Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 144-1 Filed 05/05/20 Page 1 of 5 PageID #: 4886

EXHIBIT A

L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Δ

Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 1441 Filed 05/05/20 Page 2 of 5 PageID #: 4887

1	1 THE COURT: Good morning,
1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE	2 everyone. It's Magistrate Judge Sherry Fallon.
2	3 I'm prepared to address the discovery dispute in
3 UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS)	4 U Mass versus L'Oreal. Let me find out who is
4 MEDICAL SCHOOL and CARMEL) LABORATORIES, LLC,	5 on the call. First, do we have our court
5) Plaintiffs,) C.A. No.17-868-CFC-SRF	6 stenographer, Ms. Gunning?
6) v.)	7 COURT REPORTER: Yes. This is
7) L'OREAL S.A. and L'OREAL)	8 Stacy Ingram from Hawkins, Your Honor.
8 USA, INC.,)	
9 Defendants.)	
10 Friday, April 24, 2020	 was informed it might be Val Gunning. Thank you for being qualitable this marging. To mulaw
11 11:00 a.m.	11 for being available this morning. Is my law
12 844 King Street	12 clerk, Ms. Polito, on the line?
13 Wilmington, Delaware	13 LAW CLERK: Yes, Judge, I'm on the
14	14 line.
15 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SHERRY R. FALLON United States District Court Judge	15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	16 And let's start with appearances of counsel for
17	17 the University of Massachusetts, et al. Who is
18 APPEARANCES:	18 on the line starting with Delaware counsel?
19 FARNAN LLP BY: BRIAN FARNAN, ESQ.	19 MR. FARNAN: Good morning, Your
20 -and-	20 Honor. Brian Farnan on behalf of the plaintiff
21 SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP	21 and with me is Justin Nelson, Tamar Lusztig and
22 BY: JUSTIN A. NELSON, ESQ. BY: TAMAR LUSZTIG, ESQ.	22 Beatrice Franklin, all from Susman Godfrey.
23 BY: BEATRICE FRANKLIN, ESQ.	23 THE COURT: All right. And who is
24 Counsel for the Plaintiffs	24 on the line for L'Oreal?
Hawkins Reporting Service	Hawkins Reporting Service
112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904 (302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418	
	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904 (302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A. BY: KATHERINE MOWERY, ESQ.	 Honor. This is Kate Mowery from Richards, Layton & Finger on the line for L'Oreal USA. I have Fred Cottrell on the line as well from my
BY: FRED COTTRELL, ESQ.	 find the field control in the fine as well not my office and then Isaac Ashkenazi from Paul
-and-	
PAUL HASTINGS,	7 Ross.
BY: ISAAC ASHKENAZI, ESQ.	8 MS. MURRAY: Good morning, Your
-and-	9 Honor.
	10 THE COURT: Good morning,
BROWNE GEORGE ROSS, LLP BY: KATHERINE MURRAY, ESQ.	11 everyone. Just making my notes here. I'll just
	12 remind everyone, you're probably familiar with
Counsel for the Defendants	13 this from the last time we did the call, but
	14 please announce your name before you start
	15 speaking. Since there is a slight delay since
	16 we're all remotely connected, please speak
	17 slowly so that the court stenographer can make
	18 an accurate record of our proceedings today and
	19 if you're not speaking, keep your phone on mute
	20 so there aren't any outside or extraneous noises
	21 interrupting or obscuring the audio on those who
	 are speaking. If you're going to cite to any
	23 particular exhibits, the filings that I received
	64 For this discusses with an log other is in it.
	24 for this dispute were rather lengthy, just give Hawkins Reporting Service

