

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) C.A. No. 18-303-RGA
)
HOSPIRA, INC. and ORION CORP.,)
)
Defendants.)

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
Alan R. Silverstein (#5066)
Hercules-Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
Phone: (302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com
asilverstein@potteranderson.com

OF COUNSEL

Neal Seth
Lawrence M. Sung
Bethany A. Corbin
WILEY REIN LLP
1776 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Phone: (202) 719-7000
nseth@wileyrein.com
lsung@wileyrein.com
bcorbin@wileyrein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Baxter Healthcare Corporation

Dated: October 15, 2018

CONNOLLY GALLAGHER LLP

Arthur G. Connolly, III (#2667)
Ryan P. Newell (#4744)
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Phone: (302) 757-7300
aconnolly@connollygallagher.com
mnewell@connollygallagher.com

OF COUNSEL

Bradford P. Lyerla
Sara T. Horton
Yusuf Esat
Ren-How Harn
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
353 N. Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60654-3456
Phone: (312) 222-9350
blyerla@jenner.com
shorton@jenner.com
yesat@jenner.com
rharn@jenner.com

Attorneys for Defendants Hospira, Inc. and Orion Corp.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
I. DEFENDANTS’ INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. BAXTER’S INTRODUCTION.....	2
III. AGREED UPON CONSTRUCTION	3
IV. DISPUTED CONSTRUCTION – INTENSIVE CARE UNIT	3
A. DEFENDANTS’ OPENING POSITION	3
B. BAXTER’S ANSWERING POSITION.....	6
1. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence Supports Baxter’s Claim Construction.....	7
2. Hospira Itself Has Previously Advocated for Baxter’s Construction.....	12
C. DEFENDANTS’ REPLY POSITION	14
1. Summary.....	14
2. Baxter’s Non-Infringement Argument is Erroneous and Irrelevant.....	15
3. Baxter’s Construction is Unduly Narrow	15
i There is no dispute that intensive care patients are “critically ill”	15
ii Baxter’s construction imports unwarranted requirements.....	16
D. BAXTER’S SUR-REPLY POSITION	19
1. Background.....	19
2. Argument	19
i Hospira’s Construction Is Circular And Ignores Intrinsic And Extrinsic Evidence...	20
ii Hospira’s Construction Reads Out The Word “Unit.”	22
iii “Typically” Adds Ambiguity To An Otherwise Precise Construction.....	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Brewington v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> , 45 F. Supp. 3d 1215 (D. Nev. 2014).....	7, 20
<i>Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.</i> , 93 F.3d 1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	23
<i>Fathauer v. United States</i> , 566 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	3
<i>Fitness Quest Inc. v. Monti</i> , No. 5:06-cv-02691, 2011 WL 2530948 (N.D. Ohio June 20, 2011)	11
<i>Genzyme Corp. v. Atrium Med. Corp.</i> , 212 F. Supp. 2d 292 (D. Del. 2002).....	7, 20
<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Amneal Pharm. LLC</i> , No. 15-697-RGA, 2016 WL 3021719 (D. Del. May 25, 2016).....	13
<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC</i> , No. 16 C 651, 2017 WL 5891058 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 27, 2017).....	13
<i>Junio v. Livingston Par. Fire Dist. No. 5</i> , 717 F.3d 431 (5th Cir. 2013)	7
<i>Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.</i> , 579 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	3
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	11
<i>Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co. v. Darden</i> , 503 U.S. 318 (1992).....	7, 20
<i>Nautilus Grp., Inc. v. Icon Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 82 F. App'x 691 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	23
<i>O₂ Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	12, 20
<i>Prolifa Software Inc. v. Veeva Sys. Inc.</i> , No. C13-03644, 2014 WL 3870016 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2014).....	7

Reddy Ice Corp. v. Schur Mktg. & Techs. U.S.A. Inc.,
 No. CV09-1670, 2010 WL 11515495 (D. Ariz. June 9, 2010).....11

Tex. Instruments, Inc. v. U.S. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
 988 F.2d 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....23

Statutes

21 U.S.C. § 505(j)(2)(A)(viii).....2

Other Authorities

U.S. Patent No. 6,716,867..... *passim*

U.S. Patent No. 8,455,527.....4

Extrinsic Evidence

’867 Patent, May 2, 2003, Amendment and Request for Continued Examination.....10

David Crippen & Sergei Ermakov, *Stress Agitation and Brain Failure in Critical Care medicine*, *Critical Care Nursing Q.* 52 (Aug. 1992)10, 21, 22

Elizabeth Caudwell et al., *Nursing Considerations in Intensive Care Unit Sedation and Experience with Dexmedetomidine*, *Int’l Congress & Symposium Series No. 221* (Royal Society of Medicine Press Ltd. 1998)11

Hospira Inc. v. Amneal Pharm. LLC, CA 15-697-RGA, Joint Claim Construction Brief (D. Del. Apr. 28, 2016) (D.I. 44).....20

Hospira Inc. v. Amneal Pharm. LLC, CA 15-697-RGA, Claim Construction Memorandum (D. Del.) (D.I. 57)..... *passim*

Hospira, Inc. v. Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd., No. 2:10-cv-14514 (D. Del. Apr. 13, 2012) (D.I. 51)12, 13

Hospira, Inc. v. Eurohealth Int’l Sarl, C.A. No. 14-14-1008 (D. Del. Aug. 21, 2015) (D.I. 53)12, 13

Hospira, Inc. v. Eurohealth Int’l Sarl, C.A. No. 14-487-GMS (D. Del.) (D.I. 89).....4

Hospira Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC, CA 16-651 (N.D. Ill.), Claim Construction Memorandum (D.I. 69) *passim*

Hospira Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., CA 9-4591 (D.N.J.), Draft Markman Opinion.....4, 20, 21

Intensive Care Unit, *Collins English Dictionary*,
<https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/intensive-care-unit>8, 22

Jean Mantz et al., *Phase III Study on Dexmedetomidine Used for Postoperative sedation of Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation for Less than 24 Hours: The French Experience* (2002)10

Marie T. O’Toole Ed.D., R.N., F.A.A.N., Miller-Keane Encyclopedia and Dictionary of Medicine, Nursing, and Allied Health (7th ed. 2003)8, 22

Michael L. Pepperman, *Benzodiazepine Sedation and the Use of Benzodiazepine Antagonists in Intensive Care*, *Intensive Therapy & Clinical Monitoring*, 58 (Feb. 1989).....10, 22

Stedman’s Concise Medical Dictionary (4th ed. 2001)5, 8, 22

The Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Treatment (19th ed. 2011)..... *passim*

The New American Medical Dictionary and Health Manual (7th ed. 1999).....5, 8, 22

Unit, Merriam-Webster Dictionary, <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/unit> (last updated Aug. 18, 2018).....11

Vallire D. Hooper & Beverly George-Gay, *Sedation in the Critically Ill Patient*, 9 *Critical Care Nursing Clinics of N.A.* 395 (Sept. 1997).....10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.