

EXHIBIT-2**DISPUTED TERMS**

No.	Terms for Construction	Patents/ Claims	Plaintiff's Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence
1.	"means for identifying a broadcast channel for a game of interest"	'344/13	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6):</p> <p>Function: identifying a broadcast channel for a game of interest</p> <p>Structure: a processor programmed to perform the algorithm disclosed in steps described in '344 Patent at 16:57-17:1, which involves connecting to a web server and downloading a broadcaster component that identifies the broadcast channel for the game of interest</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> A-1: '344 Title; Abstract; Figs. 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 5A, 5B, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 23, 24, 30 Claims 12, 13, 14, 15 Col. 1: ll. 27-29; Col. 4: ll. 3-Col. 7: ll. 29; Col. 7: ll. 30-Col. 8: ll. 67; Col. 12: ll. 33-Col. 14: ll. 51; Col. 14: ll. 52-Col. 15: ll. 7; Col. 16: ll. 29-Col. 21: ll. 14; Col. 21: ll. 54-Col. 24: ll. 6;</p>	<p>This term is indefinite.</p> <p>Function: identifying a broadcast channel for a game of interest</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite because no/insufficient algorithm disclosed</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence</u></p> <p>A-1 - '344 pat., 16:31-34, 16:57-59, 16:63-65, 30:7-55.</p> <p>B-1 – File History at July 31, 2000 Original Application</p> <p>C-4 - IPR2015-01972, Institution Decision, Paper 8, pp. 8-10.</p>

No.	Terms for Construction	Patents/ Claims	Plaintiff's Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence
			<p>Col. 25: ll. 11-Col. 26: ll. 10; Col. 27: ll. 62-Col. 28: ll. 17; Col. 28: ll. 56-Col. 29: ll. 24</p> <p>B-1: '344 Patent File History including: May 21, 2003 Office Action; September 10, 2003 Amendment and Response to Office Action; September 25, 2003 Terminal Disclaimer; September 26, 2003 Office Action; October 1, 2003 Notice of Allowance. IPR2015-01970, 9/25/15 Petitioner's Petition for Inter Partes Review, 10/14/16 Petitioner's Consolidated Reply to Patent Owner's Response, 1/20/17 Petitioner's Consolidated Reply to Patent Owner's Response; 3/23/17 Final Written Decision</p> <p>'344 Patent Inter Parte Review proceeding decisions and papers including: IPR2015-01972, 9/25/15 Petitioner's Petition for Inter Partes Review, 10/15/16 Petitioners' Reply to Patent Owner's Response, 3/23/17 Final Written Decision, 7/20/16; IPR2016-00931, 6/23/16 Decision Denying Institution</p>	
2.	"means for identifying a game of interest	'344/14	"Means for identifying a game of interest" is construed above. No further construction necessary.	This term is indefinite. Function: identifying a game of interest

No.	Terms for Construction	Patents/ Claims	Plaintiff's Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence
	includes accessing a web server that maps games to corresponding broadcast channel”		<p><u>Intrinsic Evidence</u></p> <p>A-1: ‘344 Title; Abstract; Figs. 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 5A, 5B, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 23, 24, 30 Claims 12, 13, 14, 15 Col. 1: ll. 27-29; Col. 4: ll. 3-Col. 7: ll. 29; Col. 7: ll. 30-Col. 8: ll. 67; Col. 12: ll. 33-Col. 14: ll. 51; Col. 14: ll. 52-Col. 15: ll. 7; Col. 16: ll. 29-Col. 21: ll. 14; Col. 21: ll. 54-Col. 24: ll. 6; Col. 25: ll. 11-Col. 26: ll. 10; Col. 27: ll. 62-Col. 28: ll. 17; Col. 28: ll. 56–Col. 29: ll. 24</p> <p>B-1: ‘344 Patent File History including: May 21, 2003 Office Action; September 10, 2003 Amendment and Response to Office Action; September 25, 2003 Terminal Disclaimer; September 26, 2003 Office Action; October 1, 2003 Notice of Allowance. ‘344 Patent Inter Parte Review proceeding decisions and papers including: IPR2015-01970, 9/25/15 Petitioner’s Petition for Inter Partes Review, 10/14/16 Petitioner’s</p>	<p>includes accessing a web server that maps games to corresponding broadcast channel</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite because no/insufficient algorithm disclosed</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence</u></p> <p>A-1 - ‘344 pat., 16:65-17:1, 30:7-55.</p> <p>B-1 – File History at July 31, 2000 Original Application</p>

No.	Terms for Construction	Patents/ Claims	Plaintiff's Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence
			<p>Consolidated Reply to Patent Owner's Response, 1/20/17 Petitioner's Consolidated Reply to Patent Owner's Response; 3/23/17 Final Written Decision; IPR2015-01972, 9/25/15 Petitioner's Petition for Inter Partes Review, 10/15/16 Petitioners' Reply to Patent Owner's Response, 3/23/17 Final Written Decision, 7/20/16; IPR2016-00931, 6/23/16 Decision Denying Institution</p>	
3.	"means for identifying a broadcast channel for a topic of interest"	'966/13	<p>Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6): Function: identifying a broadcast channel for a topic of interest</p> <p>Structure: a processor programmed to perform the algorithm disclosed in steps described in '966 Patent at 16:41-51, which involves connecting to a web server and downloading a broadcaster component that identifies the broadcast channel for a topic of interest</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> A-2: '966 Abstract; Figs. 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 5A, 5B, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 23, 24, 30</p>	<p>This term is indefinite.</p> <p>Function: identifying a broadcast channel for a topic of interest</p> <p>Structure: Indefinite because no/insufficient algorithm disclosed</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence</u></p> <p>A-2 - '966 pat., 16:25-28, 16:41-42, 30:39-32:5.</p> <p>B-2 – File History at July 31, 2000 Original Application</p> <p>C-20 - IPR2015-01951 Institution Decision, Paper 11, pp. 8-10.</p>

No.	Terms for Construction	Patents/ Claims	Plaintiff's Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic Evidence
			<p>Claims 12, 13, 14, 15 Col. 1: ll. 25-29; Col. 2: ll. 14-22; Col. 4: ll. 3-Col. 7: ll. 29; Col. 7: ll. 30-Col. 8: ll. 67; Col. 12: ll. 33-Col. 14: ll. 51; Col. 14: ll. 52-Col. 15: ll. 7; Col. 16: ll. 29-Col. 21: ll. 14; Col. 22: ll. 29-Col. 24: ll. 49; Col. 25: ll. 55-Col. 27: ll. 8; Col. 28: ll. 39-Col. 29: ll. 17; Col. 29: ll. 32-67</p> <p>B-2: '966 Patent File History including: June 20, 2003 Office Action; September 10, 2003 Amendment; September 10, 2003, Terminal Disclaimer; September 26, 2003 Notice of Allowability; October 1, 2003 Notice of Allowance.</p> <p>'966 Patent, Inter Parte Review proceeding decisions and papers including: IPR2015-01951: 9/24/15 Petitioner's Petition for Inter Partes Review; 10/14/16 Petitioners' Consolidated Reply to Patent Owner's Response; 1/20/17 Petitioners' Consolidated Reply to Patent Owner's Response; 3/23/17 Final Written Decision; IPR2015-01953: 9/24/15 Petitioner's Petition for Inter Partes</p>	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.