

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	C.A. No. 16-453 (RGA)
)	
v.)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,)	PUBLIC VERSION
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

JOINT PROPOSED PRETRIAL ORDER

[VOLUME 2 OF 2]

Exhibits H1 – H2

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT &
TUNNELL LLP
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
skraftschik@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:
Paul J. Andre
Lisa Kobialka
James R. Hannah
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1700
pandre@kramerlevin.com
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
jhannah@kramerlevin.com

OF COUNSEL:
Michael A. Tomasulo
Gino Cheng
David K. Lin
Joe S. Netikosol
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700

David P. Enzminger
Louis L. Campbell
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 205
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 858-6500

Aaron M. Frankel
Cristina Martinez
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 715-9100
afrankel@kramerlevin.com
cmartinez@kramerlevin.com

Attorneys for Acceleration Bay LLC

Dan K. Webb
Kathleen B. Barry
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 558-5600

Krista M. Enns
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
101 California Street, 35th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 591-1000

Michael M. Murray
Anup K. Misra
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
200 Park Avenue,
New York, NY 10166
(212) 294-6700

Andrew R. Sommer
Thomas M. Dunham
Michael Woods
Paul N. Harold
Joseph C. Masullo
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 282-5000

B. Trent Webb
Aaron E. Hankel
Jordan T. Bergsten
Maxwell C. McGraw
SHOOK HARDY & BACON
2555 Grand Blvd.
Kansas City, Missouri 64108
(816) 474-6550

Attorneys for Activision Blizzard, Inc.

Dated: April 17, 2018
Public version dated: April 24, 2018

TABLE OF SCHEDULES

Item	Schedule
Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts	A
Acceleration Bay's Statement of Issues of Fact that Remain to be Litigated	B1
Activision's Statement of Issues of Fact that Remain to be Litigated	B2
Acceleration Bay's Statement of Issues of Law that Remain to be Litigated	C1
Activision's Statement of Issues of Law that Remain to be Litigated	C2
Acceleration Bay's Trial Witness List	D1
Activision's Trial Witness List	D2
Acceleration Bay's Deposition Designations	E1
Activision's Deposition Designations	E2
Acceleration Bay's Trial Exhibit List	F1
Activision's Trial Exhibit List	F2
Acceleration Bay's Brief Statement of Intended Proofs	G1
Activision's Brief Statement of Intended Proofs	G2
Acceleration Bay's Motions in Limine; Activision's Oppositions Thereto	H1
Activision's Motions in Limine; Acceleration Bay's Oppositions Thereto	H2
Joint Trial Exhibit List	F3

On April 20, 2018 at 9:00 a.m., counsel for Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC (“Acceleration Bay”) and Defendant Activision Blizzard, Inc. (“Activision”) will participate in a pretrial conference before this Court pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 16.3.

Pursuant to this Court’s Amended Scheduling Order (D.I. 62), a jury trial will take place beginning on April 30, 2018. This jury trial will address Acceleration Bay’s claims that (i) Activision directly infringes, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, certain asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344 (“344 Patent”), 6,714,966 (“966 Patent”), 6,920,497 (“497 Patent”), 6,829,634 (“634 Patent”),¹ 6,732,147 (“147 Patent”), and 6,910,069 (“069 Patent”), (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”), (ii) Activision’s infringement is willful, and (iii) Acceleration Bay is entitled to damages in the amount of no less than a reasonable royalty for Activision’s infringement. Acceleration Bay seeks from the Court findings that (iv) this case is exceptional and Acceleration Bay is entitled to its costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285; (v) it is entitled to injunctive relief; and (vi) it is entitled to an accounting of all of Activision’s infringing sales and revenues, together with post-judgment interest and pre-judgment interest from the first date of Activision’s infringement. This jury trial will also address Activision’s defenses to the claims, including that the asserted claims are invalid. There are no counterclaims to be addressed at trial.

[ACCELERATION BAY: Acceleration Bay respectfully submits that this case is ready to proceed to trial on April 30, 2018, as scheduled. The parties have aggressively pursued fact and

¹ [[**ACTIVISION:** The asserted claims of the ‘643 Patent were found indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and, as such, are invalid. D.I. 370 at 14-17 (“A non-routing table based computer readable medium ...’ is indefinite.”). Activision thus objects to Acceleration Bay’s stated intention to try its allegations of infringement of these invalid claims, as those allegations have been rendered moot. *See Shelcore, Inc. v. Durham Industries, Inc.*, 745 F.2d 621, 628 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (“[T]he issue of infringement is now moot. [Defendant] can incur no liability for ‘infringement’ of invalid claims.”); *Richdel, Inc. v. Sunspool Corp.*, 714 F.2d 1573, 1580 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (“The claim being invalid there is nothing to be infringed”).]]

expert discovery, engaged in extensive discovery motion practice and filed their respective motions for summary judgment and on *Daubert* issues and are filing herewith their respective motions *in limine*. Along with its predecessor case, this litigation has been pending for over three years. The schedules of the nearly two dozen expert and fact witnesses from around the United States, as well as counsel, have been arranged for a trial to proceed as scheduled. Acceleration Bay is prepared to address the patents and the validity issues that Activision has raised. Like Activision, like Acceleration Bay, has not yet limited the evidence as of yet as to what will be presented at trial, but anticipates that both parties will do so after the Pretrial Conference.]

[ACTIVISION: Activision respectfully submits that this case is not ready to proceed to a five day trial on April 30, 2018. Plaintiff is seeking to supplement its infringement and damage reports, and if permitted, Plaintiff's supplementation will of course require additional discovery and likely motion practice. There are six Asserted Patents, 16 Asserted Claims and 3 completely distinct accused product lines. The parties' summary judgment and Daubert Motions are still pending and a hearing date on those motions has not been set. Aside from the Daubert and non-infringement issues, there are palpable validity issues that would make a trial unnecessary. For example, the Court's claim construction ruling that the term "computer readable medium" includes carrier waves requires invalidation of those claims. In addition, the Court found that the '634 patent was indefinite, but the Plaintiff filed a motion to "correct" a claim term to avoid the Court's indefiniteness ruling. One of Defendants' written description arguments covers 5 of 6 currently Asserted Patents. If this case proceeds to trial, Plaintiff should be limited to no more than two Asserted Patents and no more than four Asserted Claims. Plaintiff should identify the maximum four Asserted Claims from two Asserted Patents no later than a week before the start of trial.]

The following matters as to the conduct of the trial have been stipulated by the parties and are hereby ordered by the Court:

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.