

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

GENENTECH, INC. and CITY OF HOPE,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

AMGEN INC.,)

Defendant.)

C.A. No. 17-1407-CFC
(CONSOLIDATED)



PUBLIC VERSION FILED: July 12, 2019

GENENTECH, INC. and CITY OF HOPE)


Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,)

v.)

AMGEN INC.,)

Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.)

C.A. No. 18-924-CFC



**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO AMGEN'S
MOTION FOR PARTIAL REARGUMENT**

Dated: July 5, 2019

OF COUNSEL:

Paul B. Gaffney
David I. Berl
Thomas S. Fletcher
Kyle E. Thomason
Teagan J. Gregory
Charles L. McCloud
Kathryn S. Kayali
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 434-5000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Genentech, Inc.

MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Michael P. Kelly (# 2295)
Daniel M. Silver (# 4758)
Alexandra M. Joyce (#6423)
Renaissance Centre
405 N. King Street, 8th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel.: (302) 984-6300
Fax: (302) 984-6399
mkelly@mccarter.com
dsilver@mccarter.com
ajoyce@mccarter.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Genentech, Inc.
and City of Hope*

Daralyn J. Durie
Adam R. Brausa
Eric C. Wiener
Eneda Hoxha
DURIE TANGRI
271 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Genentech, Inc.
and City of Hope*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BACKGROUND1

ARGUMENT3

I. THE COURT DID NOT ERR IN DEFINING THE SCOPE OF WAIVER.....3

 A. The Proposed Exception Is Legally Unjustified.4

 B. Amgen’s Proposal Invites Confusion and Gamesmanship.8

II. THE COURT SHOULD NOT REWARD AMGEN’S SELF-HELP.....8

III. THE COURT SHOULD NOT REVISIT BIFURCATION.....9

CONCLUSION.....10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

B.B. ex rel. Catherine B. v. Del. Coll. Preparatory Acad., No. CV 16-806-CFC,
2019 WL 949204 (D. Del. Feb. 27, 2019)3

Collaboration Properties, Inc. v. Polycom, Inc., 224 F.R.D. 473 (N.D. Cal. 2004)7

Convolve, Inc. v. Compaq Computer Corp., No. 00-5141(GBD)(JCF), 2007 WL
4205868 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 26, 2007)6

Crown Packaging Tech., Inc. v. Rexam Beverage Can Co., 498 F. Supp. 2d 734
(D. Del. 2007)10

Deere & Co. v. AGCO Corp., No. CV 18-827-CFC, 2019 WL 668492 (D. Del.
Feb. 19, 2019)9

Dentsply Int’l, Inc. v. Kerr Mfg. Co., 42 F. Supp. 2d 385 (D. Del. 1999)3

Electro Sci. Indus., Inc. v. Gen. Scanning, Inc., 175 F.R.D. 539 (N.D. Cal. 1997).....6

Hydranautics v. FilmTec Corp., 306 F. Supp. 2d 958 (S.D. Cal. 2003).....5

In re EchoStar Commc’ns Corp., 448 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....3, 4, 6

In re Seagate Tech., LLC, 497 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (en banc), *abrogated on
other grounds by Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.*, 136 S. Ct. 1923
(2016).....2, 4, 6

Johns Hopkins Univ. v. Alcon Labs., Inc., No. CV 15-525-SLR/SRF, 2017 WL
3013249 (D. Del. July 14, 2017).....4

Medtronic Inc. v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp., No. CV 11-1650(JNE/JSM), 2013
WL 12149252 (D. Minn. Nov. 8, 2013)7

Plano Encryption Techs., LLC v. Alkami Tech., Inc., Nos. 2:16-cv-1032-JRG,
2:16-cv-1072-JRG, 2017 WL 8727249 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 22, 2017).....5

Saint-Gobain/Norton Indus. Ceramics Corp. v. Gen. Elec. Co., 884 F. Supp. 31
(D. Mass. 1995).....6

Schering Corp. v. Amgen, Inc., 25 F. Supp. 2d 293 (D. Del. 1998)3, 5

SenoRx, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc., 920 F. Supp. 2d 565 (D. Del. 2013).....10

Sonos, Inc. v. D&M Holdings Inc., No. CV 14-1330-WCB, 2017 WL 5633204
(D. Del. Nov. 21, 2017)10

..

Steelcase Inc. v. Haworth, Inc., 954 F. Supp. 1195 (W.D. Mich. 1997)7

Stryker Corp. v. Intermedics Orthopedics, Inc., 96 F.3d 1409 (Fed. Cir. 1996)5

Thorn Emi N. Am., Inc. v. Micron Tech., Inc., 837 F. Supp. 616 (D. Del. 1993).....7

TV Interactive Data Corp. v. Microsoft Corp., No. C 02 02385 JSW, 2005 WL
1910929 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2005).....5

Wisc. Alumni Research Foundation v. Apple, Inc., No. 14-062-WMC, 2015 WL
5009880 (W.D. Wisc. Aug 20, 2015)7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.