

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 16-453 (RGA)
)
 ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.)
)
 Defendant.)

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
)
 ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)
)
 Defendant.)

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 16-455 (RGA)
)
 TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE,)
 INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC. and)
 2K SPORTS, INC.,)
)
 Defendants.)

**DEFENDANTS' OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS
REGARDING U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,701,344, 6,714,966, AND 6,829,634**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
skraftschik@mnat.com

Attorneys for Defendants

OF COUNSEL:

David P. Enzminger
Michael A. Tomasulo
Gino Cheng
David K. Lin
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700

Dan K. Webb
Kathleen B. Barry
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 558-5600

Michael M. Murray
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
(212) 294-3510

October 4, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
I. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
III. STATEMENT OF FACTS	4
IV. APPLICABLE LAW	6
A. Legal Standard for Motion to Dismiss	6
B. Legal Standard for Patent-Eligible Subject Matter	7
V. ARGUMENT	8
A. Claim 1 from Each of the '344, '966, and '634 Patents is Representative and is Directed to the Same Abstract Idea	8
B. Alice Step 1: The Broadcast Claims are Directed to an Abstract Idea	9
C. Alice Step 2: The Broadcast Claims Lack An Inventive Concept	13
1. The Broadcast Claims are Insufficiently Transformative	13
2. The Additional Claims Are Also Not Transformative.	17
3. The Dependent Broadcast Claims Are Also Not Transformative	18
VI. CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Amazon.Com Inc.</i> , No. 2015-2080, 2016 WL 5335502 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 23, 2016)	13, 15
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DirecTV, LLC</i> , No. 2015-1845, 2016 WL 5335501 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 23, 2016)	9, 11, 12, 18
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bilski v. Kappas</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010)	7
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	14, 18, 19
<i>Callwave Commc’ns, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC</i> , No. 12-1701-RGA, 2016 WL 4941990 (D. Del. Sept. 15, 2016).....	10, 12, 15
<i>Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat. Ass’n</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7
<i>Cyber-Source Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	18
<i>Cyberfone Sys., LLC v. CNN Interactive Grp., Inc.</i> , 558 F. App’x 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	9
<i>Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber</i> , 674 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	9, 10, 16
<i>Electric Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , No. 2015-1778, 2016 WL 4073318 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 1, 2016)	11
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	7, 10, 11
<i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972)	2
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.</i> , No. 2015-1769, 2016 WL 5539870 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 30, 2016)	3, 10, 11, 15

<i>Inventor Holdings, LLC v. Gameloft, Inc.</i> , 135 F.Supp.3d 239 (D. Del. 2015).....	6
<i>IpLearn, LLC v. K12 Inc.</i> , 76 F.Supp.3d 525 (D. Del. 2014).....	19
<i>McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Nameco Games Am.</i> , No. 2015–1080, __ F.3d __, 2016 WL 4896481 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 13, 2016).....	10, 11
<i>OIP Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.Com, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	6
<i>Parus Holdings, Inc. v. Sallie Mae Bank et al.</i> , 137 F.Supp.3d 660 (D. Del. 2015).....	9
<i>Personalized Media Commc’ns, LLC v. Amazon.Com, Inc.</i> , 161 F. Supp. 3d 325 (D. Del. 2015).....	9
<i>Pragmatus Telecom LLC v. Genesys Telecommunications Labs., Inc.</i> , 114 F. Supp. 3d 192 (D. Del. 2015).....	10
<i>Sound View Innovations, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 16-116-RGA, 2016 WL 4535345 (D. Del. Aug. 30, 2016).....	13
<i>Two-Way Media Ltd. v. Comcast Cable Commc’ns</i> , Case No. 14-1006-RGA, 2016 WL 4373698 (D. Del. Aug. 15, 2016).....	12, 13
<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> , 772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	15
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 101.....	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.