

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

AMERANTH, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 20-518 (LPS)
)	
OLO INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**DEFENDANT’S OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS
MOTION FOR RECOVERY OF ATTORNEYS’ FEES UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
 Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
 Karen Jacobs (#2881)
 Cameron P. Clark (#6647)
 1201 North Market Street
 P.O. Box 1347
 Wilmington, DE 19899
 (302) 658-9200
 jblumenfeld@morrisnichols.com
 kjacobs@morrisnichols.com
 cclark@morrisnichols.com

OF COUNSEL:

Heidi L. Keefe
 Lowell D. Mead
 COOLEY LLP
 3175 Hanover Street
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
 (650) 843 5000

*Attorneys for Defendant
 Olo Inc.*

July 11, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	2
III. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	2
IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
A. The Federal Circuit Held Invalid Four Related Patents Under § 101	3
B. The California Court Found an Exceptional Case Under § 285 on a Related Patent Based In Part on Weakness Under § 101.....	5
C. The '651 Patent Is Substantially Similar to Ameranth's Invalid Related Patents.	6
1. The '651 patent specification is equally devoid of technological invention as the invalid related patents.	6
2. The asserted '651 claims are equally devoid of technological invention as the invalid related patent claims.	8
D. This Court and the Federal Circuit Held Invalid the Related '651 Patent on the Same Grounds as the Four Related Patents.	9
1. This Court held invalid the '651 patent based on <i>Apple</i> and <i>Domino's Pizza</i>	9
2. This Federal Circuit summarily affirmed this Court's invalidity judgment.	12
3. The Supreme Court denied Ameranth's certiorari petition.....	12
V. LEGAL STANDARD.....	13
VI. ARGUMENT	14
A. The Substantive Strength of Ameranth's Position was Exceptionally Weak and Ameranth Refused to Drop This Case.....	14
B. The Court Should Award Fees To Deter Ameranth And Similarly-Situated Patentees From Pursuing Meritless Litigation.	17
C. The Court Should Award Olo Its Attorneys' Fees For The Entire Case.	19
VII. CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc.</i> , 890 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	10, 16
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino's Pizza, Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-0733 DMS (WVG), 2021 WL 2550057 (S.D. Cal. June 21, 2021)	6
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino's Pizza, Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-0733 DMS (WVG), 2021 WL 409725 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2021).....	2, 5, 14, 18
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino's Pizza, LLC</i> , 141 S. Ct. 249 (2020).....	5
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino's Pizza, LLC</i> , 792 F. App'x 780 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Menusoft Sys. Corp.</i> , 2:07-cv-00271, Dkt. No. 263 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 20, 2010).....	3
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.</i> , Case No. 21-1228 (U.S. Mar. 7, 2022)	12
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.</i> , No. 2021-1211, 2021 WL 4699180 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 8, 2021).....	12
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.</i> , No. 21-1228, 2022 WL 2111376 (U.S. June 13, 2022).....	2, 13
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Pizza Hut, Inc.</i> , No. 3:11-cv-1810-DMS-WVG, Dkt. No. 1395 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2018).....	4
<i>American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc. v. Neapco Holdings LLC</i> , Case No. 20-891 (U.S. Dec. 28, 2020)	12, 13
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Customedia Techs., LLC v. Dish Network Corp.</i> , 951 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Elec. Commc’n Techs., LLC v. ShoppersChoice.com, LLC</i> , 958 F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	17
<i>Elec. Commc’n Techs., LLC v. ShoppersChoice.com, LLC</i> , 963 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	16
<i>Elec. Commc’n Techs., LLC v. ShoppersChoice.com, LLC</i> , No. 16-cv-81677-MARRA, 2020 WL 9440337 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 30, 2020)	16
<i>Finnavations LLC v. Payoneer, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 1:18-cv-00444-RGA, 2019 WL 1236358 (D. Del. Mar. 18, 2019).....	11, 17, 20
<i>Innovation Scis., LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 842 F. App’x 555 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	14
<i>Inventor Holdings, LLC v. Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Kindred Studio Illustration & Design, LLC v. Elec. Commc’n Tech., LLC</i> , No. 2:18-CV-07661 (GJS), 2019 WL 3064112 (C.D. Cal. May 23, 2019).....	16
<i>Mathis v. Spears</i> , 857 F.2d 749 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	19
<i>Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 545 (2014).....	13, 14
<i>Sensonics, Inc. v. Aerosonic Corp.</i> , 81 F.3d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	19
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 745 F.3d 513 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	19
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 1961.....	19
35 U.S.C.	
§ 101.....	<i>passim</i>
§ 285.....	<i>passim</i>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
Other Authorities	
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule	
12(b)(6)	2, 9
54(d)(2)(C)	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.