

EXHIBIT 2

No. 19-2405

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

FINJAN, INC.,
Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in Case
No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA, Judge William Alsup

**CORRECTED RESPONSE BRIEF OF APPELLEE
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.**

JONATHAN S. KAGAN
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067
(310) 277-1010

REBECCA CARSON
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400
Newport Beach, California 92660
(949) 760-0991

Attorneys for Defendant - Appellee Juniper Networks, Inc.

April 22, 2020

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Juniper Networks, Inc. (“Juniper”) certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party represented by me is:

Juniper Networks, Inc.

2. The parties named in the caption are the real parties in interest represented by me.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party represented by me are:

Dodge & Cox and Vanguard Group, Inc.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party now represented by me in the trial court or are expected to appear in this Court are:

Irell & Manella LLP: Jonathan Kagan, Alan Heinrich, Rebecca Carson, Harry Mittleman (no longer with firm), Nima Hefazi (no longer with firm), Joshua Glucoft (no longer with firm), Casey Curran (no longer with firm), Dennis Courtney, Alexis Federico, Sharon Song (no longer with firm), Ingrid Petersen, Kevin Wang (no longer with firm), and Elliott Lucas

5. The title and number of any case known to me to be pending in this or any other court of agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court’s decision in the pending appeal are:

Finjan, Inc. v. Qualys Inc., No. 4:18-cv-7229 (N.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Fortinet, Inc.*, 3:18-cv-6555 (C.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Check Point Software Techs., Inc.*, 3:18-cv-2621 (N.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. SonicWall, Inc.*, 5:17-cv-4467 (N.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. ESET, LLC*,

No. 3:17-cv-183 (S.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.*, 5:17-cv-72 (N.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Bitdefender Inc.*, 4:17-cv-4790 (N.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc.*, 4:14-cv-4908 (N.D. Cal.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Rapid7, Inc.*, 1:18-cv-1519 (D. Del.); *Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.*, No. 19-1837 (Fed. Cir.)

Dated: April 22, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

By: /s/ Jonathan S. Kagan

Jonathan S. Kagan

*Attorney for Defendant - Appellee Juniper
Networks, Inc.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	I
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	2
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	4
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	6
ARGUMENT	7
I. THE '154 PATENT: THE DISTRICT COURT CORRECTLY CONSTRUED “CONTENT PROCESSOR”	7
A. The '154 Patent Background.....	8
B. The Intrinsic Record Supports The District Court’s Construction ..	12
C. Finjan’s Additional Arguments Are Not Persuasive.....	15
D. The District Court Correctly Granted Summary Judgment	20
II. THE '780 PATENT: THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY CONSTRUED CLAIM 1’S FINAL STEP TO REQUIRE A SINGLE HASH.....	22
A. The '780 Patent Background.....	22
B. The District Court Properly Construed Claim 1’s “Hashing” Limitation	25
III. THE '494 PATENT: THE DISTRICT COURT CORRECTLY DENIED FINJAN’S MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL	30
A. The '494 Patent Background.....	31
B. The District Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion By Allowing Cross-Examination Regarding Finjan’s Changing Definitions	33

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.