IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE I,)
Plaintiff,))) C.A. No. 16-290-SLR
v.)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OF COUNSEL:

Edward G. Poplawski WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (323) 210-2901

James C. Yoon WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Tel: (650) 493-9300

Jennifer J. Schmidt
Madeleine E. Greene
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
One Market Street
Spear Tower, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
Tel: (415) 947-2000

Dated: August 31, 2016 1232798 / 43303

David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com
sobyrne@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant OmniVision Technologies, Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pag</u>	<u>,e</u>	
A.	Omr	The Facts Weigh in Favor of Transfer and OmniVision's Discovery Responses Do Not Alter the Analysis		
B.	The	IP Bridge Applies The Wrong Legal Standard and The Relevant Precedent Weighs In Favor of Transfer		
C.	that	ridge Cannot Rebut OmniVision's Showing the Private Interest Factors Weigh in Favor of sfer.	4	
	1.	The Propriety of Delaware as a Forum Is Irrelevant	4	
	2.	Because IP Bridge's Home Forum Is Not In Delaware, Its Choice of Forum Is Afforded Less Deference.	5	
	3.	OmniVision's Preference of Forum Favors Transfer.	6	
	4.	The Claims Arose in California—Not Delaware	6	
	5.	The Convenience of the Parties Weighs in Favor of Transfer.	7	
	6.	The Convenience of Witnesses Weighs in Favor of Transfer.	8	
	7.	The Location of Evidence Weighs in Favor of Transfer.	9	
D.		ridge Cannot Rebut OmniVision's Showing the Public Interest Factors Weigh in Favor of sfer	0	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	<u>Page(s)</u>
ChriMar Sys., Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc., C.A. No. 11-1050-GMS, 2013 WL 828220 (D. Del. Mar. 6, 2013)	4
In re Genentech, Inc., 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	3
In re Link_A_Media Devices Corp., 662 F. 3d 1221 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	3, 4, 5, 10
<i>In re Nintendo Co.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	3, 8, 10
In re TS Tech U.S. Corp., 551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	3
Intellectual Ventures LLC v. Altera, 842 F. Supp. 2d 744 (D. Del. 2012)	4
Ithaca Ventures k.s. v. Nintendo of Am. Inc., C.A. No. 13-824-GMS, 2014 WL 4829027 (D. Del. Sept. 25, 2014)	7, 8, 9, 10
Jumara v. State Farm Inc. Co., 55 F. 3d 873 (3rd Cir. 1995)	passim
Linex Techs., Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., C.A. No. 11-400-GMS, 2013 WL 105323 (D. Del. Jan. 7, 2013)	4, 7
Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Lattice Semiconductor Corp., 126 F. Supp. 3d 430 (D. Del. 2015)	7
Ricoh Co. v. Honeywell, Inc., 817 F. Supp. 473 (D.N.J. 1993)	4
Semcon Tech, LLC v. Intel Corp., C.A. No. 12-531-RGA, 2013 WL 126421 (D. Del. Jan. 8, 2013)	3, 10
Teleconference Sys. v. Proctor & Gamble Pharms., Inc., 676 F. Supp. 2d 321 (D. Del. 2009)	9
Wacoh Co. v. Kionix Inc., 845 F. Supp. 2d 597 (D. Del. 2012)	7
STATUTES & RULES	
28 U.S.C. § 1404	5
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)	1, 3, 4, 5
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(g)(1)(B)	1

Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(4)]
Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(B)	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a)(5)	••••
Fed R Civ P $45(c)(1)(R)(i)$	(

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

Plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1	Plaintiff or IP Bridge
Defendant OmniVision Technologies, Inc.	OmniVision
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)	§ 1404(a)
Opening Brief in Support of Defendant OmniVision Technologies, Inc.'s Motion to Transfer Venue to the Northern District of California (D.I. 11)	brief or Br.
Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion to Transfer Venue (D.I. 22)	opposition or Opp.
Plaintiff's Complaint (D.I. 1)	complaint
Answer to Complaint (D.I. 8)	answer
Jumara v. State Farm Inc. Co., 55 F. 3d 873, 879 (3rd Cir. 1995)	Jumara

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

