Case 1:16-cv-00290-MN Document 160-1 Filed 01/09/19 Page 1 of 74 PageID #: 5079

EXHIBIT A

```
Case 1:16-cv-00290-MN Document 160-1 Filed 01/09/19 Page 2 of 74 PageID #: 5080
                          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    01:12:40
                              FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
                GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,
                                              )
                           Plaintiff,
                                             )
                                             ) C.A. No. 16-290(MN)
                v.
                OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
                             Defendant.
                                              )
                                 Friday, December 7, 2018
                                 11:06 a.m.
                                 Teleconference
                                 844 King Street
                                 Wilmington, Delaware
                BEFORE: THE HONORABLE MARYELLEN NOREIKA
                         United States District Court Judge
                APPEARANCES:
                            STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT, LLC
                            BY: STAMATIOS STAMOULIS, ESQ.
                             -and-
                            SHORE CHAN DePUMPO
                            BY: CHIJIOKE OFFOR, ESQ.
                            BY: SAMUEL E. JOYNER, ESQ.
                                      Counsel for the Plaintiff
DOCKET
```

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 1:1	6-cv-00290-MN Document 160-1 Filed 01/09/19 Page 3 of 74 PageID #: 5081 $\frac{2}{2}$
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP
4	BY: DAVID ELLIS MOORE, ESQ.
5	-and-
6	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI BY: LISA D. ZANG, ESQ.
7	BY: ERIK J. CARLSON, ESQ. BY: JOSE VILLARREAL, ESQ.
8	Counsel for the Defendant
9	
10	
11	
12	- 000 -
13	PROCEEDINGS
14	(Proceedings commenced at 11:06 a.m., and the
15	following occurred in chambers:)
16	
17	
18	
11:07:33 19	THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. Who is
11:07:34 20	there, please?
11:07:36 21	MR. STAMOULIS: Good morning, Your Honor. This
11:07:38 22	is Stam Stamoulis on behalf of plaintiffs. And I also want
11:07:41 23	to apologize, I had some technical difficulties with the
11:07:4624	bridge today, so I apologize for dialing in late.
11:07:4925	With me on the line from the Shore Chan firm

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 1:16-cv-00290-MN Document 160-1 Filed 01/09/19 Page 4 of 74 PageID #: 5082

11:07:51 1	first is	Samuel	Joyner	and	Chijioke	Offor.
-------------------	----------	--------	--------	-----	----------	--------

11:07:542THE COURT: Okay. Welcome. And for OmniVision.11:07:593MR. MOORE: Good morning, Your Honor. David11:08:014Moore from Potter Anderson. With me today from Wilson11:08:055Sonsini are Jose Villarreal as well as Erik Carlson and Lisa11:08:086Zang. And Mr. Carlson and Ms. Zang are associates with11:08:127Wilson Sonsini and they will be presenting today.

THE COURT: Thank you for that. And I 11:08:15 8 11:08:17 9 appreciate the apology on the delay. It certainly happens 11:08:23 10 and I understand that. I do only have about an hour today, or I had about an hour today, and you have a lot of issues. 11:08:27 11 11:08:31 12 So what I wanted to do to try and streamline things is there are a number of issues that I would like to hear argument 11:08:3613 11:08:41 14 about and there are a number of things that I think I can address at the beginning of the call. And so I want to do 11:08:44 15 11:08:48 16 that.

11:08:4917I'm looking at Mr. Moore's November 28th letter11:08:5718first with respect to the request that OmniVision has made.11:09:0319I would like to hear, or I have some questions with respect11:09:0720to what's been numbered as one and two.

11:09:1121With respect to request number three, I'm going11:09:2122to deny the request for the interrogatory that ask for11:09:2823supplemental interrogatory on the identification of Godo and11:09:3224all of its affiliates.

11:09:34 25

With respect to number four, I know that there

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

11:09:37 1 is an issue as to whether or not this has been met and 11:09:41 2 conferred on, but I will say that I expect both parties to 11:09:46 3 cooperate with respect to third parties. I think that you 11:09:51 4 should exchange any information that you have about what 11:09:54 5 subpoenas have been issued and the parties need to work 11:09:57 6 together to schedule them.

11:10:00 7 And then with respect to number five for translations, I will say that my general practice is that if 11:10:06 8 11:10:10 9 a party is relying on a document in interrogatories, in expert reports, if it's on the exhibit list, then you must 11:10:15 10 produce translations of the documents. If Godo is saying 11:10:20 11 11:10:23 12 that they have removed certain documents and they're not relying on them, I will not require them to produce a 11:10:26 13 translation, but I don't want to hear about those documents 11:10:2914 11:10:33 15 ever again and have you say now we're relying on them and we 11:10:3816 can give a translation at some point in the future. So I'll 11:10:41 17 leave it up to you to make a determination, but any 11:10:45 18 documents that are currently in Japanese that have been 11:10:49 19 relied on in the interrogatories, a translation should be produced. If you have removed certain references and you 11:10:55 20 11:10:58 21 don't want to produce a translation, that's fine, but then 11:11:02 22 you are not going to be able to affirmatively rely on those 11:11:05 23 documents. 11:11:0624

11:11:0624So those are my preliminary rulings. And as I11:11:1225said, I'll hear argument on the other two issues.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.