

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,

Plaintiff,

v.

**OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES,
INC.,**

Defendant.

Case No. **1:16-cv-00290-JFB-SRF**

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF
CLAIMS RELATED TO U.S. PATENT NO. RE43,867**

WHEREAS Plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge's ("IP Bridge") First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. 45) charges Defendant OmniVision Technologies Inc. ("OmniVision") with infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE43,867 (the "'867 patent");

WHEREAS OmniVision filed an answer (Dkt. 46) to IP Bridge's First Amended Complaint; and

WHEREAS, the parties wish to streamline the above-captioned case;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties to this stipulation, through their undersigned counsel and subject to the approval of the Court, that all claims and causes of action by IP Bridge against OmniVision for infringement of the '867 patent are hereby dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its respective fees and costs associated with the '867 patent.

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

By: /s/ Stamatios Stamoulis

Stamatios Stamoulis (#4606)
Richard C. Weinblatt (#5080)
Two Fox Point Centre
6 Denny Road, Suite 307
Wilmington, DE 19809
Tel: (302) 999-1540
stamoulis@swdelaw.com
weinblatt@swdelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: June 28, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ Bindu A. Palapura

David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com
sobyrne@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2018.

United States District Judge