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 144	1	Filed 05/05/20 Page 3 of 5 PageID #; 4888
1	you're still looking until it gets to the point	1	governmental investigations to one particular
2	where you've done everything you can to find the	2	FTC investigation that included alleged
3	underlying research, the document from the	3	misstatements on the L'Oreal Paris line and the
4	research conducted by Abella and you cannot find	4	Lancome Gentifique line that related to these
5	anything more.	5	anti-aging claims. And as part of the
6	MR. ASHKENAZI: Your Honor, I	6	settlement agreement for any now statement that
7	think that does make sense. I just want to		they make publicly they have to have a
8	point out that, you know, it's not as if we	8	scientific basis for that. And obviously we
9	haven't given produced any document on	9	want to explore whether in telling the fTC or in
10	Abella. If there's a document that they said	10	their internal communications about this
11	we've included in our website, I believe one of	11	investigation or about their claims for it,
12	the exhibits we were discussing earlier today	12	whether they're relying on adenosine to support
13	referenced the study that was to do with Abella.	13	anti-aging properties of their lotions. And so
14	It's not as if we haven't produced anything,	14	that is what we have specifically narrowed it
15	they're just asking for more. We will do that.	15	down to. We don't think it's cumulative.
16	I believe, Your, Honor a status report that we	16	Obviously it's relevant to the importance of the
17	could provide to them in two weeks and we will	17	invention, the importance of the products. And
18	endeavor to do as much as we can and get it done	18	they've not done anything with that and said
19	as fast as we can to get them the information.	19	they're not going to do it and said it's
20	THE COURT: All right. Then in	20	cumulative. I think it's actually well
21	that respect, the request is granted and I'll	21	proffered.
22	instruct L'Oreal to proceed as we've done.	22	THE COURT: L'Oreal, response?
23	MR. ASHKENAZI: Your Honor, if I	23	MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray,
24	can, just on that point, the request that the	24	Your Honor. So based on our meet and confers
	Hawkins Reporting Service		Hawkins Reporting Service
	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904		112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904
	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418		(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418
	110		112
1	order, just so we're clear on the request, it's		what they're looking for is what L'Oreal said
2	to find additional documents that may be	2	about these products. And that is what we've
	relevant to the study regarding the Abella	3	given them. We've given them the marketing for
	study?	4	these products, we've given them the underlying
5	THE COURT: The study or the	5	testing that supported those claims. So it's a
6	actual research conducted by Abella. MR. ASHKENAZI: Okay. Thank you	6	burden to L'Oreal to have to go look for a six year old investigation relating to claims made
	very much.	<mark>7</mark> 8	about the products and representations made
8	THE COURT: All right. Did I get	9	about the products and the underlying science
10	that right, Ms. Lusztig?	10	behind the products when all those underlying
11	MS. LUSZTIG: Yes, Your Honor.	11	products have already been produced in the case.
12	THE COURT: All right. The next	12	MR. NELSON: Response, Your Honor?
13	6	13	THE COURT: Go ahead.
1	issue is this FTC investigation. Again, I was	14	
114	issue is this FTC investigation. Again, I was concerned about it being overbroad with respect	1 14	MR. NELSON: Obviously that goes
14	concerned about it being overbroad with respect		MR. NELSON: Obviously that goes to some of the other underlying issue what
14 15 16	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by	14 15 16	to some of the other underlying issue what
15	concerned about it being overbroad with respect	15	
15 16	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand	15 16	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC
15 16 17	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand that now plaintiff has made an effort to correct	15 16 17	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC investigation and the misleading claim that's
15 16 17 18	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand that now plaintiff has made an effort to correct the concern that the Court had previously. Let	15 16 17 18	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC investigation and the misleading claim that's alleged by the FTC and what supports those
15 16 17 18 19	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand that now plaintiff has made an effort to correct the concern that the Court had previously. Let me hear from plaintiffs on what has been done in	15 16 17 18 19	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC investigation and the misleading claim that's alleged by the FTC and what supports those claims is not cumulative of what would be
15 16 17 18 19 20	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand that now plaintiff has made an effort to correct the concern that the Court had previously. Let me hear from plaintiffs on what has been done in that respect and then I'll hear from L'Oreal.	15 16 17 18 19 20	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC investigation and the misleading claim that's alleged by the FTC and what supports those claims is not cumulative of what would be produced except for what's in that investigation
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand that now plaintiff has made an effort to correct the concern that the Court had previously. Let me hear from plaintiffs on what has been done in that respect and then I'll hear from L'Oreal. MR. NELSON: Yes, Your Honor. This is Justin Nelson. We, as Your Honor instructed, went back and took guidance from	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC investigation and the misleading claim that's alleged by the FTC and what supports those claims is not cumulative of what would be produced except for what's in that investigation or communications about that investigation. THE COURT: All right. Anything further, Ms. Murray?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerned about it being overbroad with respect to agency investigations that were requested by plaintiffs at the last hearing. I understand that now plaintiff has made an effort to correct the concern that the Court had previously. Let me hear from plaintiffs on what has been done in that respect and then I'll hear from L'Oreal. MR. NELSON: Yes, Your Honor. This is Justin Nelson. We, as Your Honor	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to some of the other underlying issue what they say specifically about the FTC investigation and the misleading claim that's alleged by the FTC and what supports those claims is not cumulative of what would be produced except for what's in that investigation or communications about that investigation. THE COURT: All right. Anything

	Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 144	71	Filed 05/05/20 Page 4 of 5 PageID #; 4889
	113		
1	THE COURT: On this request, I	1	I'm not inclined to, you know, rule on whether
2	will grant plaintiffs' request to compel the	2	or not the defendant should have done it sooner
3	production of documents responsive to requests	3	or if they do it now it should be precluded.
4	for production number 65 limited to the single	4	I'd rather, you know, if this is still an issue,
5	2014 FTC investigation cited by the plaintiff in	5	if the parties can't work out what narrow
6	their letter brief, including the internal and	6	background information, whatever that consists
7	external communications regarding the specific	7	of plaintiff is to produce about the carmelites
8	FTC investigation. And I'll order that to be	8	and any subsequent discovery that issues,
9	produced within two weeks of the date of this	9	there's going to be a response to it at some
10	conference. Again, leaving it to the parties to	10	point and if there are deficient allegations
11	meet and confer if L'Oreal is unable to meet	11	of deficiencies in that response, shouldn't the
12	that deadline due to global circumstances or	12	Court take it up in that time rather than this
13	national health emergency circumstances beyond	13	time? I mean technically discovery hasn't
14	its control. That is my ruling.	14	closed, so even if the defendants didn't pursue
15	MS. MURRAY: Could I clarify?	15	that discovery in the time frame the Court
16	THE COURT: Go ahead.	16	originally had in mind, technically there's time
17	MS. MURRAY: It wouldn't include	17	to do it before discovery closes or maybe not.
18	any privileged issues, any privileged documents.	18	I don't know what the official deadline is in
<mark>19</mark>	THE COURT: Any privileged	19	May, if there's a 30-day turnaround time for the
20	documents create a privilege log. No, I'm not	20	discovery to be answered, but this is a
21	saying there's a waiver of privilege without	21	long-winded way of saying I don't think this
22	knowing what documents, if any, additional	22	issue is ripe for me. And I addressed it at the
23	exist. I'm not making any I'm not previewing	23	last conference. What is the problem still?
24	any waiver of privilege.	24	Let me hear from L'Oreal.
	Hawkins Reporting Service		Hawkins Reporting Service
	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904		112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904
	-		······································
	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418		(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418
-	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418 114	┢	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418 116
	114	1	116
1	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay.	1	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray.
2	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your	2	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response.
2 3	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	1	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to
2 3 4	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that	2 3 4	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give
2 3 4 5	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the	2 3 4 5	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach
2 3 4 5 6	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with	2 3 4 5 6	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent
2 3 4 5 6 7	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed	2 3 4 5 6 7	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought it having it be brought to the attention of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We didn't serve it immediately because we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought it having it be brought to the attention of the Court again by the plaintiffs, defendants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We didn't serve it immediately because we were hoping to reach agreement on a stip, but it just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought it having it be brought to the attention of the Court again by the plaintiffs, defendants say, well, you know, now we'll go ahead and, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We didn't serve it immediately because we were hoping to reach agreement on a stip, but it just didn't happen. So we have served that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought it having it be brought to the attention of the Court again by the plaintiffs, defendants say, well, you know, now we'll go ahead and, you know, take the Court up on its directive to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We didn't serve it immediately because we were hoping to reach agreement on a stip, but it just didn't happen. So we have served that discovery. If they want to bring Teresian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought it having it be brought to the attention of the Court again by the plaintiffs, defendants say, well, you know, now we'll go ahead and, you know, take the Court up on its directive to give you another request for production. Where does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We didn't serve it immediately because we were hoping to reach agreement on a stip, but it just didn't happen. So we have served that discovery. If they want to bring Teresian Carmelites to trial, then we want to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	114 MS. MURRAY: Okay. MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that leads us to, I guess, an issue back to the Teresian Carmelites, which honestly I read with both sides on this and I thought I had fixed this at the last conference and then I guess L'Oreal, and again, I'll hear from the parties if I'm paraphrasing incorrectly. The way I read the papers was L'Oreal never quite took up my, you know, offer, I suppose you could call it that, or direction, to send a more streamlined request for what it was seeking to the, you know, to kind of frame what the plaintiff might possibly want to introduce when the plaintiffs referred to just simple background information at trial. And now, having plaintiffs brought it having it be brought to the attention of the Court again by the plaintiffs, defendants say, well, you know, now we'll go ahead and, you know, take the Court up on its directive to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	116 MS. MURRAY: This is Kathy Murray. I think we can just give you a quick response. We put it in here because the Court wanted to know what was lingering from the last to give you a status check, but basically we did reach out, we agreed to edit the stipulation. We sent that, but they pulled back a lot on what they're willing or not willing to do with the stipulation. So, you know, they want to be able to say talk about Teresian carmelites as trial, but they don't want it to go into other issues about Teresian Carmelites, so they want to be able to say what's helpful to them, but prevent us from taking discovery. So we're at an impasse on the stipulation. And we did, because we and we were trying to reach an agreement on the stipulation. It didn't happen. As a result of that, we did serve a discovery. We didn't serve it immediately because we were hoping to reach agreement on a stip, but it just didn't happen. So we have served that discovery. If they want to bring Teresian

