

| 1  | APPEARANCES CONTINUED:  |
|----|---|
| 2  | MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP  |
| 3  | BY: JACK B. BLUMENFELD, ESQUIRE<br>BY: STEPHEN J. KRAFTSCHIK, ESQUIRE                           |
| 4  | -and-   |
| 5  | WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP   |
| 6  | BY: DAVID P. ENZMINGER, ESQUIRE BY: MICHAEL A. TOMASULO, ESQUIRE BY: LOUIS L. CAMPBELL, ESQUIRE |
| 7  | BY: PAUL HAROLD, ESQUIRE  |
| 8  | -and-   |
| 9  | TAKE TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC.<br>BY: LINDA ZABRISKIE, ESQUIRE                             |
| 10 | For the Defendants  |
| 11 | Tor one Derendantes   |
| 12 | *** PROCEEDINGS ***   |
| 13 | DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.   |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Please be seated,   |
| 15 | everyone.   |
| 16 | So this is the time set for oral argument in  |
| 17 | Acceleration Bay versus Take-Two, Civil Action Number   |
| 18 | 16-455.   |
| 19 | Mr. Rovner, good morning. Who have you got with   |
| 20 | you?  |
| 21 | MR. ROVNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Phil  |
| 22 | Rovner from Potter Anderson for plaintiff, Acceleration Bay.                                    |
| 23 | And with me from Kramer Levin, Mr. Paul Andre, Aaron  |
| 24 | Frankel, and Marcus Colucci.  |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you all.  |



| 1  | And Mr. Kraftschik. Oh, Mr. Blumenfeld.                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | Sorry, Mr. Kraftschik put his name down in a                 |
| 3  | different ink, so I figured he was the man.                  |
| 4  | MR. BLUMENFELD: No, I actually put it down for               |
| 5  | him because he wasn't signed up, but here we go.             |
| 6  | THE COURT: Well, no good deed goes unpunished.               |
| 7  | Who have you got with you?                                   |
| 8  | MR. BLUMENFELD: Good morning, Your Honor.                    |
| 9  | David Enzminger and Mike Tomasulo from Winston & Strawn.     |
| 10 | And behind me, Lewis Campbell, Paul Harold also from         |
| 11 | Winston & Strawn. And Mr. Kraftschik, you already            |
| 12 | recognized, and Linda Zabriskie who is in-house at Take-Two. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Good morning to all of you.                 |
| 14 | All right. So before we get started here, I                  |
| 15 | just wanted to check and make sure that what I gathered from |
| 16 | the briefing is correct which is because of some prior order |
| 17 | of mine, the '634 patent is not at issue; right?             |
| 18 | MR. ENZMINGER: Correct.                                      |
| 19 | MR. FRANKEL: That's correct.                                 |
| 20 | THE COURT: And we're still only dealing with                 |
| 21 | direct infringement; right?                                  |
| 22 | MR. FRANKEL: Correct, Your Honor.                            |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. So it wasn't apparent to me,                |
| 24 | maybe by like the close of business tomorrow, could the      |
| 25 | plaintiff just submit a letter that states what all the      |



```
presently asserted claims from the five patents are and also
all the limitations that we're going to talk about today in
which you have a DOE argument in addition or maybe in place
of literal infringement?
            Do you think you can do that by the close of
business tomorrow?
            MR. FRANKEL: We'll do that, Your Honor.
                       Okay. Thank you, Mr. Frankel.
            THE COURT:
            All right. So what I was thinking is to make
this something where I get the parties' opposing positions
firmly placed in my mind that essentially we sort of break
this down into kind of argument by argument, one side then
the other side.
            And I guess actually then, before we go actually
any further, if I don't change anything that I said in
regards to particularly the Activision case, does that mean
that the '344, and '966, and '497 claims are essentially
limited to testing?
            MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, testing development,
but it would be internal --
            THE COURT: Okay.
            MR. FRANKEL: -- use by the defendant.
            THE COURT: All right. But they're limited to
use --
            MR. FRANKEL:
                          Correct.
```



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- if you don't change anything. Okay. All right. So that's good. So why don't we go on to the argument about whether or not there is use for these three patents. MR. ENZMINGER: Your Honor, we have a small slide deck for this issue. May I pass it to the court reporter? THE COURT: Okay. Yes. So I see you've broken the slide decks down argument by argument. MR. ENZMINGER: We have. THE COURT: That's a clever way to make me think it's not too thick. MR. ENZMINGER: Okay. Well, we tried to get them to be as precise as possible, but there are a lot of issues. So the Court has focused on use by internal

So the Court has focused on use by internal testing and in the prior two cases we've had, including this one, we've had five rounds of briefing on this particular issue. This is the first time for Take-Two. What we will show you is that the testing for internal use evidence for Take-Two is even weaker than the other two and certainly weaker than in Activision in which the Court found that there was no evidence from which to support a claim by internal use of testing. You granted summary judgment in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

