

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) C.A. No. 16-453 (RGA)
)
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,) REDACTED -
) PUBLIC VERSION
Defendant.)

**OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS WITH
PREJUDICE AND FOR MONETARY SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 11**

OF COUNSEL:

Michael A. Tomasulo
Gino Cheng
David K. Lin
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700

David P. Enzminger
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
275 Middlefield Road
Suite 205
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 858-6500

Daniel K. Webb
Kathleen B. Barry
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 558-5600

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
skraftschik@mnat.com

Attorneys for Defendant

February 15, 2017 - Original Filing Date
February 22, 2017 - Redacted Filing Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|--------------------|
| I. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS..... | 1 |
| II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT..... | 1 |
| III. LEGAL STANDARDS..... | 3 |
| IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS..... | 3 |
| A. The Asserted Patents..... | 3 |
| B. Extensive Discovery Confirmed CoD And WoW Do Not Infringe..... | 4 |
| V. ARGUMENT..... | 5 |
| A. Acceleration Failed to Conduct a Reasonable Pre-Suit Inquiry..... | 5 |
| B. Acceleration Knew CoD and WoW Do Not Infringe the Five Topology Patents..... | 6 |
| 1. The Topology Patents Require a Non-Complete <i>M</i> -Regular Graph..... | 6 |
| 2. [REDACTED]..... | 7 |
| 3. Acceleration’s Charts Are Devoid of Evidence of Infringement..... | 9 |
| 4. Acceleration’s Infringement Allegations Directly Contradict Its Validity Arguments..... | 11 |
| 5. [REDACTED]..... | 12 |
| C. Acceleration Knew CoD and WoW Do Not Infringe the Broadcast Patents..... | 12 |
| D. Acceleration Knew CoD and WoW Do Not Infringe the Add or Drop Patents..... | 15 |
| E. Acceleration Knew CoD and WoW Do Not Infringe the Portal Patent..... | 17 |
| F. Acceleration Has No Basis To Accuse Destiny..... | 18 |
| G. Acceleration Filed Its Complaint for an Improper Purpose..... | 18 |
| H. Dismissal and an Award of Fees Is Warranted..... | 19 |

VI. CONCLUSION. 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|---|----------------|
| Cases | |
| <i>Antonious v. Spalding & Evenflo Companies, Inc.</i> , 275 F.3d 1066 (Fed. Cir. 2002) | 3, 12 |
| <i>Doering v. Union Cty. Bd. of Chosen Freeholders</i> , 857 F.2d 191 (3d Cir. 1988) | 19 |
| <i>Eltech Sys. Corp. v. PPG Indus., Inc.</i> , 903 F.2d 805 (Fed. Cir. 1990) | 9, 10, 19 |
| <i>Eon-Net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp</i> , 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011) | 3 |
| <i>Garr v. U.S. Healthcare, Inc.</i> , 22 F.3d 1274 (3d Cir. 1994) | 3 |
| <i>Judin v. United States</i> , 110 F.3d 780 (Fed. Cir. 1997) | 6, 7 |
| <i>Lieb v. Topstone Indus., Inc.</i> , 788 F.2d 151 (3d Cir. 1986) | 5, 20 |
| <i>Lincoln Ben. Life Co. v. AEI Life, LLC</i> , 800 F.3d 99 (3d Cir. 2015) | 3 |
| <i>Mercury Air Group, Inc. v. Mansour</i> , 237 F.3d 542 (5th Cir. 2001) | 19 |
| <i>Micromesh Tech. Corp. v. Am. Recreation Prod., Inc.</i> , 2007 WL 2501783 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 30, 2007) | 11 |
| <i>Parallel Iron LLC v. NetApp Inc.</i> , 70 F. Supp. 3d 585 (D. Del. 2014) | 10, 11 |
| <i>Phonometrics, Inc. v. Econ. Inns of Am.</i> , 349 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2003) | 5, 20 |
| <i>Refac Int'l, Ltd. v. Hitachi, Ltd.</i> , 141 F.R.D. 281 (C.D. Cal. 1991)..... | 3 |
| <i>Simmerman v. Corino</i> , 27 F.3d 58 (3d Cir. 1994) | 3 |
| <i>Vehicle Operation Techs. LLC v. Am. Honda Motor Co. Inc.</i> , 67 F. Supp. 3d 637 (D. Del. 2014) | 2, 6, 13, 19 |

View Eng'g, Inc. v. Robotic Vision Sys., Inc.,
208 F.3d 981 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 19

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 *passim*
Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b) 1
Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b)(1) 18
Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b)(2) 18
Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b)(3) 18
Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c)(1) 19
Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) *passim*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.