Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 233-1 Filed 06/30/20 Page 1 of 16 PageID #: 9407

# EXHIBITS A-B REDACTED IN

### REDACTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY

**R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 233-1 Filed 06/30/20 Page 2 of 16 PageID #: 9408

## EXHIBIT C

DOCKET **R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Δ \_

Δ

	1 3
1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT	
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWA	
3	3 I'm prepared to address the discovery dispute in
UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS ) 4 MEDICAL SCHOOL and CARMEL )	4 U Mass versus L'Oreal. Let me find out who is
LABORATORIES, LLC, ) 5 ) Plaintiffs, ) C.A. No	5 on the call. First, do we have our court
6 ) V. )	6 stenographer, Ms. Gunning?
7 7 L'OREAL S.A. and L'OREAL )	7 COURT REPORTER: Yes. This is
8 USA, INC.,	8 Stacy Ingram from Hawkins, Your Honor.
9 Defendants. )	9 THE COURT: Oh, sorry, Stacy. I
10 Friday, April 24, 2020	10 was informed it might be Val Gunning. Thank you
11 11:00 a.m.	11 for being available this morning. Is my law
12 844 King Street	12 clerk, Ms. Polito, on the line?
13 Wilmington, Delaware	13 LAW CLERK: Yes, Judge, I'm on the
14	14 line.
15 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SHERRY R. FALLO United States District Court Juc	
16	<b>16</b> And let's start with appearances of counsel for
17 18 APPEARANCES:	17 the University of Massachusetts, et al. Who is
10 AFFEARANCES. 19 FARNAN LLP	18 on the line starting with Delaware counsel?
BY: BRIAN FARNAN, ESQ.	19 MR. FARNAN: Good morning, Your
-and- 21	20 Honor. Brian Farnan on behalf of the plaintiff
22 SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP 22 BY: JUSTIN A. NELSON, ESQ.	21 and with me is Justin Nelson, Tamar Lusztig and
BY: TAMAR LUSZTIG, ESQ. 23 BY: BEATRICE FRANKLIN, ESQ.	<b>22</b> Beatrice Franklin, all from Susman Godfrey.
24 Counsel for the	e Plaintiffs <b>23</b> THE COURT: All right. And who is
Hawkins Reporting Service	24 on the line for L'Oreal?
112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware (302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418	
(302) 030-0037 FRA (302) 030-0410	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904
	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418
PPEARANCES CONTINUED:	2 4
PERMISES CONTINUED.	1 MS. MOWERY: Good morning, Your
	2 Honor. This is Kate Mowery from Richards,
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A. BY: KATHERINE MOWERY, ESQ.	3 Layton & Finger on the line for L'Oreal USA. I
BY: FRED COTTRELL, ESQ.	4 have Fred Cottrell on the line as well from my
	5 office and then Isaac Ashkenazi from Paul
-and-	6 Hastings and Katherine Murray from Browne George
PAUL HASTINGS,	<b>7</b> Ross.
BY: ISAAC ASHKENAZI, ESQ.	8 MS. MURRAY: Good morning, Your
-and-	9 Honor.
	10 THE COURT: Good morning,
BROWNE GEORGE ROSS, LLP	11 everyone. Just making my notes here. I'll just
BY: KATHERINE MURRAY, ESQ.	12 remind everyone, you're probably familiar with
	13 this from the last time we did the call, but
Counsel for the Defendants	
Counsel for the Defendants	14 please announce your name before you start
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul><li>please announce your name before you start</li><li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li></ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	
Counsel for the Defendants	15 speaking. Since there is a slight delay since
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul><li>15 speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li><li>16 we're all remotely connected, please speak</li></ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul> <li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li> <li>we're all remotely connected, please speak</li> <li>slowly so that the court stenographer can make</li> </ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul> <li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li> <li>we're all remotely connected, please speak</li> <li>slowly so that the court stenographer can make</li> <li>an accurate record of our proceedings today and</li> </ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul> <li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li> <li>we're all remotely connected, please speak</li> <li>slowly so that the court stenographer can make</li> <li>an accurate record of our proceedings today and</li> <li>if you're not speaking, keep your phone on mute</li> <li>so there aren't any outside or extraneous noises</li> </ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul> <li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li> <li>we're all remotely connected, please speak</li> <li>slowly so that the court stenographer can make</li> <li>an accurate record of our proceedings today and</li> <li>if you're not speaking, keep your phone on mute</li> <li>so there aren't any outside or extraneous noises</li> </ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul> <li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li> <li>we're all remotely connected, please speak</li> <li>slowly so that the court stenographer can make</li> <li>an accurate record of our proceedings today and</li> <li>if you're not speaking, keep your phone on mute</li> <li>so there aren't any outside or extraneous noises</li> <li>interrupting or obscuring the audio on those who</li> </ul>
Counsel for the Defendants	<ul> <li>speaking. Since there is a slight delay since</li> <li>we're all remotely connected, please speak</li> <li>slowly so that the court stenographer can make</li> <li>an accurate record of our proceedings today and</li> <li>if you're not speaking, keep your phone on mute</li> <li>so there aren't any outside or extraneous noises</li> <li>interrupting or obscuring the audio on those who</li> <li>are speaking. If you're going to cite to any</li> </ul>

