

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

FINJAN LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAPID7, INC., a Delaware Corporation
and RAPID7 LLC, a Delaware Limited
Liability Company,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:18-cv-01519-MN

Jury Trial Demanded

**REDACTED VERSION
(Filed on October 30, 2020)**

**OPENING BRIEF OF DEFENDANTS RAPID7, INC. AND RAPID7 LLC IN SUPPORT
OF THEIR MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS AND TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFF'S
EXPERTS, RUSSELL L. PARR, MICHAEL MITZENMACHER, NENAD
MEDVIDOVIC, ERIC COLE, AND MICHAEL GOODRICH**

Sealed Version

Dated: October 23, 2020

OF COUNSEL:

L. Norwood Jameson

Matthew C. Gaudet

David C. Dotson

John R. Gibson

Robin McGrath

Jennifer H. Forte

DUANE MORRIS LLP

1075 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2000

Atlanta, GA 30309

Tel.: (404) 253-6900

Fax: (404) 253-6901

wjameson@duanemorris.com

mcaudet@duanemorris.com

dcdotson@duanemorris.com

jrgibson@duanemorris.com

rlmcgrath@duanemorris.com

jhforte@duanemorris.com

Jarrad M. Gunther

DUANE MORRIS LLP

Richard L. Renck (I.D. No. 3893)

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600

Wilmington, DE 19801

Tel.: (302) 657-4900

Fax: (302) 657-4901

rlrenck@duanemorris.com

Counsel for Defendants

Rapid7, Inc. and Rapid7 LLC

DUANE MORRIS LLP

30 South 17th St.

Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196

Tel.: (215) 979-1837

Fax: (215) 689-4921

jmgunther@duanemorris.com

Jordana Garellek

DUANE MORRIS LLP

1540 Broadway

New York, NY 10036-4086

Tel.: (212) 471-1829

Fax: (212) 214-0889

jgarellek@duanemorris.com

**admitted pro hac vice*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. Mr. Parr’s Flawed Damages Analysis	2
B. Dr. Mitzenmacher’s Flawed Analysis of the ’154 and ’289 Patents	4
C. Drs. Mitzenmacher, Cole, Medvidovic, and Goodrich’s Flawed Characterizations of the ’408, ’305, ’154, ’289, and ’918 Patents	4
III. ARGUMENT AND CITATIONS TO AUTHORITY	4
A. Mr. Parr’s Opinions Should be Excluded	5
1. Mr. Parr’s Inability to Identify a Reasonable Royalty that Assumes All Patents-in-Suit are Valid and Infringed Demonstrates that His Opinions Are Methodologically Unsound and Would not Help—but Would Instead Confuse—the Jury	5
2. Mr. Parr’s Use of Finjan’s Notional Starting Point Royalty Rates Renders His Analysis Methodologically Flawed.....	8
3. Mr. Parr’s Apportionment Analysis Is Methodologically Flawed.....	10
4. Mr. Parr’s Projections of Revenues Into 2025 Are Unreliable.....	14
5. Mr. Parr Relies on Non-Comparable Settlement Agreements and Jury Verdicts that should be Excluded from the Evidence	16
B. Dr. Mitzenmacher’s Infringement Opinions for the ’154 and ’289 Patents Should be Excluded	21
1. ’154 Patent	21
2. ’289 Patent	24
C. The Opinions of Drs. Mitzenmacher, Cole, Medvidovic, and Goodrich Mischaracterizing the ’408, ’305, ’154, ’289, and ’918 Patents Should Be Excluded	26
IV. CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Federal Cases	
<i>Acceleration Bay LLC v. Activision Blizzard, Inc.</i> , 324 F. Supp. 3d 470 (D. Del. 2018)	17
<i>Allergen Sales, LLC v. UCB, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 8222619 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 7, 2016)	14
<i>Atlas IP, LLC v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 2014 WL 5741870 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 6, 2014)	17
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms.</i> , 509 U.S. 579, 597 (1993)	<i>Passim</i>
<i>Deere & Co. v. Int’l Harvester Co.</i> , 710 F.2d 1551 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	8
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys.</i> , Case No. 15-cv-03295, Dkt. 404 (redacted version), at 18-19 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2017)	19
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i> , 879 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	8, 13
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , Case No. 17-cv-00072-BLF, Dkt. 660 (redacted version) at 17-18 (N.D. Cal. June 5, 2020)	19
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	18
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.</i> , Case No. 14-cv-01197-WHO, 2016 WL 4268659 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2016)	11-13, 15
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.</i> , Case No. 14-cv-01197-WHO, 2016 WL 4702651 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 8, 2016)	13-14
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.</i> Case No. 3:14-cv-01197-WHO, Dkt. 453 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2017)	14, 19
<i>Giese v. Pierce Chem. Co.</i> , 43. F. Supp. 2d 98, 109-10 (D. Mass. 1999)	14
<i>Good Tech. Corp. v. Mobileiron, Inc.</i> , 5:12-cv-05826-PSG, 2015 WL 4090431 (N.D. Cal. July 5, 2015)	10
<i>Laitram Corp. v. Rexnord, Inc.</i> , 939 F.2d 1533 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	21, 23-25
<i>LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	19
<i>LP Matthews LLC v. Bath & Body Works, Inc.</i> , 458 F. Supp. 2d 198 (D. Del. 2006)	26
<i>Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> , 580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	15

Magnetar Techs. Corp. v. Six Flags Theme Parks Inc., No. 07-127-LPS-MPT,
2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15674, at *19-20 (D. Del. Feb. 7, 2014).....*Passim*

Mendenhall v. Cedarapids, Inc., 5 F.3d 1557 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....17

Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894).....11

Pineda v. Ford Motor Co., 520 F.3d 237 (3d Cir. 2008).....4

Stragent, LLC v. Intel Corp., 6:11-cv-421, 2014 WL 1389304
(E.D. Tex. Mar. 6, 2014).....10

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp., 632 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....18

Virnetx, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc., 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014)13

Whitserve, LLC v. Computer Packages, Inc., 694 F.3d 10 (Fed. Cir. 2012)8, 10

Wi-Lan Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc., 2019 WL 5681622 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 1, 2019)9

Rules

Fed. R. Evid. 40114

Fed. R. Evid. 40214

Fed. R. Evid. 4039, 17

Fed. R. Evid. 702*Passim*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.