

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 16-455 (RGA)
)	
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE,)	PUBLIC VERSION
INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC., and 2K)	
SPORTS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

**ACCELERATION BAY’S OPPOSITION TO
TAKE-TWO DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES AND COSTS**

OF COUNSEL:

Paul J. Andre
Lisa Kobialka
James Hannah
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1700

Aaron M. Frankel
Marcus A. Colucci
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 715-9100

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Acceleration Bay LLC*

Dated: March 10, 2022
Public version dated: March 17, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
I. This Case is Not Exceptional.....	2
A. Take-Two’s Reckless Claim That Acceleration Bay “Falsified Evidence” is Completely Unsupported.....	2
B. Acceleration Bay Provided a Detailed Infringement Analysis.....	7
C. Acceleration Bay’s Positions Were Reasonable.....	11
D. Alleged Actions in Other Cases Do Not Make Acceleration Bay’s Litigation Conduct in This Case Exceptional.....	14
II. There is No Basis to Award Fees Against Acceleration Bay’s Principal.....	16
III. Fees Under 35 U.S.C. § 285 Are Not Available Against Counsel.....	16
IV. Acceleration Bay Did Not Multiply These Proceedings.....	19
CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acceleration Bay v. Activision Blizzard, Inc.</i> , No. 16-cv-00453-RGA, D.I. 192 (D. Del. June 23, 2017).....	7
<i>Aptix Corp. v. Quickturn Design Sys.</i> , 269 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	6
<i>Baker Indus., Inc. v. Cerberus Ltd.</i> , 764 F.2d 204, 208 (3d Cir. 1985).....	20
<i>Dashner v. Riedy</i> , 197 F. App’x 127 (3d Cir. 2006)	20
<i>Dragon Intell. Prop., LLC v. Dish Network L.L.C.</i> , No. 1:13-cv-02067-RGA, 2021 WL 5177680 (D. Del. Nov. 8, 2021).....	17, 18
<i>Dragon Intell. Prop., LLC v. DISH Network, LLC</i> , No. 1:13-cv-02066-RGA, 2018 WL 5818533 (D. Del. Nov. 7, 2018), <i>vacated and remanded</i> , 956 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	19
<i>EON Corp. IP Holdings, LLC v. Flo TV Inc.</i> , No. 10-812-RGA, 2014 WL 2196418 (D. Del. May. 27, 2014).....	8
<i>Grider v. Keystone Health Plan Cent., Inc.</i> , 580 F.3d 119 (3d Cir 2009).....	19
<i>Hackman v. Valley Fair</i> , 932 F.2d 239 (3d Cir. 1991).....	19, 20
<i>Indivior Inc. v. Dr. Reddy’s Labs. S.A.</i> , No. 14-cv-1451-RGA, 2020 WL 1955433 (D. Del. Apr. 23, 2020).....	11, 14
<i>Iris Connex LLC v. Dell, Inc.</i> , 235 F. Supp. 3d 826 (E.D. Tex. 2017).....	18
<i>LaSalle Nat. Bank v. First Conn. Holding Grp., LLC.</i> , 287 F.3d 279 (3d Cir. 2002).....	19
<i>Magnetar Techs. Corp. v. Six Flags Theme Parks Inc.</i> , No. 07-127-LPS-MPT, 2015 WL 4455606 (D. Del. July 21, 2015)	8
<i>Morgan v. Covington Twp.</i> , 563 F. App’x 896 (3d Cir. 2014)	20

<i>Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 545 (2014).....	1, 11
<i>In re Sunstates Corp. S'holder Litig.</i> , 788 A.2d 530 (Del. Ch. 2001).....	16
<i>T-Jat Sys. 2006 Ltd. v. Expedia, Inc.</i> , No. 16-581-RGA-MPT, 2017 WL 896988 (D. Del. Mar. 7, 2017).....	16
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 1927.....	19, 20
35 U.S.C. § 101.....	11, 12
35 U.S.C. § 285.....	<i>passim</i>

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Take Two’s motion for attorneys’ fees and costs (D.I. 521 “Motion”) should be denied because Acceleration Bay’s prosecution of this case was not exceptional. The case was hard-fought and involved complex technology that resulted in numerous disputed legal, factual, and expert issues, many of which were decided in Acceleration Bay’s favor. Take Two fails to demonstrate that this is “the rare case in which a parties unreasonable conduct . . . is . . . so ‘exceptional’ as to justify an award of fees,” and instead relies on baseless *ad hominem* attacks that grossly distort the record and on findings in other cases that are irrelevant here. *Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.*, 572 U.S. 545, 555 (2014).

Take Two’s primary argument completely distorts what was actually disclosed in Acceleration Bay’s expert reports. Take Two points to a single screenshot that Acceleration Bay’s technical experts stated in their reports had been “modified” to “illustrate” their infringement opinions. Both experts explained that the annotated image was only being used for demonstrative purposes—to graphically illustrate the concept of the underlying connections between participants, which are not displayed in the game—and was not to be relied upon as evidence. Indeed, Take-Two’s counsel acknowledged during a deposition that the image was “to *illustrate* your testimony *as opposed to evidence* that it actually happened.” Declaration of Aaron Frankel (“Frankel Decl.”) filed herewith, Ex. 1 (Mitzenmacher Tr.) at 66:5-7 (emphasis added). Thus, Take Two recognized long ago that this image was illustrative and not evidence, but nonetheless argues now that this demonstrative is “evidence.” Take Two’s reliance on an easily disproven claim as its lead argument confirms the meritless nature of its Motion.

Take Two’s remaining arguments rely on garden-variety litigation events, such as the invalidation of a handful of claims from the much larger set of claims that Acceleration Bay

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.