

EXHIBIT A

From: Yanney, Pierre R.
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2017 11:00 AM
To: 'George Pazuniak'; bschladweiler@ramllp.com
Cc: Daniel Murray (dmurray@oeblegal.com)
Subject: RE: Case 1:16-cv-00830-RGA SIPCO, LLC et al v. Streetline, Inc. et al, DI 26.

George,

Thank you for your response, but we cannot agree to such a limitation. The most recent complaint is still deficient, and defendants need the time to formulate an appropriate response. We will proceed and submit our request to Judge Andrews. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Best regards,

Pierre

Pierre R. Yanney | Partner
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
180 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
(tel) 212.806.5858 | (fax) 212.806.6006
pyanney@stroock.com | stroock.com

-----Original Message-----

From: George Pazuniak [<mailto:gp@del-iplaw.com>]
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2017 10:50 AM
To: Yanney, Pierre R.; bschladweiler@ramllp.com
Cc: Daniel Murray (dmurray@oeblegal.com)
Subject: <EXTERNAL> RE: Case 1:16-cv-00830-RGA SIPCO, LLC et al v. Streetline, Inc. et al, DI 26.

Plaintiffs will agree to extend the time for Defendants to answer the complaint. But, we do not see any justification to extend the time for any other response, particularly given the lengthy past history of the pleadings and the fact that, to avoid further disputes, Plaintiffs had gone through the process of attaching claim charts to the complaint. Given the history, why do you need more time?

George

-----Original Message-----

From: Yanney, Pierre R. [<mailto:pyanney@stroock.com>]
Sent: Thursday, July 06, 2017 8:20 PM
To: George Pazuniak <gp@del-iplaw.com>; bschladweiler@ramllp.com
Cc: Daniel Murray (dmurray@oeblegal.com) <dmurray@oeblegal.com>
Subject: RE: Case 1:16-cv-00830-RGA SIPCO, LLC et al v. Streetline, Inc. et al, DI 26.

George,

As a compromise, would plaintiffs agree to a 28 day extension for defendants' response date? Thanks.

Pierre R. Yanney | Partner
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
180 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
(tel) 212.806.5858 | (fax) 212.806.6006
pyanney@stroock.com | stroock.com

-----Original Message-----

From: George Pazuniak [<mailto:gp@del-iplaw.com>]
Sent: Thursday, July 06, 2017 4:36 PM
To: Yanney, Pierre R.; bschladweiler@ramllp.com
Cc: Daniel Murray (dmurray@oeblegal.com)
Subject: <EXTERNAL> RE: Case 1:16-cv-00830-RGA SIPCO, LLC et al v. Streetline, Inc. et al, DI 26.

I am willing to agree to a 30-day extension to answer the complaint, but not for any other response.

-----Original Message-----

From: Yanney, Pierre R. [<mailto:pyanney@stroock.com>]
Sent: Thursday, July 06, 2017 4:15 PM
To: George Pazuniak <gp@del-iplaw.com>; bschladweiler@ramllp.com
Cc: Daniel Murray (dmurray@oeblegal.com) <dmurray@oeblegal.com>
Subject: RE: Case 1:16-cv-00830-RGA SIPCO, LLC et al v. Streetline, Inc. et al, DI 26.

George,

Will plaintiffs agree to a 35-day extension on our response deadline, which is otherwise set for July 14? Please let me know so we can submit the request to the Court. Thanks.

Pierre

Pierre R. Yanney | Partner
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
180 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
(tel) 212.806.5858 | (fax) 212.806.6006
pyanney@stroock.com | stroock.com

-----Original Message-----

From: George Pazuniak [<mailto:gp@del-iplaw.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2017 5:35 PM
To: Yanney, Pierre R.; bschladweiler@ramllp.com
Cc: Daniel Murray (dmurray@oeblegal.com)
Subject: <EXTERNAL> RE: Case 1:16-cv-00830-RGA SIPCO, LLC et al v. Streetline, Inc. et al, DI 26.

Pierre, Ben,

Attached please find the confidential exhibits attached to the Second Amended Complaint, and filed as DI 26.

Although Exhibits are marked Confidential under LR 26.2, you are authorized to disclose the exhibits to extent necessary to your clients so long as they are not further disseminated.

George