

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS
MEDICAL SCHOOL and CARMEL
LABORATORIES, LLC,

C.A. No. 17-868-JFB-SRF

Plaintiffs,

v.

L'ORÉAL S.A. and L'ORÉAL USA, INC.,

Defendants

**REPLY BRIEF OF DEFENDANT L'ORÉAL S.A. IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Of Counsel:

Dennis S. Ellis
Katherine F. Murray
Adam M. Reich
Paul Hastings LLP
515 South Flower Street, 25th Floor
Los Angeles, CA, 90071
(213) 683-6000

Naveen Modi
Joseph E. Palys
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C., 20005
(202) 551-1990

Blaine M. Hackman
Paul Hastings LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
212-318-6000

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Jeffrey L. Moyer (#3309)
Katharine L. Mowery (#5629)
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 N. King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 651-7700
cottrell@rlf.com
moyer@rlf.com
mowery@rlf.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
L'Oréal S.A. and L'Oréal USA, Inc.*

Dated: January 5, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table of Authorities	ii
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The Court Lacks Personal Jurisdiction over L’Oréal S.A.	1
A. Plaintiffs’ Agency Speculation Does Not Support Personal Jurisdiction.....	2
B. L’Oréal S.A. Is Not Subject to Specific Jurisdiction Under Rule 4(k)(1)	4
1. Delaware’s Long-Arm Statute Does Not Reach L’Oréal S.A.	4
2. Due Process Does Not Support Jurisdiction Over L’Oréal S.A.	5
C. L’Oréal S.A. Is Also Not Subject to Specific Jurisdiction Under Rule 4(k)(2).....	6
D. The Court Should Deny Plaintiffs’ Request for Jurisdictional Discovery.....	8
III. The Court Should Dismiss the FAC Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6)	9
IV. Conclusion	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Applied Biosystems, Inc. v. Cruachem, Ltd.</i> , 772 F. Supp. 1458 (D. Del. 1991).....	2, 3, 4
<i>Asanov v. Gholson, Hicks & Nichols, P.A.</i> , 209 F. App’x 139 (3d Cir. 2006)	7
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	3
<i>Bare Escentuals Beauty, Inc. v. L’Oréal USA, Inc.</i> , No. C-07-1669 MMC (N.D. Cal. May 2, 2008)	7
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	1
<i>Campbell Pet Co. v. Miale</i> , 542 F.3d 879 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	7
<i>Celgard, LLC v. SK Innovation Co.</i> , 792 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	2
<i>Chayegan v. L’Oréal S.A.</i> , No. 02-21485-CIV (S.D. Fla. June 10, 2003).....	8
<i>Craftmatic Sec. Litig. v. Kraftsow</i> , 890 F.2d 628 (3d Cir. 1989).....	3
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> , 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014).....	2, 4
<i>DermaFocus LLC v. Ulthera, Inc.</i> , 201 F. Supp. 3d 465 (D. Del. 2016).....	9
<i>Eurofins Pharma US Holdings v. BioAlliance Pharma SA</i> , 623 F.3d 147 (3d Cir. 2010).....	1
<i>Fugate v. Boeing Co.</i> , No. 13-152-SLR, 2013 WL 3155394 (D. Del. June 20, 2013).....	8
<i>Hansen v. Neumueller GmbH</i> , 163 F.R.D. 471 (D. Del. 1995)	8

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Int’l Shoe Co. v. Washington</i> , 326 U.S. 310 (1945).....	5
<i>J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro</i> , 564 U.S. 873 (2011).....	7
<i>Jurimex Kommerz Transit G.M.B.H. v. Case Corp.</i> , 65 F. App’x 803 (3d Cir. 2003)	3
<i>Ketterson v. Wolf</i> , No. 99-689-JJF, 2001 WL 940909 (D. Del. Aug. 14, 2001)	8
<i>Kuhn Constr. Co. v. Ocean & Coastal Consultants, Inc.</i> , 844 F. Supp. 2d 519 (D. Del. 2012).....	3
<i>Liberty Media Holding, LLC v. Tabora</i> , No. 11-cv-651-IEG (JMA), 2012 WL 28788 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2012).....	8
<i>Mayne Pharma Int’l PTY Ltd. v. Merck & Co.</i> , No. 15-438-LPS-CJB, 2015 WL 7833206 (D. Del. Dec. 3, 2015).....	9
<i>Nespresso USA, Inc. v. Ethical Coffee Co. SA</i> , 263 F. Supp. 3d 498 (D. Del. 2017).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>O’Connor v. Sandy Lane Hotel Co., Ltd.</i> , 496 F.3d 312 (3d Cir. 2007).....	6
<i>R. Bard Inc. v. Guidant Corp.</i> , 997 F. Supp. 556 (D. Del. 1998).....	2
<i>ReefEdge Networks, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 29 F. Supp. 3d 455 (D. Del. 2014).....	10
<i>Round Rock Research LLC v. ASUSTeK Computer Inc.</i> , 967 F. Supp. 2d 969 (D. Del. 2013).....	3
<i>Rovi Corp. v. Haier Grp. Corp.</i> , No. 11-1140 (KAJ), 2013 WL 4534641 (D. Del. Aug. 23, 2013).....	4
<i>Rush v. Savchuk</i> , 444 U.S. 320 (1980).....	5
<i>Synthes (U.S.A.) v. G.M. Dos Reis Jr. Ind. Com. de Equip. Medico</i> , 563 F.3d 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Touchcom, Inc. v. Bereskin & Parr</i> , 574 F.3d 1403 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	5, 7
<i>Toys “R” Us, Inc. v. Step Two, S.A.</i> , 318 F.3d 446 (3d Cir. 2003).....	8
<i>Zazu Designs v. L’Oréal S.A.</i> , No. 86 C 7536, 1988 WL 1091941 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 16, 1988).....	7
Statutes	
10 <i>Del. C.</i> § 3104(c)(1)-(4).....	4
Other Authorities	
Fed. R. Civ. P.	
R. 4(k)(1).....	2, 4, 6
R. 4(k)(2).....	2, 6, 7
R. 12(b)(2).....	3
R. 12(b)(6).....	1, 3, 9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.