IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Civil Action No. 20-907-CFC

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC,

Defendant.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING LITIGATION PENDING A DECISION BY THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT IN RELATED CASE

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2017, Universal Secure Registry, LLC ("USR") filed a complaint for patent infringement against Apple Inc. ("Apple"), Visa Inc., and Visa U.S.A. Inc. (collectively, "Visa") styled *Universal Secure Registry LLC v. Apple Inc.*, et al., Case No. 17-585-CFC-SRF ("17-585 Case");

WHEREAS, USR asserted four patents in the 17-785 Case: U.S. Patent Nos. 8,577,813 ("'813 patent"), 8,856,539 ("'539 patent"), 9,100,826 ("'826 patent"), and 9,530,137 ("'137 patent") (collectively, the "17-585 Case Patents");

WHEREAS, on August 25, 2017, Apple and Visa moved to dismiss the 17-585 Case under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), see 17-585 Case, D.I. 16;



WHEREAS, Apple and Visa's motion argued that the 17-585 Case Patents were invalid for failure to claim patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101;

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2020, the Court issued a Memorandum Opinion and accompanying Order granting Apple and Visa's motion to dismiss the complaint in the 17-585 Case under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) ("101 Decision," 17-585 Case, D.I. 168);

WHEREAS, the 101 Decision ruled that the 17-585 Case Patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101, dismissed the complaint in the 17-585 Case with prejudice, and directed the case to be closed;

WHEREAS, on July 17, 2020, USR noticed its appeal of the Court's 101 Decision to the Federal Circuit ("17-585 Appeal," 17-585 Case, D.I. 170);

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2020, Apple filed a declaratory judgment complaint for non-infringement against USR, which requested the Court find that Apple does not infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 9,947,000 ("'000 patent"), 9,928,495 ("'495 patent"), and 10,163,103 ("'103 patent"), *see* D.I. 1; and

WHEREAS, on December 4, 2020, Apple filed an amended complaint for non-infringement against USR, which requested the Court find that Apple does not infringe the '103 patent;



NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by the parties, and subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. This case is stayed until the issuance of the mandate from the Federal Circuit with respect to the 17-585 Appeal.
- 2. If the Federal Circuit affirms the 101 Decision or if USR withdraws its appeal to the Federal Circuit of the 101 Decision with respect to any of the 17-585 Case Patents, then, based on this Court's reasoning in the 101 Decision, the '103 patent would be invalid for failure to claim patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. If such occurs, then, within 7 days of the mandate issuing or 7 days of USR withdrawing its appeal with respect to any of the 17-585 Case Patents, the parties will submit a proposed order to the Court declaring the '103 patent is invalid for failure to claim patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The proposed order will include a statement that USR waives any right to appeal the order.



RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.:	MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
/s/ Jason J. Rawnsley	EE1
Fredrick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)	/s/ Jeremy A. Tigan
Jason J. Rawnsley (#5379)	Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
920 North King Street	Jeremy A. Tigan (#5239)
Wilmington, DE 19801	1201 North Market Street
(302) 651-7700	P.O. Box 1347
cottrell@rlf.com	Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
rawnsley@rlf.com	(302) 658-9200
	jblumenfeld@mnat.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Apple Inc.	jtigan@mnat.com
December 4, 2020	Attorneys for Defendant Universal Secure Registry, LLC
SO ORDERED this da	y of, 2020
$\overline{\overline{\mathbf{U}}}$	Inited States District Court Judge