IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
Plaintiff,	
v.) C.A. No. 16-454 (RGA)
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.)

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Defendant Electronic Arts Inc. ("Electronic Arts") submits the following answer and affirmative defenses to the Complaint for Patent Infringement (D.I. 1) filed by Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC ("Acceleration Bay").

On October 4, 2016, Electronic Arts moved to dismiss all the accused Sony products from the case because Plaintiff lacks standing, and the Court granted the motion on August 24, 2017 (D.I. 241). Therefore, the allegations relating to the accused Sony products no longer require a response.

GENERAL DENIAL

Unless specifically admitted below, Electronic Arts denies each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint.

AS TO THE BACKGROUND

1. Electronic Arts admits that Acceleration Bay previously asserted U.S. Patent No. 6,701,344, U.S. Patent No. 6,714,966, U.S. Patent No. 6,732,147, U.S. Patent No. 6,829,634, U.S. Patent No. 6,910,069, and U.S. Patent No. 6,920,497 (the "Patents-in-Suit" or the "Acceleration Bay Patents") against Activision in C.A. No. 15-228 (D. Del.), and that the District Court issued an Order in that previous case finding that Acceleration Bay lacked

standing. Except as expressly admitted, Activision denies the remainder of the allegations in paragraph 1.

AS TO THE PARTIES

2. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 2 and therefore, denies them.

3. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 3 and therefore, denies them.

4. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 4 and therefore, denies them.

5. Electronic Arts admits it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and that its principal place of business is located at 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, California 94065.

6. Denied.

AS TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Paragraph 7 contains conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer is required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, Electronic Arts admits that Acceleration Bay purports to be bringing an action for patent infringement allegedly under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq*, and that 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 provide the Court with subject matter jurisdiction over federal questions and patent infringement actions. Except as expressly admitted, Electronic Arts denies the remainder of the allegations in paragraph 7.

8. Paragraph 8 contains conclusions of law that are not averments of fact to which an answer is required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, Electronic Arts does not contest that venue may lie in this District; however, venue may be more appropriate in another

Case 1:16-cv-00454-RGA Document 274 Filed 09/12/17 Page 3 of 15 PageID #: 20049

district for the convenience of the parties. Except as expressly admitted, Electronic Arts denies the remainder of the allegations in paragraph 8.

9. Electronic Arts does not contest that the Court has personal jurisdiction in this action. Electronic Arts admits that it has transacted business in this district. Electronic Arts admits it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. Electronic Arts denies any acts of patent infringement have taken place in this district, or elsewhere. The remaining allegations of paragraph 9 contains conclusions of law that are not averments of fact to which an answer is required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, Electronic Arts denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 9.

AS TO THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

10. Electronic Arts admits that the Complaint asserts the following six patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344, 6,714,966, 6,732,147, 6,829,634, 6,910,069, and 6,920,497. Electronic Arts denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 10.

11. Electronic Arts admits that U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,344 ("the '344 Patent") is entitled "DISTRIBUTED GAME ENVIRONMENT" (a copy of which appears to be Exhibit 1), and that the face of the patent indicates that it was issued on March 2, 2004. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 11 and therefore denies them.

- 12. Denied.
- 13. Denied.

14. Electronic Arts admits that U.S. Pat. No. 6,714,966 ("the '966 Patent") is entitled "INFORMATION DELIVERY SERVICE" (a copy of which appears to be Exhibit 2), and that the face of the patent indicates that it was issued on March 30, 2004. Electronic Arts lacks

Case 1:16-cv-00454-RGA Document 274 Filed 09/12/17 Page 4 of 15 PageID #: 20050

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 14 and therefore denies them.

15. Denied.

16. Denied.

17. Electronic Arts admits that U.S. Pat. No. 6,732,147 ("the '147 Patent") is entitled "LEAVING A BROADCAST CHANNEL" (a copy of which appears to be Exhibit 3), and that the face of the patent indicates that it was issued on May 4, 2004. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 17 and therefore denies them.

18. Denied.

19. Denied.

20. Electronic Arts admits that U.S. Pat. No. 6,829,634 ("the '634 Patent") is entitled "BROADCASTING NETWORK" (a copy of which appears to be Exhibit 4), and that the face of the patent indicates that it was issued on December 7, 2004. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 20 and therefore denies them.

- 21. Denied.
- 22. Denied.

23. Electronic Arts admits that U.S. Pat. No. 6,910,069 ("the '069 Patent") is entitled "JOINING A BROADCAST CHANNEL" (a copy of which appears to be Exhibit 5), and that the face of the patent indicates that it was issued on June 21, 2005. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 23 and therefore denies them.

- 24. Denied.
- 25. Denied.

26. Electronic Arts admits that U.S. Pat. No. 6,920,497 ("the '497 Patent") is entitled "CONTACTING A BROADCAST CHANNEL" (a copy of which appears to be Exhibit 6), and that the face of the patent indicates that it was issued on July 19, 2005. Electronic Arts lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 26 and therefore denies them.

- 27. Denied.
- 28. Denied.

AS TO THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

29. Electronic Arts admits that *FIFA* includes features relating to multiplayer technology but denies that such technology has ever infringed any of the Acceleration Bay Patents. EA denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 29.

- 30. Denied.
- 31. Denied.
- 32. Denied.

33. Electronic Arts admits that *FIFA* includes features relating to multiplayer technology but denies that any such technology has ever infringed any of the Acceleration Bay Patents. Electronic Arts denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 33.

34. Electronic Arts admits that *NHL* includes features relating to multiplayer technology but denies that such technology has ever infringed any of the Acceleration Bay Patents. Electronic Arts denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 34.

35. Denied.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.