

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) C.A. No. 18-303-RGA
)
HOSPIRA, INC. and ORION CORP.,) **PUBLIC VERSION**
)
Defendants.)

**OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF BAXTER'S
MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

OF COUNSEL:

Neal Seth
Lawrence M. Sung
Bethany A. Corbin
WILEY REIN LLP
1776 K Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 719-7000

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
Alan R. Silverstein (#5066)
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com
asilverstein@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Baxter Healthcare Corporation*

Date: April 24, 2018
Public version dated: May 1, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	2
III. LEGAL STANDARDS	2
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	3
V. STATEMENT OF FACTS	4
VI. ARGUMENT	7
A. Baxter is Entitled to a Declaration of Noninfringement for the '158 Patent, '470 Patent, and '527 Patent as a Matter of Law.	7
1. The Baxter ANDA Product Is Not “Disposed Within a Sealed Glass Container,” which is A Necessary Element of the Claims of the Glass Patents.	8
2. Prosecution History Estoppel Prevents Defendants from Asserting Infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents.	9
B. Baxter is Entitled to a Declaration of Noninfringement for the '867 Patent.	11
1. Baxter Does Not Directly Infringe the '867 Patent.	11
2. Baxter Does Not Induce Infringement of the '867 Patent.	12
i Baxter Carved Out the Infringing ICU Sedation Indication.	13
ii Procedural Sedation Does Not Infringe the '867 Patent.	14
iii Knowledge of Downstream Infringement is Insufficient to Create Intent for Inducement.	17
iv Baxter Has No Intent to Market the Baxter ANDA Product for Use in the ICU.	18
3. The Baxter ANDA Product Does Not Contributorily Infringe the '867 Patent.	19
C. Baxter Did Not Infringe the '867 Patent By Filing an ANDA Application.	19
VII. CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Novopharm Ltd.</i> , 323 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	9
<i>Alza Corp. v. Andrx Pharm., LLC</i> , 607 F. Supp. 2d 614 (D. Del. 2009).....	12
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	12
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (<i>AstraZeneca 2010</i>).....	12, 17, 18
<i>AstraZeneca Pharm., LP v. Apotex Corp.</i> , 669 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (<i>AstraZeneca 2012</i>).....	3, 19, 20
<i>Bayer AG v. Elan Pharm. Research Corp.</i> , 212 F.3d 1241 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	8, 9, 10
<i>Centrak, Inc. v. Sonitor Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 14-183, 2017 WL 3730617 (D. Del. Aug. 30, 2017).....	3
<i>DiCarlo v. St. Mary Hosp.</i> , 530 F.3d 255 (3d Cir. 2008).....	3
<i>DSU Med. Corp. v. JMS Co.</i> , 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	12
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc.</i> , 845 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	11, 17
<i>Eli Lilly Co. v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 496 U.S. 661 (1990).....	19
<i>Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	11
<i>Ferring B.V. v. Watson Labs., Inc.-Fla.</i> , 764 F.3d 1401 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	13
<i>Genetic Techs. Ltd. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.</i> , 72 F. Supp. 3d 521 (D. Del. 2014).....	3

GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.,
 No. 14-878, 2016 WL 3946770 (D. Del. July 20, 2016)14

Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Grp. Int’l, Inc.,
 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....3

Hospira Inc. v. Burwell,
 No. 14-02662, 2014 WL 4406901 (D. Md. Sept. 5, 2014).....7, 16

Hospira, Inc. v. Amneal Pharm., LLC,
 Nos. 18-1522, 18-1688 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 6, 2018).....9

Hospira, Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC,
 No. 16C651, 2017 WL 5891058 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 27, 2017).....10

Johnson & Johnston Assocs. Inc. v. R.E. Serv. Co.,
 285 F.3d 1046, 1051 (Fed. Cir. 2002) (en banc).....11

Mas-Hamilton Grp. v. LaGard, Inc.,
 156 F.3d 1206 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....8

Otsuka Pharm. Co., Ltd. v. Torrent Pharm. Ltd., Inc.,
 99 F. Supp. 3d 461 (D.N.J. 2015)14

Rosenau v. Unifund Corp.,
 539 F.3d 218 (3d Cir. 2008).....3

Sanofi v. Glenmark Pharm. Inc., USA,
 204 F. Supp. 3d 665 (D. Del. 2016).....12

Takeda Pharm. USA, Inc. v. West-Warn Pharm. Corp.,
 785 F.3d 625 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....13, 14, 17

Vehicle Operation Techs. LLC v. Am. Honda Motor Co. Inc.,
 67 F. Supp. 3d 637 (D. Del. 2014).....8

Warner-Lambert Co. v. Apotex Corp.,
 316 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....12, 13, 17, 18

Statutes

21 U.S.C. § 331(a)18

21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV)6

21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(viii).....6

35 U.S.C. § 112(d)8

35 U.S.C. § 271..... *passim*

Other Authorities

FDA Decision Letter (Aug. 18, 2014), Docket No. FDA-2014-N-0087 *passim*

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(c) 2, 3

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(d) 3

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a) 3

Hospira, Inc. v. Sandoz Int'l GmbH, No. 3:09-cv-04591, ECF No. 397 (D.N.J.)..... 16

Hospira Submission (Jan. 24, 2014), Docket No. FDA-2014-N-0087 15

U.S. Patent No. 5,344,840..... 15, 16

U.S. Patent No. 6,716,867..... *passim*

U.S. Patent No. 8,242,158..... *passim*

U.S. Patent No. 8,338,470..... *passim*

U.S. Patent No. 8,455,527..... *passim*

Zachary Brennan, *Trump FY 2019 Budget Calls for 180-Day Exclusivity Changes for Generic Drugs*, Regulatory Affairs Professionals Soc. (Feb. 12, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2o2rkj3>..... 1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.