

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION )  
INNOVATIONS LLC, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. ) C.A. No. 19-2013-MN  
 )  
VIA TRANSPORTATION, INC. )  
 )  
Defendant. )

**OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS**

*Of Counsel:*

Leslie M. Spencer  
Hyun-Joong Kim  
Jon Tanaka  
ROPES & GRAY LLP  
1211 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-8704  
(212) 593-9000  
leslie.spencer@ropesgray.com  
daniel.kim@ropesgray.com  
jon.tanaka@ropesgray.com

Gabrielle E. Higgins  
ROPES & GRAY LLP  
1900 University Avenue, Sixth Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
(650) 617-4000  
gabrielle.higgins@ropesgray.com

ASHBY & GEDDES  
Steven J. Balick (#2114)  
Andrew C. Mayo (#5207)  
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor  
P.O. Box 1150  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899  
(302) 654-1888  
sbalick@ashbygeddes.com  
amayo@ashbygeddes.com

*Attorneys for Defendant  
Via Transportation, Inc.*

Dated: February 5, 2020

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND..... 4

    A. The Asserted '285 Patent..... 4

    B. A Virtually Identical Continuation Patent Has Been Found Patent Ineligible ..... 5

III. ARGUMENT..... 7

    A. Under *Alice* Step One, the Asserted '285 Patent Is Directed to the Abstract Idea of Retrieving an Address and Obtaining Route Guidance to That Address ..... 7

        1. Retrieving an Address and Obtaining Route Guidance to the Address Is a Long-Practiced Concept Performed by Humans Manually ..... 8

        2. Asserted Claim 13 Merely Invokes Generic Technology to Automate Long-Practiced Concepts ..... 11

        3. Functional Nature of Claim 13 Further Supports Patent Ineligibility ..... 13

    B. Under *Alice* Step Two, the Claims of the Asserted Patent Do Not Recite an Inventive Concept Sufficient to Transform the Claimed Abstract Idea Into Patent-Eligible Subject Matter..... 15

    C. Virtually Identical Claims Have Already Been Found Patent Ineligible..... 16

    D. Independent Claim 1 and All Dependent Claims Are Also Patent Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101..... 17

    E. The Issue of Patent Eligibility Is Appropriate to Resolve on Motion to Dismiss..... 19

IV. CONCLUSION..... 20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc.</i> , 890 F.3d 1354, 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	20
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. DirectTV, LLC</i> , 838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	2
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Baggage Airline Guest Servs., Inc. v. Roadie, Inc.</i> , 351 F. Supp. 3d 753 (D. Del. 2019).....	10
<i>British Telecommc’ns PLC v. IAC/InterActiveCorp.</i> , 381 F. Supp. 3d 293 (D. Del. 2019).....	16
<i>BSG Tech. LLC v. Buyseasons, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	18
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	16
<i>Callwave Commc’ns, LLC v. AT&amp;T Mobility</i> , 207 F. Supp. 3d 405 (D. Del. 2016).....	3, 9, 19
<i>Citrix Sys., Inc. v. Avi Networks, Inc.</i> , 363 F. Supp. 3d 511 (D. Del. 2019).....	19
<i>Clear with Computers, LLC v. Dick’s Sporting Goods, Inc.</i> , 21 F. Supp. 3d 758 (E.D. Tex. 2014).....	19
<i>Concaten, Inc. v. Ameritrak Fleet Sols., LLC</i> , 131 F. Supp. 3d 1166 (D. Colo. 2015).....	3, 9
<i>Content Extraction &amp; Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat’l Ass’n</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	10, 17
<i>Credit Acceptance Corp. v. Westlake Servs.</i> , 859 F.3d 1044 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	12, 13
<i>Data Engine Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , 906 F.3d 999 (Fed Cir. 2018).....	11, 13

..

*Diamond v. Diehr*,  
450 U.S. 175 (1981).....7

*Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.*,  
830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... *passim*

*Epic IP, LLC v. Backblaze, Inc.*,  
No. 1:18-cv-141WCB, 2018 WL 6107029 (D. Del. Nov. 21, 2018).....14

*FairWarning IP, LLC v. Iatric Sys., Inc.*,  
839 F.3d 1089 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....11

*Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.*,  
879 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....1, 11

*Finnavations LLC v. Payoneer, Inc.*,  
No. 1:18-cv-00445-RGA, 2019 WL 1236358 (D. Del. Mar. 18, 2019).....3, 10

*Finnavations LLC v. Payoneer, Inc.*,  
No. 18-cv-00444-RGA, 2018 WL 6168618 (D. Del. Nov. 26, 2018).....10

*Genetic Techs. Ltd. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.*,  
72 F. Supp. 3d 521 (D. Del. 2014).....20

*Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA)*,  
792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... *passim*

*OIP Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*,  
788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....12, 13

*Parus Holdings, Inc. v. Sallie Mae Bank*,  
137 F. Supp. 3d 660 (D. Del. 2015).....19

*RecogniCorp, LLC v. Nintendo Co.*,  
855 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....7

*Rothschild Location Technologies LLC v. Vantage Point Mapping Inc.*,  
No. 6:15-cv-682, 2016 WL 7049401 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 5, 2016) .....3, 6, 17

*Rothschild Location Technologies v. Geotab USA, Inc.*,  
No. 6:15-cv-682, 2016 WL 2847975 (E.D. Tex. May 16, 2016) .....5, 6

*Rothschild Location Technologies v. Geotab USA, Inc.*,  
No. 6:15-cv-682, 2016 WL 3584195 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 4, 2016) ..... *passim*

*Sandbox Software, LLC v. 18Birdies, LLC*,  
No. 18-1649-MN, 2019 WL 2524780 (D. Del. June 19, 2019).....2, 12, 13

...

<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. InvestPic, LLC</i> , 898 F.3d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	8, 10, 13
<i>Secured Mail Sols. LLC v. Universal Wilde Inc.</i> , 873 F.3d 905 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	18, 20
<i>In re TLI Commc’ns LLC Patent Litig.</i> , 823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16, 17
<i>Two-Way Media Ltd. v. Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC</i> , 874 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	13
<i>Wireless Media Innovations, LLC v. Maher Terminals, LLC</i> , 100 F. Supp. 3d 405 (D.N.J. 2015).....	9
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 101.....	<i>passim</i>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.