

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS  
and CARMEL LABORATORIES, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

L'ORÉAL USA, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 17-868-CFC-SRF

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| I.   | Plaintiffs’ Opening Position .....  | 1  |
| A.   | Overview of the Patented Inventions .....   | 3  |
| B.   | Defendant’s Construction Was Rejected by the PTO.....   | 7  |
| C.   | Defendant Attempts to Rewrite the Claims and Defies the<br>Intrinsic Evidence with its Proffered Construction.....                          | 10 |
| II.  | Defendant’s Answering Position .....  | 20 |
| A.   | Introduction .....  | 20 |
| B.   | Background .....  | 22 |
| C.   | The Intrinsic Evidence Compels Construing the Disputed<br>Claim Term to Refer to the Concentration of Adenosine<br>Applied to the Skin..... | 26 |
| 1.   | The Claims of the Patents-in-Suit Support L’Oréal<br>USA’s Proposed Construction .....  | 27 |
| 2.   | The Specification of the Patents-in-Suit Supports<br>L’Oréal USA’s Proposed Construction .....  | 32 |
| 3.   | L’Oréal USA’s Proposed Construction Is Confirmed<br>by the Prosecution History.....   | 36 |
| a.   | The Prosecution Leading to the ’327 Patent.....   | 38 |
| b.   | The Prosecution Leading to the ’513 Patent.....   | 45 |
| c.   | The Prosecution of Related Patent Applications .....  | 48 |
| III. | Plaintiffs’ Reply Position.....   | 52 |
| 1.   | The PTO Correctly Decided This Dispute Long Ago .....   | 53 |
| 2.   | The Intrinsic Evidence Compels a Plain and Ordinary<br>Meaning Construction.....  | 55 |

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.  | The Court Should Strike Defendant’s Expert Declaration .....                        | 65 |
| 4.  | Conclusion .....  | 68 |
| IV. | Defendant’s Sur-Reply Position .....  | 68 |
| A.  | Introduction .....  | 68 |
| B.  | The Board’s Claim Construction Ruling Is Incorrect and Should Not Be Followed ..... | 70 |
| C.  | The Intrinsic Evidence Supports L’Oréal USA’s Construction .....                    | 71 |
| 1.  | The Claim Language .....  | 71 |
| 2.  | The Specification .....   | 73 |
| 3.  | The Prosecution History .....   | 74 |
| D.  | Dr. Kasting’s Declaration Is Proper Claim Construction Evidence .....               | 77 |
| E.  | Conclusion .....  | 79 |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

|   | Page(s)    |
|---|------------|
| <b>Cases</b>  |            |
| <i>01 Communique Lab., Inc. v. Citrix Sys., Inc.</i> ,<br>889 F.3d 735 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....               | 51         |
| <i>Abbott Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> ,<br>566 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....                               | 18         |
| <i>ACCO Brands, Inc. v. Micro Sec. Devices, Inc.</i> ,<br>346 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....              | 43, 48     |
| <i>Am. Calcar, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> ,<br>651 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....                    | 29         |
| <i>Amgen Inc. v. Coherus Biosciences, Inc.</i> ,<br>931 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....                    | 42, 63, 76 |
| <i>Aqua-Aerobic Sys., Inc. v. Aerators Inc.</i> ,<br>211 F.3d 1241 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....                   | 67         |
| <i>Autogiro Co. of Am. v. United States</i> ,<br>384 F.2d 391 (Ct. Cl. 1967) .....                          | 61         |
| <i>Avid Tech., Inc. v. Harmonic Inc.</i> ,<br>812 F.3d 1040 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....                          | 28         |
| <i>Bd. Of Regents of the Univ. of Tex. Sys. v. BENQ Am. Corp.</i> ,<br>533 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2008) ..... | 12, 72     |
| <i>Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC</i> ,<br>713 F.3d 1090 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....                   | 37, 51     |
| <i>Biogen, Inc. v. Berlex Labs., Inc.</i> ,<br>318 F. 3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....                        | 44, 64     |
| <i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> ,<br>288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....                       | 2, 3, 56   |
| <i>CIAS, Inc. v. All. Gaming Corp.</i> ,<br>504 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....                            | 57, 59     |

*Cree, Inc. v. SemiLEDs Corp.*,  
 No. CIV.A. 10-866-RGA, 2012 WL 975697 (D. Del. Mar. 21, 2012) .....64

*Depuy Orthopaedics, Inc. v. Orthopaedic Hosp.*,  
 No. 12-299, 2016 WL 96164 (N.D. Ind. Jan. 8, 2016).....26

*Desper Products, Inc. v. QSound Labs, Inc.*,  
 157 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 1998) .....37

*Dippin’ Dots, Inc. v. Mosey*,  
 476 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....71, 72

*Ecolab, Inc., v. FMC Corp.*,  
 569 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....2, 19

*Engel Indus., Inc. v. Lockformer Co.*,  
 96 F.3d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....37

*Eon Corp. IP Holdings v. Silver Spring Networks*,  
 815 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....27

*Eon-Net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp*,  
 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....34

*Fitness Anywhere LLC v. Woss Enters. LLC*,  
 No. 14-CV-01725-BLF, 2015 WL 7293659 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2015) .....54

*Griffin v. Bertina*,  
 285 F.3d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....29

*Grober v. Mako Prods., Inc.*,  
 686 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....18, 62

*In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.*,  
 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....70

*Johnson Worldwide Assocs., Inc. v. Zebco Corp.*,  
 175 F.3d 985 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....2

*MasterMine Software, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.*,  
 874 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....48

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.