

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

AMERANTH, INC.)
)
 Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-518-VAC
 v.)
)
 OLO INC.)
)
 Defendant.)
 _____)

**PLAINTIFF AMERANTH, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECOVERY OF ATTORNEYS' FEES
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285 MOTION FOR EXCEPTIONAL CASE**

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

Stamatios Stamoulis #4606
Richard C. Weinblatt #5080
800 N. West Street, Third Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 999-1540
stamoulis@swdelaw.com
weinblatt@swdelaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ameranth, Inc.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. NATURE AND STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT.....	1
III. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
IV. LEGAL STANDARD.....	4
V. ARGUMENT.....	6
A. This Case Was Filed In Good Faith.....	6
1. The Patent-Eligibility Test Is a Subjective Test, the Federal Government and the Federal Circuit Are Unable to Consistently Apply and Predict Its Outcome, and Thus It Is Unreasonable to Expect Ameranth to Do That Which the Federal Government Cannot Do.....	7
2. Ameranth's Arguments of Patent-Eligibility Were Not Baseless.....	9
3. The Patent Office Issued the Asserted Claims in 2017 After the Supreme Court Established the Current Two-Step Patent-Eligibility Test in <i>Alice</i> in 2014.....	11
B. Deterrence Is Neither Justified Nor Necessary	15
C. Appellate Fees Should Not Be Awarded	17
D. The Totality Of The Circumstances Does Not Warrant A Finding That This Case Is Exceptional.....	18
E. Olo Proposed No Procedure to Substantiate Its Unjustified Fee Request	20
VI. CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF CITATIONS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	passim
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino’s Pizza, Inc.</i> , Case No.: 12cv0733 DMS (WVG) , 2021 WL 409725 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2021)	16
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino’s Pizza, LLC</i> , 792 Fed. Appx. 780 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	12, 16
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.</i> , No. 21-1228, 2022 WL 2111376 (U.S. June 13, 2022).....	1
<i>American Axle & Mfg., Inc. v. Neapco Holdings LLC</i> , 966 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	9
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	12
<i>Asghari-Kamrani v. United Services Automobile Ass’n</i> , Civil No. 2:15cv478, 2017 WL 4418424 (E.D. Va. July 27, 2017).....	14
<i>AstraZeneca AB v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd</i> , 232 F. Supp. 3d 636 (D. Del. 2017)	7
<i>Aten Int’l Co. v. Uniclass Tech.</i> , No. CV 15-04424-AG (AJWx), slip op. (C.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2018)	19
<i>Auto-Kaps, LLC v. Clorox Co.</i> , No. 15 Civ. 1737 (BMC) , 2017 WL 6210846 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2017).....	11
<i>Baggage Airline Guest Servs. v. Roadie, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 18-707-RGA, 2020 WL 757891 (D. Del. Feb. 14, 2020).....	6
<i>Bates v. Board of Education of the Capital School District</i> , C.A. No. 97-394, 2000 WL 1292677 (D. Del. Aug. 29, 2000)	20
<i>Belcher Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 17-775-LPS, 2022 WL 606075 (D. Del. 2022).....	17
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010).....	12
<i>Checkpoint Sys., Inc. v. All-Tag Sec. S.A.</i> , 858 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	7
<i>Classen Immunotherapies, Inc. v. Biogen</i> , 2014 WL 2069653 (D. Md. May 14, 2014)	6
<i>Cognex Corp. v. Microscan Systems, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-2027 (JSR), 2014 WL 2989975 (S.D.N.Y. June 30, 2014).....	6
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Electronics, Inc.</i> , 880 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	8

Credit Card Fraud Control Corp. v. Maxmind, Inc., No. 3:14-cv-3262, 2016 WL 3355163 (N.D. Tex. Apr. 7, 2016)..... 14

Data Engine Techs. LLC v. Google LLC, 906 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 9

EcoServices, LLC v. Certified Aviation Services, LLC, 830 Fed. Appx. 634 (Fed. Cir. 2020)..... 9

Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 8

EON Corp. IP Holdings LLC v. Cisco Systems Inc., Case No. 12-cv-01011-JST, 2014 WL 3726170 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2014) 18

