

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FINJAN LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAPID7, INC., a Delaware Corporation and
RAPID7 LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
Company,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 18-1519-MN



REDACTED

**FINJAN LLC'S REPLY BRIEF SUPPORTING ITS
MOTION TO PRECLUDE TRIAL TESTIMONY OF RAPID7'S DAMAGES
EXPERT STEPHEN BECKER**

Proshanto Mukherji
Fish & Richardson P.C.
One Marina Park Drive
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 542-5070
mukherji@fr.com

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
Susan E. Morrison (#4690)
222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 652-5070
morrison@fr.com

Lawrence Jarvis
Fish & Richardson P.C.
1180 Peachtree Street NE, 21st Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309
(404) 892-5005
jarvis@fr.com

Juanita R. Brooks
Roger Denning
Jason W. Wolff
12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92130
(858) 678-5070
brooks@fr.com
denning@fr.com
wolff@fr.com

Dated: November 13, 2020

**ATTORNEYS FOR
PLAINTIFF FINJAN LLC**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. ARGUMENT.....	2
A. Dr. Becker’s Analysis in <i>Cisco</i> Highlights the Unreliability of His “Effective” Royalty Rate Calculations	2
B. Rapid7 Fails to Address the Flaws in the Data Underlying Dr. Becker’s Analysis	4
C. Rapid7 Does Not Explain Why Dr. Becker Ignores Certain License Agreements, or Refute that Those Agreements Are Comparable	7
III. CONCLUSION & RELIEF SOUGHT.....	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Exmark Mfg. Co. v. Briggs & Stratton Power Prods. Grp, LLC</i> , 879 F.3d 1332	4
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l., Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	4

I. INTRODUCTION

Rapid7's answering brief fails to address the serious flaws Finjan identified in Dr. Becker's opinions. As explained in Finjan's opening brief in support of its Motion to Exclude Dr. Becker's opinions, (D.I. 202), Dr. Becker's calculation of "effective" royalty rates is based on unreliable data and unsupported inferences, making his royalty rate calculations arbitrary, and therefore confusing and unhelpful to the jury. Dr. Becker's opinions should be excluded.

Rapid7's arguments about Dr. Becker's analysis in *Cisco*, far from undermining Finjan's critiques, highlight Finjan's point. Rapid7 states that Dr. Becker's analysis in *Cisco* relies on "the *exact same* data and evidence" as does his analysis here. (D.I. 221 at 15, emphasis in original.) That Dr. Becker used the "exact same" data, and [REDACTED], [REDACTED], proves the arbitrary nature of his calculations both here and in *Cisco*. And Rapid7 fails to [REDACTED], neither of which Finjan agrees with, and offers no explanation for the fact that, in most cases, [REDACTED]

Nor does Rapid7 address the unreliability of the data underlying Dr. Becker's "effective" royalty rate calculations. Rapid7 asserts that all Dr. Becker did was [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. (D.I. 221 at 2.) But that does not address Finjan's critique of Dr. Becker's analysis in its motion—that the numbers Dr. Becker used for his royalty base are without support in the record, and are [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Nor does Rapid7 address Finjan's critique, discussed further below, that Dr. Becker's selection of certain licenses, and his choice to ignore other comparable licenses, is wholly without support.

Moreover, Rapid7's repeated assertions that Finjan's failure to challenge certain portions of Dr. Becker's analysis in its *Daubert* motion must mean Finjan agrees with those opinions is simply not true. To be clear, while Finjan did not point out every flaw in Dr. Becker's report in support of its motion to exclude, Finjan disagrees with Dr. Becker's analysis in its entirety. To the extent Dr. Becker is permitted to testify at trial at all, Finjan reserves the right to challenge any portion of his opinion, including portions not expressly challenged at this stage. Nor should Rapid7's attempts to use its brief as an additional brief in support of its motion to exclude the opinions of Mr. Parr be given any weight, as those attempts at misdirection merely highlight Rapid7's failure to put in a well-supported opinion from Dr. Becker. The serious flaws in Dr. Becker's analysis that Finjan points to in its motion warrant exclusion of Dr. Becker's opinions on damages here, and Finjan respectfully requests that its motion to exclude be granted.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Dr. Becker's Analysis in *Cisco* Highlights the Unreliability of His "Effective" Royalty Rate Calculations

Rapid7's answering brief attempts to save Dr. Becker's analysis by arguing that Dr. Becker made his calculations in *Cisco* based on the "exact same" data he used for his calculations here. (D.I. 221 at 15.) But that is exactly Finjan's point. Dr. Becker's analysis here used the "exact same" data he utilized in the *Cisco* case and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]:

[REDACTED]

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.