

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
v.)	
)	PUBLIC VERSION
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**DECLARATION OF PAUL J. ANDRE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF
ACCELERATION BAY LLC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT
ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
AND TO EXCLUDE EXPERT OPINIONS UNDER F.R.E. 702**

[VOLUME 2 of 2]

Exhibits 102 – 108

OF COUNSEL:

Paul J. Andre
Lisa Kobialka
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1700

Aaron M. Frankel
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 715-9100

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ACCELERATION BAY LLC

Dated: April 13, 2018
Public version dated: April 24, 2018

I, Paul J. Andre, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney with the law firm Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC (“Acceleration Bay”). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and can testify competently to those facts. I submit this declaration in support of Acceleration Bay’s Opposition to Defendant Electronic Arts, Inc.’s Motions for Summary Judgment and to Exclude Expert Opinions Under F.R.E. 702.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 67 is a true and correct copy of the Expert Report of Ricardo Valerdi Regarding Cost Estimates, dated October 6, 2017.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 68 is a true and correct copy of pages 24-25, 48-49, 71-74, 107-108, 110-114, 118-124, and 159 from the transcript of the deposition of John P.J. Kelly, Ph.D., taken on March 21, 2018.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 69 is a true and correct copy of pages 65, 70-71, 105-107, 109-110, 112-113, 129-131, 135, 149, and 152-153 from the transcript of the deposition of Michael Macedonia, taken on March 23, 2018.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 70 is a true and correct copy of pages 21-26, 36, 43-44, 54-55, 67-69, 73-76, 93-100, 144-145, and 173-175 from the transcript of the deposition of Martin Clouatre, taken on August 3, 2017.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 71 is a true and correct copy of a diagram produced by Electronic Arts, Inc., bearing bates number EA0023977.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 72 is a true and correct copy of a presentation titled [REDACTED], produced by Electronic Arts, Inc., bearing bates numbers EA0023941 – 74.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 73 is a true and correct copy of pages 18-19, 24-27, 49, 51-53, 72-81, 94-95, and 118 from the transcript of the deposition of Alan Poon, taken on April 26, 2017.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 74 is a true and correct copy of pages 26-28, 31-32, 45-48, 50, 52-54, 56, and 68 from the transcript of the deposition of Michael Smith, taken on April 25, 2017.

10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 75 is a true and correct copy of pages 15, 93-96, and 100 from the transcript of the deposition of Kam Ling Lo, taken on April 27, 2017.

11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 76 is a true and correct copy of pages 43, 67, 69-75, 97, 105-106, 108-111, 127, 175, 200, 222-225, and 256 from the transcript of the deposition of David O'Neill, taken on March 9, 2017.

12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 77 is a true and correct copy of document titled [REDACTED] produced by Electronic Arts, Inc., bearing bates numbers EA0023755 – 57.

13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 78 is a true and correct copy of a document titled [REDACTED] produced by Electronic Arts, Inc., bearing bates numbers EA0024035 – 49.

14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 79 is a true and correct copy of pages 46-47 from the transcript of the deposition of Colin Macrae, taken on June 1, 2017.

15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 80 is a true and correct copy of pages 1-11 from the patent file history of U.S. Patent No. 6,701,344.

16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 81 is a true and correct copy of Defendant Electronic Arts, Inc.'s July 31, 2017 Supplemental Responses to Acceleration Bay LLC's Second Set of Common Interrogatories (No. 6), dated July 31, 2017.

17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 82 is a true and correct copy of pages 7-8, 19-22, 31-37, 39-49, 61-62, and 86-94 from the transcript of the deposition of Glen Van Datta, taken on June 22, 2017.

18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 83 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,596,633, issued September 29, 2009.

19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 84 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,610,402, issued October 27, 2009.

20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 85 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,610,505, issued October 27, 2009.

21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 86 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,392,422, issued on June 24, 2008.

22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 87 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,627,678, issued on December 1, 2009.

23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 88 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,725,599, issued on May 25, 2010.

24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 89 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,831,666, issued on November 9, 2010.

25. Attached hereto as Exhibit 90 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,792,902, issued on September 7, 2010.

26. Attached hereto as Exhibit 91 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,792,968, issued on September 7, 2010.
27. Attached hereto as Exhibit 92 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,010,633, issued on August 30, 2011.
28. Attached hereto as Exhibit 93 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,396,984, issued on March 12, 2013.
29. Attached hereto as Exhibit 94 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,793,315, issued on July 29, 2014.
30. Attached hereto as Exhibit 95 is a true and correct copy of Uniloc USA, Inc. and Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.'s Original Complaint for Patent Infringement from *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Electronic Arts, Inc.*, Case No. 13-cv-00259-RWS, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 21, 2013).
31. Attached hereto as Exhibit 96 is a true and correct copy of pages 107-109, 112, 123-124, 133-139, 141-142, 187-188, 197-202, 218-221, 236-237, and 244 from the transcript of Christine S. Meyer, taken on March 1, 2018.
32. Attached hereto as Exhibit 97 is a true and correct copy of pages 8-9, 16, 20-21, and 34-35 from the transcript of Natasha Radovsky, taken on May 4, 2017.
33. Attached hereto as Exhibit 98 is a true and correct copy of pages 198-204, and 213 from the transcript of Harry Bims, Ph.D., taken on January 4, 2018.
34. Attached hereto as Exhibit 99 is a true and correct copy of pages 13-17 from the Rebuttal Expert Report of Catharine M. Lawton, dated December 15, 2017.
35. Attached hereto as Exhibit 100 is a true and correct copy of pages 150-153 from the transcript of the deposition of Ricardo Valerdi Ph.D., taken on December 21, 2017.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.