

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING)	
LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 17-1519 (VAC) (MPT)
v.)	
)	
BRIGHTCOVE INC., and)	
BRIGHTCOVE HOLDINGS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS BRIGHTCOVE INC.
AND BRIGHTCOVE HOLDINGS, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(B)(6)**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

Sonali D. Maitra
Timothy C. Saulsbury
DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 362-6666

Attorneys for Defendants

January 26, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITITES.....	ii
I. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
III. STATEMENT OF FACTS	2
A. The Fallon Patents And Realtime’s Infringement Allegations.....	2
B. The Non-Fallon Patents And Realtime’s Infringement Allegations.....	3
IV. ARGUMENT	4
A. Realtime’s Claims Fail Under <i>Twombly</i> And <i>Iqbal</i>	4
1. Realtime Fails To State A Claim For The Fallon Patents.....	5
2. Realtime Fails To State A Claim For The Non-Fallon Patents	7
B. The Fallon Patents Are Patent-Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	9
1. The Fallon Patents’ Ineligibility Is Ripe For Decision Under Rule 12.....	9
2. The Claims Should Rise Or Fall Together.....	9
3. Abstract Ideas Coupled With Conventional Technology Are Not Patent Eligible.....	10
4. Step One: The Fallon Patents Are Directed To An Abstract Idea	11
5. Step Two: The Fallon Patents Claim Only Conventional Technology	15
6. None Of The Minor Variations On The Abstract Idea Found In Other Claims Alter The Analysis.....	18
V. CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>Accenture Glob. Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	9
<i>Addiction & Detoxification Inst. L.L.C. v. Carpenter</i> , 620 F. App'x 934 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	1, 4, 8
<i>Atlas IP, LLC v. Exelon Corp.</i> , 189 F. Supp. 3d 768 (N.D. Ill. 2016)	5
<i>Atlas IP LLC v. Pac. Gas & Elec.</i> , No. 15-cv-05469-EDL, 2016 WL 1719545 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2016)	4, 7
<i>Bell Atl. Corp v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	1, 4
<i>In re Bill of Lading Transmission & Processing Sys. Patent Litig.</i> , 681 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6
<i>In re Bilski</i> , 545 F.3d 943 (Fed. Cir. 2008), <i>aff'd</i> , <i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010)	9
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	9, 18
<i>Cleveland Clinic Found. v. True Health Diagnostics LLC</i> , 859 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	10
<i>Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat'l Ass'n</i> , 776 F.3d 1343, 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	10, 11, 14, 17
<i>CyberFone Sys., LLC v. Cellco P'ship</i> , 885 F. Supp. 2d 710 (D. Del. 2012).....	18
<i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	15

Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.,
758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....11, 13, 14

Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.,
830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....15, 16

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Fin. Corp.,
850 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....18

Modern Telecom Sys., LLC v. TCL Corp.,
C.A. No. 17-583-LPS-CJB, 2017 WL 6524526 (D. Del. Dec. 21, 2017)5

Personalized Media Commc’ns, LLC v. Amazon.Com, Inc.,
161 F. Supp. 3d 325 (D. Del. 2015), *aff’d sub nom.*, 671 F. App’x 777 (Fed.
Cir. 2016)20

Raindance Techs., Inc. v. 10x Genomics, Inc.,
C.A. No. 15-152-RGA, 2016 WL 927143 (D. Del. Mar. 4, 2016).....4

Realtime Data, LLC v. Carbonite, Inc.,
No. 6:17-cv-00121, 2017 WL 4693969 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 20, 2017).....17

RecogniCorp, LLC v. Nintendo Co.,
855 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2017)..... *passim*

SiRF Tech., Inc. v. ITC,
601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....9

Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.,
839 F.3d 1138 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....11

In re TLI Commc’ns LLC Patent Litig.,
823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....11, 14, 16, 17

Two-Way Media Ltd. v. Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC,
874 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....17

Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC,
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....9, 19

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 101.....1, 9, 11, 17

Rules

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 129

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC	“Realtime” or “Plaintiff”
Defendants Brightcove Inc. and Brightcove Holdings, Inc.	“Brightcove” or “Defendants”
U.S. Patent No. 8,934,535	the “’535 patent”
U.S. Patent No. 9,769,477	the “’477 patent”
U.S. Patent No. 8,929,442	the “’442 patent”
U.S. Patent No. 9,762,907	the “’907 patent”
U.S. Patent No. 7,386,046	the “’046 patent”
the five patents referenced above, collectively	the “Fallon Patents”
U.S. Patent No. 8,634,462	the “’462 patent”
U.S. Patent No. 9,578,298	the “’298 patent”

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.