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Case 1:17-cv-00868-CFC-SRF Document 144	1	Filed 05/05/20 Page 5 of 5 PageID #: 4890
1	time, availability on May 15th, which is a	1	MR. ASHKENAZI: No, Your Honor,
2	Friday three weeks from now.	2	thank you.
3	MR. ASHKENAZI: Your Honor, this	3	THE COURT: Anything further from
4	is Isaac Ashkenazi for L'Oreal. I just wonder	4	the defendants?
5	if we're going to be submitting stuff to them by	5	MS. MURRAY: No, Your Honor.
6	Friday the 8th, if we're going to the 15th,	6	Thank you.
7	you know, makes sense, it's a week later, except	7	THE COURT: All right. Everyone
8	when we have to brief things and then that means	8	continue to be safe, take your precautions and
9	we're going to be briefing things before there's	9	perhaps we'll be discussing issues again on May
10	meet and confers. It just doesn't make much	10	18th. Take care. We're adjourned.
11	sense. I think we go into the beginning of the	11	(End at 1:32 p.m.)
12	following week.	12	
13	THE COURT: Monday the 18th?	13	
14	MR. NELSON: This is Justin Nelson	14	
15	again. That works for plaintiffs, Your Honor.	15	
16	Thank you.	16	
17	THE COURT: All right.	17	
18	MR. ASHKENAZI: I should ask my	18	
19	colleague, Ms. Murray, if the 18th makes sense.	19	
20	MS. MURRAY: Yeah, that's fine.	20	
21	THE COURT: Okay. Same time,	21	
22	should we start at 11?	22	
23	MR. ASHKENAZI: That works with	23	
24	plaintiffs. We're at the discretion of Your	24	
	Hawkins Reporting Service		Hawkins Reporting Service
	112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904		112 Burning Tree Road - Dover, Delaware 19904
	(302) 658-6697 = 0.302) 658-8418		
	(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418		(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418
	126	1	128
1	126 Honor.	1	
2	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for	1	128
23	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in		128 State of Delaware))
2 3 4	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some	2	128 State of Delaware))
2 3 4 5	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a	2 3 4	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County)
2 3 4 5 6	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May	2 3 4 5	128 State of Delaware))
2 3 4 5 6 7	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it,	2 3 4 5 6	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May	2 3 4 5	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it	2 3 4 5 6 7	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly erroneous or contrary to law. I will not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington. <u>/s/ Stacy M. Ingram</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly erroneous or contrary to law. I will not be issuing a separate written memorandum opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly erroneous or contrary to law. I will not be issuing a separate written memorandum opinion and order. My bench rulings will be stated as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington. <u>/s/ Stacy M. Ingram</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly erroneous or contrary to law. I will not be issuing a separate written memorandum opinion and order. My bench rulings will be stated as they are on the transcript and that will serve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington. <u>/s/ Stacy M. Ingram</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly erroneous or contrary to law. I will not be issuing a separate written memorandum opinion and order. My bench rulings will be stated as they are on the transcript and that will serve as the order of the Court. So with that, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington. <u>/s/ Stacy M. Ingram</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	126 Honor. THE COURT: I'll put it down for 11. This way it gives the parties some time in the morning to continue to talk if there's some issues that can come off. So 11 seems to be a time that's available on my calendar, so May 18th at 11. And if the parties don't need it, then I invite all of you to tip me off and let me know that it can come off the calendar but it is if it's needed it will be Monday, May 18th at 11 and I'll put a note on the docket. Otherwise, as you're familiar with all my rulings from the bench on this transcript are made under rule 72A of the federal rules of civil procedure. They are non-dispositive rulings and the parties may take up timely objections to Judge Connolly and he will review my orders to determine whether they're clearly erroneous or contrary to law. I will not be issuing a separate written memorandum opinion and order. My bench rulings will be stated as they are on the transcript and that will serve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	128 State of Delaware)) New Castle County) <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u> I, Stacy M. Ingram, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 128 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on April 24, 2020, in the above-captioned matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of April 2020, at Wilmington. <u>/s/ Stacy M. Ingram</u>