# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,	§
<i>Plaintiff,</i> v. OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	§
	§
	§
	§
	§
	§
	ş
Defendant.	§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:16-cv-00290-SLR JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

# STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND VENUE DISCOVERY

WHEREAS on May 16, 2016, Defendant OmniVision Technologies, Inc. ("OmniVision" or "Defendant") filed a Motion to Transfer Venue to the Northern District of California [D.I. 10-13] ("Motion to Transfer");

WHEREAS Plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1's ("IP Bridge" or "Plaintiff") Opposition to the Motion to Transfer is currently due on June 3, 2016. [D.I. 11];

WHEREAS OmniVision and IP Bridge (collectively "the parties") have agreed to conduct early discovery relating only to venue and OmniVision's Motion to Transfer as follows:

- Within two business days of entry of the Court's Scheduling Order, IP Bridge will serve its venue discovery requests on OmniVision; and
- OmniVision will respond to IP Bridge's venue discovery requests within fifteen (15) days of being served with those requests;

WHEREAS the parties agree that all other discovery and initial disclosures (with the exception of the disclosures required under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 7.1) shall be stayed pending a decision from the Court ruling on OmniVision's Motion to Transfer;

#### Case 1:16-cv-00290-MN Document 16 Filed 05/26/16 Page 2 of 5 PageID #: 758

WHEREAS the parties further agree to stipulate to an extension of time for IP Bridge to file its Opposition to OmniVision's Motion to Transfer until fifteen (15) days after OmniVision responds to IP Bridge's venue discovery requests, if IP Bridge does not file a motion to compel relating to the venue discovery by the 15th day after OmniVision provides its responses; and

WHEREAS OmniVision reserves the right to seek venue discovery upon receipt of IP Bridge's Opposition to OmniVision's Motion to Transfer and to seek an extension of time to file its reply until fifteen (15) days after IP Bridge responds to OmniVision's venue discovery requests;

WHEREAS the parties further agree that OmniVision's reply on the Motion to Transfer will be due within fifteen (15) days of IP Bridge's Opposition, if OmniVision does not serve venue discovery requests on IP Bridge.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiff and Defendant in the abovereferenced actions, through their undersigned counsel and subject to the approval of the Court, that:

- IP Bridge may conduct early discovery relating only to venue and OmniVision's Motion to Transfer and that within two business days of entry of the Court's Scheduling Order, IP Bridge will serve its venue discovery requests on OmniVision;
- OmniVision will respond to IP Bridge's venue discovery requests within fifteen (15) days of being served with those requests;
- 3. All other discovery and initial disclosures (with the exception of the disclosures required under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 7.1) shall be stayed pending a decision from the Court ruling on OmniVision's Motion to Transfer;

- 4. IP Bridge's deadline to file its Opposition to OmniVision's Motion to Transfer is extended until fifteen (15) days after OmniVision responds to IP Bridge's venue discovery requests, if IP Bridge does not file a motion to compel relating to the venue discovery by the 15th day after OmniVision provides its responses; and
- 5. OmniVision shall have the right to seek venue discovery upon receipt of IP Bridge's Opposition to OmniVision's Motion to Transfer and to seek an extension of time to file its reply until fifteen (15) days after IP Bridge responds to OmniVision's venue discovery requests;
- OmniVision's reply on the Motion to Transfer is due within fifteen (15) days of IP Bridge's Opposition, if OmniVision does not serve venue discovery requests on IP Bridge.

# STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

By: <u>/s/ Stamatios Stamoulis</u>

Stamatios Stamoulis (#4606) Richard C. Weinblatt (#5080) Two Fox Point Centre 6 Denny Road, Suite 307 Wilmington, DE 19809 (302) 999-1540 Stamoulis@swdelaw.com Weinblatt@swdelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1

OF COUNSEL:

SHORE CHAN DEPUMPO LLP Michael W. Shore (pro hac vice) shore@shorechan.com Alfonso Garcia Chan (pro hac vice) achan@shorechan.com Joseph F. DePumpo (pro hac vice) jdepumpo@shorechan.com Jennifer M. Rynell (pro hac vice) jrynell@shorechan.com Russell J. DePalma (pro hac vice) rdepalma@shorechan.com Ari Rafilson (pro hac vice) arafilson@shorechan.com 901 Main Street, Suite 3300 Dallas, Texas 75202 Telephone: (214) 593-9110 Facsimile: (214) 593-9111

OHASHI & HORN LLP Hiromasa Ohashi (*pro hac vice*) ohashi@ohashiandhorn.com Jeff J. Horn Jr. (*pro hac vice*) horn@ohashiandhorn.com Cody A. Kachel (*pro hac vice*) ckachel@ohashiandhorn.com 1120 Avenue of the Americas, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (214) 743-4170 Facsimile: (214) 743-4179

## POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

## By: <u>/s/ David E.Moore</u>

David E.Moore (#3983) Bindu A. Palapura (#5370) Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446) Hercules Plaza P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899 (302) 984-6000 dmoore@potteranderson.com bpalapura@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant OmniVision Technologies, Inc.

# WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation

Edward G. Poplawski (*pro hac vice*) 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (323) 210-2901 epoplawski@wsgr.com

James C. Yoon (*pro hac vice*) 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Tel: (650) 493-9300 jyoon@wsgr.com

Jennifer J. Schmidt (*pro hac vice*) Madeleine E. Greene (*pro hac vice*) One Market Street Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: (415) 947-2000 jschmidt@wsgr.com mgreene@wsgr.com

SO ORDERED, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016.

United States District Judge

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

DOCKET

Δ

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.