

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 16-453 (RGA)
)
 ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,) **PUBLIC VERSION**
)
 Defendant.)

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
)
 ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,) **PUBLIC VERSION**
)
 Defendant.)

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 16-455 (RGA)
)
 TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE,) **PUBLIC VERSION**
 INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC. and)
 2K SPORTS, INC.,)
)
 Defendants.)

**PLAINTIFF ACCELERATION BAY LLC'S ANSWERING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF STANDING**

OF COUNSEL:

Paul J. Andre
Lisa Kobialka
James R. Hannah
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1700

Aaron M. Frankel
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 715-9100

Dated: October 21, 2016
Public Version Dated: October 26, 2016
1236418

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. NATURE AND STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS1

II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT2

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS2

IV. ARGUMENT3

V. CONCLUSION5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Federal Cases

| | |
|---|---------|
| <i>Adaptix, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , No. 6:12-cv-00369, Memorandum Order (E.D. Tex. Nov. 5, 2014)..... | 5 |
| <i>Ethicon, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.</i> , 135 F.3d 1456 (Fed. Cir. 1998) | 3 |
| <i>Toshiba Samsung Storage Tech. Korea Corp. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 15-691-LPS-CJB, (D. Del. Sept. 20, 2016) | 5 |
| <i>WiAV Solutions LLC v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 631 F.3d 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2010) | 3, 4, 5 |

Rules

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12..... | 2 |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 16..... | 2 |

I. NATURE AND STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC filed suits against Defendants in March and April 2015, alleging that Defendants' video games infringe Acceleration Bay's patents. Discovery began in December 2015. After Defendants twice moved to dismiss those actions, the Court dismissed the 2015-filed cases without prejudice in favor of these actions, so that Acceleration Bay could cure a purported defect in prudential standing. C.A. No. 15-228-RGA, D.I. 149.

Defendants then filed a Motion to Dismiss, Stay or Transfer these actions in favor of declaratory judgment actions filed by Defendants in the Northern District of California (the "DJ Actions"). Defendants abandoned their third motion to dismiss as untenable after Judge Seeborg transferred the DJ Actions to this Court, finding that Acceleration Bay's Delaware cases were effectively first filed, Defendants' DJ Actions were filed in anticipation of litigation to preempt these suits and this Court was the most appropriate venue for the parties' dispute. D.I. 13-1¹ at 6-9 (Judge Seeborg's Order); D.I. 14 (withdrawing Motion to Dismiss).

Notwithstanding that they had already moved to dismiss, rather than respond to Acceleration Bay's Complaints, Defendants improperly filed two additional motions to dismiss (their fourth and fifth), including the instant motion. Defendants moved for partial dismissal as to Acceleration Bay's infringement claims against the PlayStation versions of the accused products (but not any other version) and dismissal of some of the claims from three of the six asserted patents for purported lack of patent eligibility. D.I. 18; D.I. 21.²

¹ Docket citations herein are to *Acceleration Bay LLC v. Activision Blizzard Inc.*, C.A. No. 16-453-RGA. The parties filed substantially similar pleadings in related actions *Acceleration Bay LLC v. Electronic Arts Inc.*, C.A. No. 16-454-RGA and *Acceleration Bay LLC v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.*, C.A. No. 16-455-RGA.

² Defendants' argument concerning ██████████ was first raised in the 2015 actions. C.A. No. 15-228-RGA, D.I. 100. The Court ultimately did not reach ██████████ given its finding regarding prudential standing.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.