

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FINJAN, LLC., a Delaware Limited Liability
Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAPID7, INC., a Delaware Corporation
and RAPID7 LLC, a Delaware Limited
Liability Company,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:18-cv-01519-MN

Jury Trial Demanded

**DEFENDANTS' BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S PARTIAL *DAUBERT*
MOTION TO PRECLUDE TRIAL TESTIMONY BY RAPID7'S EXPERTS
KEVIN ALMEROOTH, PH.D., PATRICK MCDANIEL, PH.D., AND SOMESH JHA,
PH.D., CONCERNING SUFFICIENCY OF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION
FOR THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

Dated: November 6, 2020

OF COUNSEL:

L. Norwood Jameson
Matthew C. Gaudet
Robin McGrath
David C. Dotson
John R. Gibson
Jennifer H. Forte

DUANE MORRIS LLP

1075 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2000
Atlanta, GA 30309
Tel.: (404) 253-6900
Fax: (404) 253-6901
wjameson@duanemorris.com
mcgaudet@duanemorris.com
rlmcgrath@duanemorris.com
dcdotson@duanemorris.com
jrgibson@duanemorris.com
jhforte@duanemorris.com

Richard L. Renck (No. 3893)
DUANE MORRIS LLP
222 Delaware Avenue,
Suite 1600
Wilmington, DE 19801-1659
Tel.: (302) 657-4900
Fax: (302) 657-4901
RLRenck@duanemorris.com

*Counsel for Defendants
Rapid7, Inc. and Rapid7 LLC*

Jarrad M. Gunther
Joseph A. Powers
DUANE MORRIS LLP
30 South 17th St.
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196
Tel.: (215) 979-1837
Fax: (215) 689-4921
jmgunther@duanemorris.com
japowers@duanemorris.com

Jordana Garellek
DUANE MORRIS LLP
Duane Morris LLP
1540 Broadway
New York, NY 10036-4086
Tel.: (212) 471-1829
Fax: (212) 214-0889
jgarellek@duanemorris.com

**admitted pro hac vice*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 1

III. ARGUMENT 1

 A. Legal Standard 1

 1. *Daubert* Motions 2

 2. Rule 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence 2

 3. Written Description..... 2

 B. Rapid7’s Experts Focus on The Scope of Specific Claims Elements in
View of Finjan’s Infringement Allegations 3

 1. Rapid7’s Experts Used Finjan’s Infringement Allegations To
Determine the Necessary Scope of Specific Claim Elements 3

 2. The Methodology Used by Rapid7’s Experts Is Correct 4

 C. Finjan Has No Support For Its Attack On The Methodology of
Defendants’ Experts..... 5

 D. Defendants’ Experts’ Opinions are Admissible Under Rule 403 9

IV. CONCLUSION..... 9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Rouse, 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)5

Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co., 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 2-3, 9

Auto. Techs. Int’l, Inc. v. BMW of N. Am., Inc., 501 F.3d 1274 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... 6-7

Brookhill-Wilk 1, LLC v. Intuitive Surgical, Inc., 334 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....6

Daubert v. Merrell Dow. Pharms., 509 U.S. 579 (1993) 2, 8-9

Hines v. CONRAIL, 926 F.2d 262 (3d Cir. 1991).....2

i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp., 598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....2

Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc., 481 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....5

LizardTech, Inc. v. Earth Resource Mapping, Inc., 424 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....4

Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)6

Rivera v. ITC, 857 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....5, 7

Simon v. Weissmann, 301 F. App’x 107 (3d Cir. 2008)8

SRI Int’l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am., 775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985)
(en banc).....6

Stecyk v. Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc., 295 F.3d 408 (3d Cir. 2002)9

Verinata Health, Inc. v. Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc., C.A. No. C 12-05501 SI, 2014
U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57519 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2014)4, 9

Visteon Glob. Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int’l, Inc., C.A. No. 10-cv-10578, 2016 U.S.
Dist. LEXIS 145316 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 20, 2016)5, 8

Visteon Glob. Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int’l, Inc., C.A. No. 2:10-cv-10578-PDB-
DRG, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 145816 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 10, 2016).....8

Visteon Glob. Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int’l, LLC, C.A. No. 10-cv-10578, 2015 U.S.
Dist. LEXIS 33306 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 18, 2015)5, 7

Ware v. Abercrombie & Fitch Stores Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-CV-00122 RLV, 2011
WL 13322747 (N.D. Ga. Oct. 17, 2011)5, 7

Ware v. Abercrombie & Fitch Stores Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-CV-00122 RLV, 2012
U.S. Dist. LEXIS 206575, 2012 WL 13134065 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 1, 2012)5

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 112..... 4, 6-8

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Evid. 4032, 9

Fed. R. Evid. 7022, 8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.