		<mark>₁ </mark>	<del>-iled 06/30/20 Page 4 of 16 PageID #<u>9</u>9410</del>
	09	I	
	their understanding too, okay, because then we		documents. And so that 30(b)(6) deposition
2	issued after this October agreement, we issued	2	happened. I understand from this call today
3	new requests for production which came up in the	3	that there's issues with it that I may be
4	prior discussion this morning and those include		hearing about in the future, but nonetheless,
5	more request, including request number 42, which	5	putting aside whatever agreement was understood
6	asks for final business plans, strategy	6	or perhaps misunderstood, what's more helpful to
7	documents and request number 66, which talks	7	me today is for you to frame what the plaintiffs
8	about customer surveys. And they agreed in	8	are seeking, what proportion of that, if any,
9	those, in that same language that we discussed	9	has been produced already, by what you've got
10	before, that they were going to produce those	10	from L'Oreal. What's missing? I understand
11	documents and they didn't reference any prior	11	you've cited to request for production 40, 41,
12	agreement. They just said in that same sentence	12	42, 66. In general those seek sales forecasts,
13	that they were going to produce in mid January.	13	production of documents regarding market share,
14	And at this point the document production	14	strategic business plans, marketing strategies,
15	deadline was February 7. Your Honor, in the	15	production of consumer surveys and drivers of
16	February hearing, extended that to February 28.	16	demand. Again, do I have their responses in
17	And then we looked at the documents and they	17	front of me so I can look at what L'Oreal
18	just weren't there. And this is their	18	formally responded when it answered these
19	understanding that only applied to requests for	19	requests for production? Are there bates
20	production 31 as well. And if I can point Your	20	ranges? Are there documents produced? That's
21	Honor to docket number 87, this is what they	21	more helpful to me than splitting hairs over
22	rely on for the supposed agreement.	22	whether there was a specific agreement or not.
23	THE COURT: All right. Let me get	23	Let's get to the heart of the matter. What
24	there. You said 87?	24	relief is being sought? What have you got?
	Hawkins Reporting Service	1	Hawkins Reporting Service
	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904	1	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904
	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418		(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418
	(302) 030-0037 1 AX (302) 030-0410		(302) 030-0097 TAX (302) 030-0410
	90	┢	92
1	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in	1	
1 2	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing.	1 2	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this
	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead.		92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along?
2	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And	2	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your
23	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there.	23	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? <u>MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your</u> Honor. I will address those specifically.
2 3 4	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3,	2 3 4	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to
2 3 4 5	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And	2 3 4 5	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their
2 3 4 5 6	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically	2 3 4 5 6	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? <u>MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your</u> Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the
2 3 4 5 6 7	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want	2 3 4 5 6 7	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? <u>MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your</u> Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? <u>MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your</u> Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure out what plaintiffs were looking for. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used those, not some language of our requests, but based upon the categories that they themselves keep, we addressed them, we raised them with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure out what plaintiffs were looking for. And I thought that part of the problem was to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used those, not some language of our requests, but based upon the categories that they themselves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure out what plaintiffs were looking for. And I thought that part of the problem was to the plaintiffs didn't know what they were looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used those, not some language of our requests, but based upon the categories that they themselves keep, we addressed them, we raised them with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure out what plaintiffs were looking for. And I thought that part of the problem was to the plaintiffs didn't know what they were looking for, they needed a 30(b)(6) deposition to kind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used those, not some language of our requests, but based upon the categories that they themselves keep, we addressed them, we raised them with the specific issues, for example, how they say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	90 MR. NELSON: 87. This is in advance of this February hearing. THE COURT: Right. Go ahead. MR. NELSON: And THE COURT: I'm there. MR. NELSON: On page on page 3, Your Honor, it references the agreement. And let me see here, so I can point you specifically to that page. Bear with me, Your Honor, I want to make sure that we're exactly on this. THE COURT: Let's just bring in context. My issues with this March 26th issue were that these requests for these business marketing type of documents beyond the launch notebook launch books, I guess, or whatever, that the marketing document requests in general were overbroad, not very well defined, targeted, precise and in enough detail that I could figure out what plaintiffs were looking for. And I thought that part of the problem was to the plaintiffs didn't know what they were looking for, they needed a 30(b)(6) deposition to kind of delve into what materials may be out there to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	92 Where is it insufficient and what can the Court do in the view of the plaintiff to make this move along? MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I will address those specifically. First to answer your last one. With respect to what they did and how they responded on their third set of requests for production, it was the same type for request number 40 and 41, they objected completely. For request and this is not in the report, but I'm sure L'Oreal's counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. For request number 42, they had that same sentence saying that they will produce after a reasonably diligent search. And same for request 66, just that one sentence. Now, after briefing in March was completed they produced finally their document retention policies. And we then used those, not some language of our requests, but based upon the categories that they themselves keep, we addressed them, we raised them with the specific issues, for example, how they say things. And again, if it would be helpful, I'm

