## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
V.	)	C.A. No. 17-585 (JFB) (SRF)
APPLE INC., VISA INC., and VISA U.S.A., INC.,	)	
Defendants	)	

## PLAINTIFF'S ANSWERING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014) Jeremy A. Tigan (#5239) 1201 North Market Street P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 (302) 658-9200 jblumenfeld@mnat.com jtigan@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

Harold Barza
Tigran Guledjian
Valerie Roddy
Jordan Kaericher
QUINN EMANUEL
URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
865 S Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 443-3000

Sean Pak
Brian E. Mack
QUINN EMANUEL
URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 875-6600

September 29, 2017

Attorneys for Universal Secure Registry LLC



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

				<u>1 age</u>			
TAB	LE OF .	AUTHO	ORITIES	ii			
I.	NAT	NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS					
II.	SUM	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT1					
III.	STA	STATEMENT OF FACTS					
IV.	ARG	UMENT					
	A.	The I	Electronic ID Device of the '813 Patent Is Patent-Eligible	3			
		1.	Claim 1 Of The '813 Patent Is Not Directed To An Abstract Idea	4			
		2.	Claim 1 Of The '813 Patent Provides A Technical Innovation	7			
B. The Secure Payment and ID System of the '137 Patent Is Patent-Eligi			Secure Payment and ID System of the '137 Patent Is Patent-Eligible	10			
		1.	Claim 12 Of The '137 Patent Is Not Directed To An Abstract Idea	10			
		2.	Claim 12 Of The '137 Patent Provides A Technical Innovation	12			
	C.	The I	Distributed Authentication System of the '826 Patent Is Patent-Eligible	213			
		1.	Claim 10 Of The '826 Patent Is Not Directed To An Abstract Idea	14			
		2.	Claim 10 Of The '826 Patent Provides A Technical Innovation	16			
	D.	The S	Secure Registry System of the '539 Patent Is Patent-Eligible	17			
		1.	Claim 22 Of The '539 Patent Is Not Directed To An Abstract Idea	17			
		2.	Claim 22 Of The '539 Patent Provides A Technical Innovation	19			
	E	Defe	ndants Motion Should Be Denied For The 107 Unaddressed Claims	20			



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### Cases

Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software,	
728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	18
Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC,	
838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	18
Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l,	
134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	passim
Bancorp Servs. v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Can. (U.S.),	
687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	18
Bascom Glob. Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility, LLC,	
827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	
Bilski v. Kappos,	
561 U.S. 593 (2010)	1, 11, 18
Blue Spike, LLC v. Google, Inc.,	
2015 WL 5260506 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 8, 2015)	18
buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.,	
765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	8, 15, 18
CallWave Commc'ns, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC,	
207 F. Supp. 3d 405 (D. Del. Sept. 15, 2016)	9
CyberSource Corp. v. Retails Decisions Inc.,	
654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	
DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com,	
773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	
Diamond v. Diehr,	
450 U.S. 175 (1981)	
Digitech Image Tech. v. Elect. For Imaging, Inc.,	
758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6, 7, 9
Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.,	
822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	4, 5, 6, 9, 18
Gottschalk v. Benson,	
409 U.S. 63 (1972)	
Idexx Labs., Inc. v. Charles River Labs., Inc.,	
2016 WL 3647971 (D. Del. Jul. 1, 2016)	<del>(</del>
In re Bilski,	
545 F.3d 943 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	8
In Re TLI Communications,	
823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	9
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA),	
792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	8
Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc.,	
790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	



IQS US Inc. v. Calsoft Labs Inc.,	
2017 WL 3581162 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 18, 2017)	
Jericho Sys. Corp. v. Axiomatics, Inc.,	
2015 WL 2165931 (N.D. Tex. May 7, 2015)	
Joao Bock Trans. Sys., LLC v. Jack Henry & Assocs., Inc.,	
76 F. Supp. 3d 513 (D. Del. 2014)	
JSDQ Mesh Techs. LLC v. Fluidmesh Networks, LLC,	
2016 WL 4639140 (D. Del. Sept. 6, 2016)	20
King Pharms., Inc. v. Eon Labs, Inc.,	
616 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	7
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Blackberry Corp.,	
2016 WL 5661981 (D. Del. Sept. 29, 2016)	5, 7, 11, 17
McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am. Inc.,	
837 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	5, 10, 11, 12
Messaging Gateway Solutions LLC v. Amdocs, Inc.,	
2015 WL 1744343 (D. Del. April 5, 2015)	6
Mortgage Grader, Inc. v. First Choice Loan Servs. Inc.,	
811 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	8
OIP Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.,	
788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	8
PalTalk Holdings, Inc. v. Riot Games, Inc.,	
2017 WL 2106124 (D. Del. May 15, 2017)	
Parker v. Flook,	
437 U.S. 584 (1978)	8
Research Corp. Techs., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.,	
627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4
Smart Meter Techs., Inc. v. Duke Energy Corp.,	
2017 WL 2954916 (D. Del. July 11, 2017)	
Sonos, Inc. v. D&M Holdings Inc.,	
2017 WL 971700 (D. Del. March 13, 2017)	
Triplay, Inc. v. WhatsApp Inc.,	
2015 WL 1927696 (D. Del. Apr. 28, 2015)	20
Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC,	
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	8
Vehicle IP, LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC,	
2016 WL 5662004 (D. Del. Sept. 29, 2016)	9, 11
Versata Development Group v. SAP America, Inc.,	
793 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	8
Versata Software v. NetBrain Techs.,	
2015 WL 5768938 (D. Del. Sept. 30, 2015)	20
Walker Digital, LLC v. Google, Inc.,	
66 F. Supp. 3d 501 (D. Del. 2014)	11, 12



### I. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

This is the Answering Brief of Plaintiff Universal Secure Registry, LLC ("USR") in opposition to Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion seeking to dismiss, as invalid under 35 U.S.C. §101, all 111 claims of USR's four patents-in-suit (the "Asserted Patents").

### II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

- 1. The claims of the four Asserted Patents are directed to patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. Section 101 ("any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof.") Defendants fail to show that any of the 111 claims fall within the three narrow exceptions of §101: "laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas." *Bilski v. Kappos*, 561 U.S. 593, 601-02 (2010) ("*Bilski II*"). The Supreme Court has expressed its reluctance to broadly apply these three narrow judicial exceptions: "[W]e tread carefully in construing this exclusionary principle, lest it swallow all of patent law....At some level, 'all inventions. . . embody, use, reflect, rest upon, or apply laws of nature, natural phenomena, or abstract ideas." *Alice Corp. Ptv. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l*, 134 S. Ct. 2347, 2354 (2014).
- 2. Defendants argue that *all 111 claims* of the Asserted Patents are invalid under § 101, but their motion is fatally flawed. Defendants have sought to oversimplify the inventions in suit, a common error in such motions as courts have noted with increasing frequency. Section 101 is inclusive, while the judicial exceptions to it are narrow. Defendants' approach flips this balance, advancing the argument that patent eligible claims that include computer components are an exception. In doing so, Defendants ignore many of the key limitations in the claims, and fail to account for how the claims might be construed in a *Markman* hearing. The specifications show that the claimed inventions are directed to concrete and useful improvements to current electronic payment technologies and devices, and are demonstrably valid under the analysis of *Alice* and its progeny. The problems addressed by the Asserted Patents are firmly rooted in *technological*



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

