### **EXHIBIT 6**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE Civil Action NO. 16 Civ. 00453 (RGA)

ACCELERATION BAY, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,

Defendant.

X

CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

TRANSCRIPT of testimony of CHRISTINE S. MEYER,

Ph.D., as taken by and before MELISSA A. MORMILE, a

Certified Realtime Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of

the States of New Jersey and New York, at the offices of

KRAMER, LEVIN, NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP, 1177 Avenue of the

Americas, New York, New York 10036, on Tuesday, January 23,

2018, commencing at 8:59 in the morning.

Reporter: Melissa A. Mormile - RMR, CCR



### Case 1:16 hwi 9045 he RSA MBy et menh 609-1 Gilecti 120 2046 lb Page 18 sof 6 e Page 18 sof 6

|     | <b>-</b>   |    |   |
|-----|--|----|---|
| 1   | Page 30 understanding was that Dr. Valerdi used inputs that were | 1  | Page 32 to to double check the underlying source documents, |
| 2   | related to the accused products, which I understand to           | 2  | it's not my understanding that that's a comparison that     |
| 3   | be video games.  | 3  | is a valid comparison of numbers.                           |
| 4   | Q. The input was just lines of code that                         | 4  | BY MR. ENZMINGER:   |
| 5   | he got from Dr. Medvidovic; right?                               | 5  | Q. You mentioned having some discussions                    |
| 6   | MR. FRANKEL: Objection to form.                                  | 6  | with Dr. Bims?  |
| 7   | A. I don't understand that to be the                             | 7  | A. I to the best of my recollection,                        |
| 8   | only input to his model, at least, to the best of my             | 8  | I had a discussion with Dr. Bims in this matter, yes.       |
| 9   | understanding.   | 9  | Q. How many discussions have you had                        |
| 10  | BY MR. ENZMINGER:  | 10 | with Dr. Bims?  |
| 11  | Q. Other than do you have any                                    | 11 | A. Looking at my Footnote 6 to my                           |
| 12  | understanding of how his how Dr. Valerdi's model                 | 12 | initial report, my recollection is that I had one           |
| 13  | works, other than what is written in your report?                | 13 | discussion with Dr. Bims prior to the submission of my      |
| 14  | A. As I stated earlier in this                                   | 14 | initial report. I don't have in front of me my reply        |
| 15  | deposition, I had a discussion with Dr. Valerdi about            | 15 | report, but my to the best of my recollection, I had,       |
| 16  | his model. I've testified here about some of that                | 16 | at least, one other discussion with Dr. Bims prior to       |
| 17  | understanding. To the best the best to the best                  | 17 | the filing of my reply report, but I would have to check    |
| 18  | of my recollection, I have written some of that in my            | 18 | that report to be sure.                                     |
| 19  | expert report and I also relied upon the expert report           | 19 | Q. In Exhibit 1, you just referenced                        |
| 20  | of Dr. Valerdi and didn't completely reproduce that in           | 20 | Footnote 7 or 6, and I wanted to direct your                |
| 21  | my report. So those three things, my report itself, my           | 21 | attention to Footnote 7, also, of your report your          |
| 22  | interview with Dr. Valerdi and then, also, his expert            | 22 | report, Exhibit 1.  |
| 23  | report would be the full my full understanding.                  | 23 | There's a reference to a Dr. Eric Cole?                     |
| 24  | Q. Okay. It's fair to say that the                               | 24 | A. Correct.   |
| 25  | that the numbers he came up with didn't strike you as            | 25 | Q. Who is Dr. Cole?   |
|     |  |    |   |
|     | Page 31  |    | Page 33   |
| 1   | something that you needed to investigate further because         | 1  | A. I understand that Dr. Cole is a                          |
| 2   | of their eye-popping size?                                       | 2  | technical expert in this matter.                            |
| 3   | MR. FRANKEL: Objection to form.                                  | 3  | Q. Is Dr. Cole also a technical expert                      |
| 4   | A. I don't understand your question.                             | 4  | in the other Kramer Levin cases on which you have been      |
| 5   | BY MR. ENZMINGER:  | 5  | retained?   |
| 6   | Q. What your Schedule 4E has                                     | 6  | A. Similar to my answer previously with                     |
| 7   | development cost of about \$428 million, and you have            | 7  | regards to other individuals, I certainly understand        |
| 8   | another and you have another expert who says the cost            | 8  | and and recall, as I sit here today, that Dr. Cole          |
| 9   | to redesign that software that cost that you say cost            | 9  | was a technical expert in, at least, some of those          |
| 10  | 428 million to design would cost 7 billion to redesign,          | 10 | cases. I can't confirm whether or not he was an expert      |
| 11  | and that didn't strike you as a bit odd?                         | 11 | in all of those cases.                                      |
| 12  | MR. FRANKEL: Objection to the form of                            | 12 | Q. He was an expert in the in the                           |
| 13  | the question and the introductory statement.                     | 13 | Finjan cases for which you were were also retained;         |
| 14  | A. Again, as I as I stated earlier in                            | 14 | right?  |
| 15  | this deposition and tried to be clear, I don't have an           | 15 | A. I certainly recall that he was an                        |
| 16  | understanding that the development costs on 4E                   | 16 | expert in one of the Finjan cases that I on which I         |
| 17  | although, again, I as I stated before, I would want              | 17 | was retained. I don't recall whether he was was an          |
| 18  | to go back to double check the underlying source                 | 18 | expert on all the Finjan cases.                             |
| 19  | documents. I didn't understand those to be to                    | 19 | Q. What do you recall from your                             |
| 20  | incorporate the full development of the for example,             | 20 | discussions with Dr. Bims?                                  |
| 21  | in the Call of Duty, the entire Call of Duty.                    | 21 | A. To the extent that I relied on                           |
| 22  | As I as I understand, there are                                  | 22 | something regarding my discussion with Dr. Bims, those      |
| 23  | elements of the code from version to version that can be         | 23 | would be found specifically in the footnotes to my          |
| 24  | and are reused and built upon. So I don't it's not               | 24 | reports. So I would just indicate that that I am            |
| 100 |  |    |   |

