

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
)	
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**ELECTRONIC ART'S *DAUBERT* MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT OPINIONS
OF DR. NENAD MEDVIDOVIC, DR. MICHAEL MITZENMACHER
DR. CHRISTINE MEYER, DR. HARRY BIMS AND DR. RICARDO VALERDI**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 702, Electronic Arts Inc. ("Electronic Arts") hereby moves to exclude certain expert testimony propounded by Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC. The grounds for this motion are set forth in Electronic Arts accompanying brief. The precise relief sought is detailed in a proposed order accompanying this motion.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Stephen J. Kraftschik

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
skraftschik@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

Michael A. Tomasulo
Gino Cheng
David K. Lin
Joe S. Netikosol
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700

Attorneys for Defendant

David P. Enzminger
Louis L. Campbell
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 205
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 858-6500

Dan K. Webb
Kathleen B. Barry
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 558-5600

Krista M. Enns
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
101 California Street, 35th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 591-1000

Michael M. Murray
Anup K. Misra
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
200 Park Avenue,
New York, NY 10166
(212) 294-6700

Andrew R. Sommer
Thomas M. Dunham
Michael Woods
Paul N. Harold
Joseph C. Masullo
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 282-5000

March 23, 2018

7.1.1 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the subject of the foregoing motion has been discussed with counsel for the plaintiff and that we have not been able to reach agreement.

/s/ Stephen J. Kraftschik

Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
)	
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREAS, the Court, having consider Electronic Art Inc.'s *Daubert* Motion To Exclude Expert Opinions Of Dr. Nenad Medvidovic, Dr. Michael Mitzenmacher Dr. Christine Meyer, Dr. Harry Bims and Dr. Ricardo Valerdi;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2018, that Electronic Arts's Motion is GRANTED. The following opinions are excluded:

- 1) All opinions of Nenad Medvidovic and Michael Mitzenmacher in which they state conclusions based on string citations to extensive source code without explaining how the code supports their opinions, including Med.Rpt. ¶¶ 191-197, 202-209, 214-224 and Mitz.Reply ¶99.
- 2) All opinions of Christine Meyer related to damages including the following:
 - a. Dr. Meyer's reliance on the date of the first lawsuit as the date of the hypothetical negotiation.
 - b. Dr. Meyer's opinions that Boeing intended to limit the Sony License to use in internal communications.

- c. Dr. Meyer's opinions about the Sony License, which are inconsistent with the Court's previous rulings.
 - d. Dr. Meyer's opinions that the *Uniloc v. Electronic Arts* verdict supports a royalty rate of 20 cents per unit.
 - e. Dr. Meyer's opinions that the 20 cents per unit royalty rate from the *Uniloc v. Electronic Arts* verdict should be multiplied by 10.5.
 - f. Dr. Meyer's reliance on the opinions of Dr. Bims.
 - g. Dr. Meyer's opinions that the number of accused units should include future units, which the Court excluded from this case.
 - h. Dr. Meyer's reliance on the opinions of Dr. Valerdi.
 - i. Dr. Meyer's opinions regarding the value of the alleged use of the Asserted Patents for failure to apportion.
 - j. Dr. Meyer's opinions regarding per patent royalties for any of the Asserted Patents.
 - k. Dr. Meyer's opinions regarding all damages amounts.
- 3) All opinions of Harry Bims related to damages including the following:
- a. Dr. Bims' opinions that the Asserted Patents are comparable to U.S. Patent No. 5,490,216.
 - b. Dr. Bims' opinions that the Asserted Patents have a value between 6 and 15 times the value of U.S. Patent No. 5,490,216, based on counting the number of patents and from the trial testimony of Uniloc's expert in *Uniloc v. Electronic Arts*, No. 6:13-CV-00259-RWS (E.D. Tex.)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.