IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

)
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
Plaintiff,)) C.A. No. 16-453 (RGA)
v.)
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,)
Defendant.)
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
Plaintiff,) C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
v.)
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)
Defendant.	,
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
Plaintiff,) C.A. No. 16-455 (RGA)
v.)
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC., and 2K SPORTS, INC.,)))
Defendants.)

PLAINTIFF ACCELERATION BAY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS



OF COUNSEL:

Paul J. Andre Lisa Kobialka Hannah Lee KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 (650) 752-1700

Aaron M. Frankel KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 715-9100

Dated: June 14, 2017 5245753

Philip A. Rovner (# 3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
1313 North Market Street 6th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	SUM	IMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
II.	STA	TEMENT OF FACTS	2
III.	ARG	UMENT	6
	A.	Acceleration Bay Timely Disclosed Its Means-Plus-Function Constructions	6
	B.	Acceleration Bay Timely Disclosed Its Constructions For "M-Regular" and "M-Connected"	6
	C.	Acceleration Bay Never Changed Its Position For the Forty-Six Terms That Do Not Require Construction	7
	D.	Acceleration Bay Met and Conferred With Defendants For Over an Hour on the Parties' Proposed Constructions	11
	E.	Defendants Fail to Identify Any Prejudice, and No Sanctions Are Warranted	13



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

ra	ge(s)
Cases	
EMC Corp. v. Pure Storage Inc., C.A. No. 13-1985-RGA, D.I. 66 (D. Del. Sept. 19, 2014)	10
Energy Transp. Group, Inc. v. William Demant Holding A/S, 697 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	10
Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp., 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	10
Integrated Discrete Devices, L.L.C. v. Diodes Inc., C.A. No. 08-888-GMS (D. Del. Apr. 28, 2010)	15
Magnetar Technologies Corp. v. Six Flags Theme Parks Inc. C.A. No. 07-127-LPS-MPT, 2012 WL 394660 (D. Del. Feb. 1, 2012)	14
Meyers v. Pennypack Woods Home Ownership Ass'n, 559 F.2d 894 (3d Cir. 1977)1	3, 15
Microbix Biosystems, Inc. v. Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc., No. 6:14-cv-00003-JDL, D.I. 65 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 3, 2014)	7
NuVasive, Inc. v. Globus Medical, Inc., C.A. No. 10–849–LPS, 2013 WL 3705731 (D. Del. July 12, 2013)	14
The Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., C.A. No. 04-940-JJF, 2006 WL 2241128 (D. Del. Aug. 4, 2006)	13
Sound View Innovations, LLC v. Facebook, Inc., C.A. No. 16-116-RGA, D.I. 85 (D. Del. March 13, 2017)	10
V. Mane Fils S.A. v. Int'l Flavors and Fragrances, Inc., No. 06-2304 (FLW), 2011 WL 1344193 (D.N.J. Apr. 8, 2011)	14
Via Vadis LLC v. Skype Inc. C.A. No. 11-507-RGA, D.I. 91 (D. Del. Nov. 20, 2012)	14
Other Authorities	
United States District Court for the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules 4.1(b)	11
Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2173.01	2



Acceleration Bay LLC ("Acceleration Bay") submits this opposition brief in response to Defendants Activision Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., Rockstar Games, Inc. and 2K Sports, Inc.'s (collectively, "Defendants") motion to strike Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC's proposed claim constructions (the "Motion"). For the reasons set forth below, Defendants' Motion should be denied.

I. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

Defendants' Motion, long on vitriol and short on actual facts, is entirely baseless. Indeed, its main two premises are plainly wrong. First, contrary to Defendants' claim that Acceleration Bay "proposed constructions for nearly every claim term identified by Defendants," even a cursory review of the Declaration of Nenad Medvidović in Support of Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC's Opening Claim Construction Brief (D.I. 166-1 ("Def. Ex."), Ex. 13) proves that Acceleration Bay never changed its position from the Joint Claim Construction Chart. Acceleration Bay has maintained from the very beginning that the vast majority of the claim terms proposed by Defendants should be given their plain and ordinary meaning, as shown in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Aaron Frankel ("Frankel Decl."), a table comparing the claim constructions Acceleration Bay proposed in the Joint Claim Construction Chart and in the claim construction briefing.

Second, Defendants offer a selective and misleading characterization of the parties' meet and confer regarding their claim construction positions. Contrary to their claims, Acceleration Bay's counsel was fully prepared to discuss the claim terms at issue and discussed those positions with Defendants' counsel for over an hour. Thus, Defendants' Motion is nothing more than a trumped up collateral attack on Acceleration Bay, a side show that falls apart upon even a quick review of the facts, which confirm that Acceleration Bay disclosed its claim construction



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