## Case 1:16-cv-00453-RGA, Document 609-1 Filed 10/24/18 Page 4 of 6 Page D #: 49472 January 23, 2018

| 1  | DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY                 |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | I, CHRISTINE S. MEYER, PH.D., do hereby certify      |
| 6  | under penalty of perjury that I have read the        |
| 7  | foregoing transcript of my deposition taken on       |
| 8  | January 23, 2018; that I have made such corrections  |
| 9  | as appear noted on the Deposition Errata Page,       |
| 10 | attached hereto, signed by me; that my testimony as  |
| 11 | contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct. |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Dated this15thday ofFebruary,                        |
| 14 | 2018, at White Plains, New York.                     |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | Christine of Meyer                                   |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | CHRISTINE S. MEYER, PH.D.                            |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |



## Case 1:10 ev-80453 486 A Document 609 1 filed 10724/18 Page 5 of 6 Page b 4:149473 January 23, 2018

| 1  | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | Page No. $20$ Line No. $14$                                       |
| 4  | Change:from a lay terminology, I would call them metrics          |
| 5  | Page No Line No11   |
| 6  | Change:Dr. Valerdi's. I wouldn't be surprised one way or          |
| 7  | Page No Line No   |
| 8  | Change:another. That's his area of experise in terms of coming    |
| 9  | Page No. 34 Line No. 7  |
| 10 | Change:about a certain particular topic.                          |
| 11 | Page No. $\frac{75}{}$ Line No. $\frac{13}{}$                     |
| 12 | Change: and it's quite clear in my report, in a standard          |
| 13 | Page No. $81$ Line No. $11$                                       |
| 14 | Change:characterize my reading of the patent as cover to cover. I |
| 15 | Page No. $82$ Line No. $23$                                       |
| 16 | Change:network or adding, being added to the network. I           |
| 17 | Page No. $131$ Line No. $4$                                       |
| 18 | Change:that is a question to me that is a legal question as       |
| 19 | Page No. $139$ Line No. $22$                                      |
| 20 | Change:that's the date on which the negotiation is assumed to     |
| 21 | Page No153 Line No22  |
| 22 | Change: _personal computer, the Xbox 360 and the Xbox One. And I  |
| 23 | 1 20  |
| 24 | Christine d. Mey en<br>February 15, 2018                          |
| 25 | Christine S. Meyer, Ph.D. Dated                                   |

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

#### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

