final infringement contentions.

(e) By **March 15, 2024**, Defendants shall provide final invalidity contentions.

7. Discovery. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or agreed to by parties, the limitations on discovery set forth in the Federal Rules shall be strictly observed.

(a) Fact Discovery Cut Off. All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **March 1, 2024**.

(b) Document Production. Document production shall be substantially complete by **October 13, 2023**.

(c) Requests for Admission. A maximum of 45 requests for admission are permitted for each side. Requests to admit the authenticity of a document shall not count toward this limit.

(d) Interrogatories.

i. A maximum of 25 interrogatories, including contention interrogatories, are permitted for each side.

ii. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. In the absence of agreement among the parties, contention interrogatories, if filed, shall first be addressed by the party with the burden of proof. The adequacy of all interrogatory answers shall be judged by the level of detail each party provides (*i.e.*, the more detail a party provides, the more detail a party shall receive).

(e) Depositions.

i. Limitations on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each side is limited to a total of 85 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination, including depositions of third parties. Any deposition lasting less than 4 hours will count as 4 hours against the total time of the side taking the deposition. Each deposition will be limited to seven (7) hours in length of on-the-record time except as specifically extended, by order of the Court, or otherwise

agreed to by the parties. For clarity, the hour limitations described in this paragraph do not apply to depositions of expert witnesses.

ii. Location of Depositions. The parties shall meet and confer regarding locations of depositions, taking into account convenience for the deponent.

(f) Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

i. Expert Reports. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before **April 5, 2024**. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **May 6, 2024**. Reply expert reports from the party with the initial burden of proof are due on or before **June 7, 2024**. No other expert reports will be permitted without either consent of all parties or leave of the Court. On or before **June 10, 2024**, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

ii. Expert Discovery Cut-Off. All expert discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **July 10, 2024**.

(g) Discovery Matters and Disputes Relating to Protective Orders. Should counsel find, after a reasonable effort pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1, that they are unable to resolve a discovery matter or a dispute relating to a protective order, a party may file correspondence on ECF that shall not exceed three (3) pages outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. Within seven (7) days thereafter, any responsive correspondence shall also be filed on ECF and shall not exceed three (3) pages. Upon review, the Court may schedule a telephone conference. Prior to the above submissions, counsel shall meet and confer to make every effort to come to an amicable resolution.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.