Epcon Gas Sys., Inc. v. Bauer Compressors, Inc., 279 F.3d 1022 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 7

Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., 879 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 8

Gametek LLC v. Zynga, Inc., CV 13-2546 RS, 2014 WL 4351414 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2014)..... 5, 19

Garfum.com Corp. v. Reflections by Ruth, No. 14-5919, 2016 WL 7325467 (D. N.J. Dec. 16, 2016) 11, 12

Gaymar Indus., Inc. v. Cincinnati Sub-Zero Products, Inc., 790 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 18, 19

Global Cash Access, Inc. v. NRT Technology Corp., Case No. 2:15-cv-00822-MMD-GWF, 2018 WL 4566678 (D. Nev. Sept. 24, 2018) 19

Hyatt v. Kappos, 625 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 11

In re Kerydin (Tavaborole) Topical Solution 5% Patent Litig., MDL No. 18-cv-1606-RGA, 2021 WL 5168580 (D. Del. June 23, 2021), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2021 WL 4398356 (D. Del. Sept. 27, 2021)..... 14

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp., 838 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 8

Intellectual Ventures v. Erie Indemnity, 850 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 8

Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc., 790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 8

Inventor Holdings, LLC v. Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc., 876 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 12, 13

Kindred Studio Illustration & Design, LLC v. Elec. Commc'n Tech., LLC, No. 2:18-CV-07661 (GJS), 2019 WL 3064112 (C.D. Cal. May 23, 2019) 15

Marx v. General Revenue Corp., 133 S. Ct. 1166 (2013)..... 6

Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)..... 12

McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am. Inc., 837 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 8

Miller Mendel, Inc. v. City of Anna, No. 2:21-cv-00445-JRG, 2022 WL 2704790 (E.D. Tex. June 13, 2022)..... 12

Modine Mfg. Co. v. Allen Grp., Inc., 917 F.2d 538 (Fed. Cir. 1990) 20

Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 134 S. Ct. 1749, 1753 (2014) 2, 5, 7, 19

Printeron, Inc. v. BreezyPrint Corp., Civil Action No. H-13-3025, 2015 WL 7149442 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 10, 2015)..... 18

Pure Fishing, Inc. v. Normark Corp., No. 3:10-cv-02140-CMC, 2014 WL223096 (D.S.C. Jan. 21, 2014)..... 6

Quad Envtl. Techs. Corp. v. Union Sanitary Dist., 946 F.2d 870 (Fed. Cir. 1991) 11

Quest Licensing Corp. v. Bloomberg L.P., C.A. No. 14-561-LPS, 2019 WL 1376035 (D. Del. Mar. 27, 2019)..... 17

Rates Technology, Inc. v. Mediatrice Telecom, Inc., 688 F.3d 742 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 10

Repifi Vendor Logistics, Inc. v. Intellicentrics, Inc., No. 2021-1906, 2022 WL 794981 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 15, 2022)..... 9

Sciele Pharma Inc. v. Lupin Ltd., 684 F.3d 1253, 1258 (Fed Cir. 2012) 12

SFA Systems, LLC v. Newegg Inc., 793 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 5, 16

Small v. Implant Direct, No. 06 Civ. 683(NRB), 2014 WL 5463621 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 23, 2014)..... 18

Sparks v. Eastman Kodak Co., 230 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 17

Stone Basket Innovations, LLC v. Cook Medical LLC, 892 F.3d 1175 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 19

Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp., 839 F.3d 1138 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 8

Takeda Chem. Indus. v. Mylan Labs., 549 F.3d 1381 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... 6

Taurus IP, LLC v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 726 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 6

Trading Technologies Int'l Inc. v. CQG, Inc., 675 Fed. Appx. 1001 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 8

Trading Technologies Int'l, Inc. v IBG LLC, 921 F.3d 1084 (Fed. Cir. 2019)..... 8, 9

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC, 772 Fed. Appx. 890 (Fed. Cir. 2019)..... 13

YYZ, LLC v. Pegasystems, Inc., No. 13-581-SLR, 2016 WL 1761955 (D. Del. May 2, 2016)..... 15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.