<ul> <li>Case 1:17 cv 00868 CFC SRF Dogyment 233 1 Filed 06/30/20 Page 5 of 16 PageID #: 0411-98</li> <li>based upon their document retention policies.</li> <li>And I'm looking now, Your Honor, at docket 123,</li> <li>exhibit 3.</li> <li>THE COURT: Let me get there. I</li> <li>bave it.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Okay. So on page 5</li> <li>of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>MR. NELSON: It is the final concept</li> <li>determant with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>there on page 8 of that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>Hen on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>ther on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>ther newly produced document retention</li> <li>their newly produced document retention</li> <li>detailines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>produced,</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>tied in with the document retention policies and</li> <li>Hawking Broorting Sonice</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 And I'm looking now, Your Honor, at docket 123,</li> <li>3 exhibit 3.</li> <li>4 THE COURT: Let me get there. I</li> <li>5 have it.</li> <li>6 MR. NELSON: Okay. So on page 5</li> <li>7 of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>8 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3 exhibit 3.</li> <li>4 THE COURT: Let me get there. I</li> <li>5 have it.</li> <li>6 MR. NELSON: Okay. So on page 5</li> <li>7 of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>8 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>10 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>3 documents? Answer, I don't know. Did L'Oreal</li> <li>4 search projections of the accused products for</li> <li>5 any relevant documents? Answer, I don't know.</li> <li>6 Question, did L'Oreal search the trin reports</li> <li>7 for any relevant documents? Answer, I don't</li> <li>8 know. And so these are what we tried to get to</li> <li>9 the bottom of. We are basing our requests</li> <li>10 specifically upon their document retention</li> <li>11 policies and we just don't have these documents,</li> <li>12 again, after they had said they were going to</li> <li>13 produce them. And perhaps I should just stop</li> <li>14 there I know its been a long time. If there's</li> <li>15 files. On that same document, Your</li> <li>16 that's basically it, we tried to expedite this</li> <li>17 case, we pointed out specifically based upon</li> <li>18 their newly produced document retention</li> <li>19 deadlines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>20 THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 T</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>THE COURT: Let me get there. I</li> <li>have it.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: Okay. So on page 5</li> <li>of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>files. On that same document, Your</li> <li>then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>their newly produced document retention</li> <li>where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>produced.</li> <li>THE COURT: Is something called</li> <li>you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>5 have it.</li> <li>6 MR. NELSON: Okay. So on page 5</li> <li>7 of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>8 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6 MR. NELSON: Okay. So on page 5</li> <li>7 of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>8 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 of the document there's a header number 2A.</li> <li>8 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>8 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>9 MR. NELSON: It is the launch</li> <li>9 the bottom of. We are basing our requests</li> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>9 the bottom of. We are basing our requests</li> <li>10 specifically upon their document retention</li> <li>11 policies and we just don't have these documents, lagain, after they had said they were going to</li> <li>13 produce them. And perhaps I should just stop</li> <li>14 there. I know its been a long time. If there's</li> <li>15 any follow up questions you might have, but</li> <li>16 that's basically it, we tried to expedite this</li> <li>17 right. I have it.</li> <li>20 Where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>23 you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>24 tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>10 files and number 1 is the final concept</li> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it,</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>11 documents with positioning, pricing, claims, et</li> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>12 cetera, not to preview, but we asked this</li> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>13 specifically at the deposition yesterday and</li> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>14 they didn't know. The 2C is the presentation</li> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>14 there. I know its been a long time. If there's any follow up questions you might have, but</li> <li>16 that's basically it, we tried to expedite this</li> <li>17 case, we pointed out specifically based upon</li> <li>18 their newly produced document retention</li> <li>19 deadlines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>15 files. On that same page, 3A, are the consumer</li> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>15 any follow up questions you might have, but</li> <li>16 that's basically it, we tried to expedite this</li> <li>17 case, we pointed out specifically based upon</li> <li>18 their newly produced document retention</li> <li>19 deadlines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>20 where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>23 you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>24 tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>16 research including any test market studies,</li> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>16 that's basically it, we tried to expedite this</li> <li>17 case, we pointed out specifically based upon</li> <li>18 their newly produced document retention</li> <li>19 deadlines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>20 where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>23 you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>24 tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>17 right, whether, for example, anti-aging. And</li> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>17 case, we pointed out specifically based upon</li> <li>18 their newly produced document retention</li> <li>19 deadlines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>20 where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>23 you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>24 tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>18 then on page 8 of that same document, Your</li> <li>19 Honor</li> <li>20 THE COURT: Getting there. All</li> <li>21 right. I have it.</li> <li>22 MR. NELSON: Is something called</li> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>18 their newly produced document retention</li> <li>19 deadlines what exactly we think is missing,</li> <li>20 where they are and why they haven't been</li> <li>21 produced.</li> <li>22 THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,</li> <li>23 you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>24 tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
19Honor20THE COURT: Getting there. All21right. I have it.22MR. NELSON: Is something called23feasibility and there's what's called a final24marketing decision and final launch time. And	
20THE COURT: Getting there. All20where they are and why they haven't been21right. I have it.21produced.22MR. NELSON: Is something called22THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,23feasibility and there's what's called a final23you've heard the categories of documents that's24marketing decision and final launch time. And24tied in with the document retention policies and	
22MR. NELSON: Is something called22THE COURT: All right. L'Oreal,23feasibility and there's what's called a final23you've heard the categories of documents that's24marketing decision and final launch time. And24tied in with the document retention policies and	
<ul> <li>23 feasibility and there's what's called a final</li> <li>24 marketing decision and final launch time. And</li> <li>23 you've heard the categories of documents that's</li> <li>24 tied in with the document retention policies and</li> </ul>	
24marketing decision and final launch time. And24tied in with the document retention policies and	
Hawking Poporting Sorvice	
Hawkins Reporting Service Hawkins Reporting Service	
112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904	
(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418 (302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418	
94 96	
1then C is forecasting. And we do not have these1the way they're described on this policy. What	
2documents, with the possible limited exception2is the problem in terms of production here?	
3that for some, the few Lancome products, but3MS. MURRAY: Yes, Your Honor.	
4they're not these products, they're not these MD4This is Kathy Murray for L'Oreal. The problem	
<b>5</b> final marketing decisions and there's no <b>5</b> is burden and proportionality to the needs of	
6 timeline that goes along with them at least as 6 the case. And this is why	
7 best we can understand. And when we asked about 7 THE COURT: Where is the burden	
8 this yesterday, the plaintiff just did not know. 8 argument made? Where is the burden argument	
9 The other thing, again, based upon 9 made in your response?	
10 as an example of what we're seeking based upon       10       MS. MURRAY: Well, Your Honor,         11 their specific phased upon this old discovery       11 this is why we reached an agreement with them	
<ul> <li>11 their specific based upon this old discovery,</li> <li>12 based upon their specific, the document</li> <li>11 this is why we reached an agreement with them</li> <li>12 and we explained the burden to them. We</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>12 based upon their specific, the document</li> <li>13 retention guidelines, if you go then to exhibit</li> <li>14 and we explained the burden to them. We</li> <li>15 organized that call. We reached out to them in</li> </ul>	
<b>14</b> 4. And as an example, if you go let me know <b>14</b> October and we said we need to have a call about	.+
<ul> <li>15 when you're there, page 6 of that document.</li> <li>15 the scope of this case and how discovery is</li> </ul>	L
<ul> <li>16 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>16 THE COURT: I have it.</li> <li>16 going to happen because of the number of accuse</li> </ul>	ed
17MR. NELSON: Okay. And Roman17Products. And the only thing in front of us at	20
<b>18</b> numeral 2, number 1, the final business plans, <b>18</b> that time with respect to their request for	
<b>19</b> budgets, projections and trin reports. So <b>19</b> marketing was that RFP 31. They had not served	b
20 again, we have none of this. And then we asked 20 the other ones at that time. So what we	
<b>21</b> about this specifically at the deposition <b>21</b> explained, if you look at request 31, and I can	
22 yesterday and this was the exchange, did L'Oreal 22 pull it out for you.	
<b>23</b> search the final business plans of the accused <b>23</b> THE COURT: They say that was	
24 products? Answer, I don't know. Did L'Oreal 24 resolved. And did they have these document	
Hawkins Reporting Service Hawkins Reporting Service	

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### DOCKET A L A R M



### Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

#### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.