

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC, : CA NO. 16-453-RGA
: 16-454-RGA,
Plaintiff, : 16-455-RGA
:
v. : November 11, 2017
:
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC., :
et al., :
:
Defendants, : 9:02 o'clock a.m.
.....:

TRANSCRIPT OF MARKMAN HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD G. ANDREWS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON
BY: PHILIP A. ROVNER, ESQ

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

-and-

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL

BY: JAMES R. HANNAH, ESQ

BY: AARON M. FRANKEL, ESQ

For Defendants:

MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT & TUNNELL

BY: JACK B. BLUMENFELD, ESQ

-and-

WINSTON & STRAWN LLPO

BY: MICHAEL A. TOMASULO, ESQ

BY: MICHAEL M. MURRAY, ESQ

Also Present:

Omer Salik - Representative for Activision

Linda Zabnskie - Representative for Take-Two

Court Reporter:

LEONARD A. DIBBS

Official Court Reporter

P R O C E E D I N G S

(The proceedings occurred at 9:02 o'clock a.m. as follows:)

09:02:53 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated.

This is the time set for the Markman Hearing in Acceleration Bay v. Activision Blizzard, Civil Action No. 16-453 and two other related cases.

Mr. Rovner, good morning.

09:03:13 MR. ROVNER: Good morning, your Honor.

Phil Rovner from Potter, Anderson & Corroon for plaintiff Acceleration Bay.

With me today from Kramer Levin is John Hannah.

Mr. HANNAH: Good morning, your Honor.

09:03:24 MR. ROVNER: And Aaron Frankel.

MR. FRANKEL: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rovner.

Mr. Blumenfeld?

MR. BLUMENFELD: Good morning, your Honor.

09:03:34 Jack Blumenfeld from Morris Nichols for all of the defendants.

With me at counsel table is Mike Tomasulo and Mike Murray both from Winston & Strawn.

09:03:46 In the first row is Omer Salik from Activision, and in the second row behind him is Linda Zabnskie from Take-Two.

1 THE COURT: Good morning to you all, also.

2 All right.

3 So, I assume we're ready to start here, and presumably
4 we'll start with the plaintiff?

09:04:00 5 MR. HANNAH: Yes, your Honor.

6 I talked with the other side and it sounds like we're
7 going to start with Term 30. We think that's a good place to
8 start and that is in your Honor's order.

9 So, we'll present first on that term. And then
09:04:12 10 defendants will present first on terms that they choose. I
11 believe they are going to choose 38 to 48, the wherein clauses.
12 And then we'll proceed in order starting from 27, and then
13 present first, and we'll just go in numerical order from there.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

09:04:28 15 MR. HANNAH: So, for my colleague here, we're going to
16 kind of split up the terms, and he's going to start with Term
17 34.

18 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

19 Mr. Frankel, good morning.

09:04:40 20 MR. FRANKEL: Good morning, your Honor.

21 Before we get to Term 30, I wanted to advise the Court
22 that for Term 34, which is included in the Phase 1 briefing, the
23 parties did stipulate to plain and ordinary meaning.

24 THE COURT: Oh, okay.

09:04:55 25 MR. FRANKEL: You can cross one off the list.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 Well, I will do that right now.

3 Okay. That's good.

4 Hold on just a moment, though. I'm curious to see what

09:05:04 5 I was going to do.

6 (Pause)

7 Okay. Go ahead.

8 MR. HANNAH: Your Honor, may I pass up the slide deck?

9 THE COURT: Sure, yes.

09:05:44 10 (Slides handed up to the Court.)

11 MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, we thought the Term 30 was an
12 appropriate place to start, given the questions you proposed to
13 the parties yesterday.

14 THE COURT: All right.

09:05:54 15 MR. FRANKEL: And the disagreement between the parties
16 for this claim term is, if the claim requires that each
17 participant has at least three connections, but can have a
18 different number of connections, or if every participant has to
19 have the exact same number of connections.

09:06:13 20 When you look at the plain language of the claim, Claim
21 1 of the '069 patent, it does not require an m-regular network.

22 What this claim is talking about is a method for adding
23 participants to a network to prevent the network from becoming
24 elongated.

09:06:37 25 And what that is referring to is that, if you keep

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.