

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE I,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
) C.A. No. 16-290-SLR
 v.)
) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
 OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
)
 Defendant.)

**OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANT OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S
MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

OF COUNSEL:

Edward G. Poplawski
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: (323) 210-2901

James C. Yoon
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Tel: (650) 493-9300

Jennifer J. Schmidt
Madeleine E. Greene
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
One Market Street
Spear Tower, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
Tel: (415) 947-2000

Dated: May 16, 2016
1222889 / 43303

David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com
sobyrne@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Defendant OmniVision
Technologies, Inc.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
III. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
A. IP Bridge Has No Connection to Delaware.	3
B. OmniVision’s Documents and Witnesses Are Located in the Northern District of California.	3
V. APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS	4
VI. ARGUMENT	5
A. IP Bridge Could Have Brought Its Lawsuit in the Northern District of California.	5
B. All Relevant Factors Under 28 U.S.C 1404(a) Weigh Strongly in Favor of Transfer to the Northern District of California.	6
1. The Private Interest Factors Strongly Favor Transfer to the Northern District of California.	7
a. Plaintiff’s Choice of Forum Should Be Accorded Minimal Weight.	7
b. OmniVision’s Preferred Forum Weighs in Favor of Transfer.	8
c. Transfer Is Favored Because OmniVision’s Products Are Designed and Developed in the Northern District of California.	9
d. The Convenience of the Parties and Witnesses Favors Transfer.	11
e. The Location of Books and Records Favors Transfer.	13

2.	The Public Interest Factors Favor Transfer to the Northern District of California.....	14
a.	Practical Considerations that Could Make Trial Easy, Expeditious, or Inexpensive Weigh in Favor of Transfer.....	14
b.	The Northern District of California Has A Local Interest in Deciding the Dispute.	15
c.	The Remaining Public Interest Factors Are Neutral or Inapplicable.	16
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Affymetrix, Inc. v. Synteni, Inc.,
28 F. Supp. 2d 192 (D. Del. 1998).....15

Angiodynamics, Inc. v. Vascular Solutions, Inc.,
C.A. No. 09-554-JJF, 2010 WL 3037478 (D. Del. July 30, 2010).....5

Audatex N. Am., Inc. v. Mitchell Int’l, Inc.,
C.A. No. 12–139 GMS, 2013 WL 3293611 (D. Del. June 28, 2013).....11

Genetic Techs. Ltd. v. Natera, Inc.,
C.A. No. 12–1737–LPS, 2014 WL 1466471 (D. Del. Apr. 15, 2014)9

In re Genentech, Inc.,
566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... *passim*

In re Hoffman–La Roche, Inc.,
587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....10, 15

In re Link_A_Media Devices Corp.,
662 F.3d 1221 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....2, 7, 8, 15

In re Nintendo Co.,
589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....4

In re TS Tech USA Corp.,
551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....14

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Altera Corp.,
842 F. Supp. 2d 744 (D. Del. 2012).....10

IpVenture, Inc. v. Acer, Inc.,
879 F. Supp. 2d 426 (D. Del. 2012).....15

Ivoclar Vivadent AG v. 3M Co.,
C.A. No. 11-1183-GMS, 2012 WL 2374657 (D. Del. June 22, 2012).....7, 8

Jumara v. State Farm Ins. Co.,
55 F.3d 873 (3d Cir. 1995)..... *passim*

Linex Techs., Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.,
C.A. No. 11-400-GMS, 2013 WL 105323 (D. Del. Jan. 7, 2013).....7, 8, 9, 10

McRo, Inc. v. Activision Blizzard, Inc.,
 C.A. No. 12-1508-LPS-CJB, 2013 WL 6571618 (D. Del. Dec. 13, 2013),
 adopted by 2013 WL 6869866 (D. Del. Dec. 30, 2013).....10

MoneyCat Ltd v. PayPal Inc.,
 C.A. No. 13-1358, 2014 WL 2042699 (D. Del. May 15, 2014).....8

Nalco Co. v. AP Tech Grp. Inc.,
 C.A. No. 13-1063-LPS, 2014 WL 3909114 (D. Del. Aug. 8, 2014).....9

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Lattice Semiconductor Corp.,
 126 F. Supp. 3d 430 (D. Del. 2015).....9, 10, 11, 15

Pennwalt Corp. v. Purex Indus., Inc.,
 659 F. Supp. 287 (D. Del. 1986).....7

Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno,
 454 U.S. 235 (1981).....4

Ricoh Co. v. Honeywell, Inc.,
 817 F. Supp. 473 (D.N.J. 1993).....6

S.C. Johnson & Sons, Inc. v. Gillette Co.,
 571 F. Supp. 1185 (N.D.Ill. 1983).....6

Semcon Tech, LLC v. Intel Corp.,
 C.A. No. 12-531-RGA, 2013 WL 126421 (D. Del. Jan. 8, 2013).....7, 8, 9, 14

Van Dusen v. Barrack,
 376 U.S. 612 (1964).....4

Wacoh Co. v. Kionix Inc.,
 845 F. Supp. 2d 597 (D. Del. 2012)..... *passim*

STATUTES

28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2).....5

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)1, 4, 5

RULES

Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.....11, 13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.