```
Case 1:16-cv-00453-RGA Document 606 Filed 10/23/18 Page 1 of 156 PageID #: 49266
    1
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    2
                         FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
    3
         ACCELERATION BAY, LLC
    4
    5
                            Plaintiff,
    6
                                            ) Civil Action No. 15-453-RGA
         V.
    7
         ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,
    8
                           Defendant.
    9
   10
                                            J. Caleb Boggs Courthouse
                                            844 King Street
   11
                                            Wilmington, Delaware
   12
                                            Friday, October 19, 2018
                                            8:32 a.m.
   13
                                            Pretrial Hearing
   14
         BEFORE: THE HONORABLE RICHARD G. ANDREWS
   15
                   United States District Court Judge
   16
   17
         APPEARANCES:
   18
                      PHILIP A. ROVNER, ESQUIRE
                      POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP
   19
                         1313 N. Market Street, 6th Floor
                         Hercules Building
   20
                         Wilmington, Delaware 19899
   21
                                  -and-
   22
                      PAUL ANDRE, ESQUIRE
                      LISA KOBIALKA, ESQUIRE
                      AARON M. FRANKEL, ESQURE
   2.3
                      KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP
   24
                         990 Marsh Road
                         Menlo Park, California 94025
   25
                         For the Plaintiff
```





3

08:32:58 1 THE CLERK: All rise. 08:33:07 2 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Please be 08:33:09 3 seated. This is Acceleration Bay versus Activision 08:33:16 4 Blizzard. Civil Action Number 16-453. 08:33:19 5 Good morning, Mr. Rovner. 08:33:22 6 08:33:23 7 MR. ROVNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Phil 08:33:25 8 Rovner from Potter Anderson for plaintiff, Acceleration Bay. 08:33:27 9 With me from Kramer Levin, Paul Andre. 08:33:27 10 MR. ANDRE: Good morning, Your Honor. 08:33:30 11 MR. ROVNER: Lisa Kobialka. 08:33:30 12 MS. KOBIALKA: Good morning, Your Honor. MR. ROVNER: And Aaron Frankel. 08:33:32 13 08:33:33 14 MR. FRANKEL: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you all. 08:33:34 15 Mr. Blumenfeld. 08:33:36 16 08:33:37 17 MR. BLUMENFELD: Good morning, Your Honor. Jack Blumenfeld for Activision Blizzard. And with me are Trent 08:33:43 18 Webb from Shook Hardy & Bacon, David Enzminger, and Mike 08:33:45 19 08:33:48 20 Tomasulo from Winston & Strawn. 08:33:51 21 Behind them Aaron Hankel from Shook Hardy & Bacon, and Kathleen Barry from Winston & Strawn. 08:33:54 22 08:33:58 23 And in the first row, Omer Salik and Julia 08:34:01 24 Kazaks, next to him, from Activision. 08:34:03 25 THE COURT: All right. Well, good morning to



you all, too. All right.

4

08:34:06 1 08:34:11 2 08:34:15 3 08:34:19 4 08:34:24 5 08:34:29 6 08:34:35 7 08:34:38 8 08:34:42 9 08:34:45 10 08:34:48 11 08:34:52 12 08:34:57 13 08:34:59 14 08:35:04 15 08:35:08 16 08:35:12 17 08:35:15 18 08:35:18 19 08:35:22 20 08:35:24 21 08:35:30 22

So, though I've read portions of the Pretrial Order, including the motions in limine and the body of it, but I guess the first thing to address is damages.

What are we going to do about that, Mr. Andre?

MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, we're going to be

putting forward a damages case that has three factual bases

that the jury can decide a reasonable royalty.

First being a cost savings methodology that you have allowed in the case with Dr. Valerdi and others who will be talking about the cost-savings basis. This is largely based on a few other Federal Circuit cases that have allowed this type of damages model.

We also have a revenue-based model based on the proper apportionment of the revenue and the profits of the infringing technology over the relevant time period that the jury can base a reasonable royalty on.

And we also have a per-unit royalty possibility that the jury can base a reasonable royalty on as well.

THE COURT: All right. So for example, the per unit, let's say cost, I don't know, \$100 to buy an Activision software package.

How do you get to a per-unit royalty?

MR. ANDRE: It's actually a per-user royalty because it's -- when I say per unit, it's per unit per user.



08:35:31 23

08:35:35 24

08:35:38 25

THE COURT: Right.

08:35:41 1 08:35:43 2 08:35:46 3 08:35:50 4 08:35:54 5 08:35:58 6 08:36:00 7 08:36:03 8 08:36:04 9 08:36:06 10 08:36:09 11 08:36:11 12 08:36:13 13 08:36:19 14 08:36:20 15 08:36:22 16 08:36:25 17 08:36:28 18 08:36:31 19 08:36:34 20 08:36:35 21 08:36:43 22 08:36:4623

MR. ANDRE: And there the jury can rely on different ways. They can look at it from the profits that are involved in those per-user base and then determine what would be a reasonable royalty based on that. It wouldn't be a running royalty, per se, but it would be a lump sum royalty on the life of the sales of those patents on those products.

THE COURT: And so is Dr. Meyer going to show up and do calculations related to this?

MR. ANDRE: Dr. Meyer will show up. I think about 75, 80 percent of her report is still in. The only thing you excluded was the final number based on the Uniloc.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ANDRE: So she's going to give a lot of numbers. She has a lot of numbers by calculation. She does the apportionments. She does the Georgia-Pacific Factors. She does most of the things you would expect a damage expert to do and give the jury the factual predicate to come up with a reasonable royalty.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I might have something more for you on this topic. Let me just hear from the defendants on this.

Mr. Enzminger.

MR. ENZMINGER: Your Honor, none of those three

08:36:47 24

08:36:56 25

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

