

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
	)	
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,	)	<b>REDACTED</b>
	)	<b>PUBLIC VERSION</b>
Defendant.	)	

**DEFENDANT’S SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF  
IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP  
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)  
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)  
1201 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 1347  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
(302) 658-9200  
jblumenfeld@mnat.com  
skraftschik@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

David P. Enzlinger  
Michael A. Tomasulo  
Gino Cheng  
David K. Lin  
Joe S. Netikosol  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue, 38th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213) 615-1700

*Attorneys for Defendant*

Louis L. Campbell  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 205  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
(650) 858-6500

Daniel K. Webb  
Kathleen B. Barry  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
35 West Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601  
(312) 558-5600

Michael M. Murray  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
200 Park Avenue,  
New York, NY 10166  
(212) 294-6700

Andrew R. Sommer  
Paul N. Harold  
Joseph C. Masullo  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
1700 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 282-5000

Original Filing Date: March 20, 2019

Redacted Filing Date: March 28, 2019

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF EXHIBITS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....	iii
I. The Accused Networks Do Not Infringe the “Topology Limitations” (All Patents Except the ’497 Patent).....	1
A. Acceleration offers no evidence that each participant in its hypothetical network is connected to at least three but not all other participants.....	3
B. Acceleration’s other arguments are wrong and irrelevant.....	4
1. The “wrong network/wrong layer” argument does not create a material issue of fact. ....	4
2. The “server is not always a participant” argument does not create a material issue of fact. ....	5
3. The “data links are the wrong connections” argument does not create a material issue of fact. ....	6
4. The [REDACTED] and other “additional networks” argument does not create a material issue of fact.....	7
C. Unrebutted empirical testing confirms non-infringement. ....	8
II. EA Does Not Make or Use the System of the Asserted ’344, ’966, and ’497 Claims. ....	8
A. Acceleration has failed to identify any specific evidence of infringing testing.....	8
B. EA does not “make” the component of the ’497 patent. ....	10
C. EA does not “make” the accused network of the ’344 and ’966.....	12
III. EA Does Not Infringe the Asserted Method Claims of the ’069 and ’147 Patents.....	12
A. Acceleration Only Accuses EA Of Performing A Step Outside of the United States for the ’069 and ’147 patents.....	12
B. The ’069 Patent – EA Does Not Infringe. ....	12
C. The ’147 Patent – EA Does Not Infringe Because No “First Computer” Sends A “Disconnect Message” “Including A List Of Neighbors Of The First Computer” .....	13
D. The ’147 Patent – Acceleration Alleges the [REDACTED] Performs a Step of the Recited Method.....	14
IV. Willful infringement. ....	14
CONCLUSION.....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Adaptix, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 78 F.Supp.3d 952 (N.D. Cal. 2015) .....	12
<i>Home Gambling Network, Inc. v. Piche</i> , 2013 WL 5492568 (D. Nev. Sept. 30, 2013) .....	13
<i>NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd.</i> , 418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	13, 14
<i>TechSearch, L.L.C. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 286 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	2, 4
<i>Wi-LAN Inc. v. Sharp Elecs. Corp.</i> , 2019 WL 668844 (D. Del. Feb. 14, 2019) .....	12

**TABLE OF EXHIBITS AND ABBREVIATIONS****ASSERTED PATENTS**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Abbreviation</b>
U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,344 (D.I. 1, Ex.1)	'344 patent
U.S. Pat. No. 6,714,966 (D.I. 1, Ex.2)	'966 patent
U.S. Pat. No. 6,829,634 (D.I. 1, Ex.4)	'634 patent
U.S. Pat. No. 6,910,069 (D.I. 1, Ex.5)	'069 patent
U.S. Pat. No. 6,732,147 (D.I. 1, Ex.3)	'147 patent
U.S. Pat. No. 6,920,497 (D.I. 1, Ex.6)	'497 patent

**NON-INFRINGEMENT AND RELATED MATERIALS**

<b>Ex.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Abbreviation</b>
A-1	Expert Report of Nenad Medvidović, Ph.D., Regarding Infringement by Electronic Arts, Inc. of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344; 6,829,634; 6,714,966; 6,732,147	Med.Rpt.
A-2	Expert Report of Michael Mitzenmacher, Ph.D., Regarding Infringement by Electronic Arts, Inc. of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,920,497; 6,910,069	Mitz.Rpt.
A-3	Expert Reply Report of Nenad Medvidović, Ph.D., Regarding Infringement by Electronic Arts, Inc. of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344; 6,829,634; 6,714,966; 6,732,147	Med.Reply
A-4	Expert Reply Report of Michael Mitzenmacher, Ph.D., Regarding Infringement by Electronic Arts, Inc. of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,920,497; 6,910,069	Mitz.Reply
A-5	Expert Report of John Kelly, Ph.D., Regarding Non-infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344; 6,829,634; 6,714,966; 6,920,497	Kelly.Rpt.
A-6	Declaration of John P.J. Kelly, Ph.D. In Support of Defendant Electronic Arts Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment	Kelly.Decl.
A-7	Expert Report of Michael R. Macedonia, Ph.D., Regarding	Mac.Rpt.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.