

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 15-228 (RGA)
)	
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 15-282 (RGA)
)	
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 15-311 (RGA)
)	
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE,)	
INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC. and)	
2K SPORTS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, on November 23, 2015, the parties submitted competing forms of a proposed protective order that included, among other things, competing provisions regarding the review of source code;

WHEREAS, on December 1, 2015, the Court issued an order adopting the source code provisions contained in Defendants' proposed protective order (the "December 1 Order");

WHEREAS, on December 7, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's December 1 Order (the "Motion for Reconsideration");

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2015, Defendants filed a revised proposed protective order to be consistent with the Court's December 1 Order ("the Interim Protective Order");

WHEREAS, the Motion for Reconsideration has not been adjudicated; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that entering the Interim Protective Order is without prejudice to Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration and is beneficial because inspection of Defendants' source code began the week of December 28, 2015;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

1. The Interim Protective Order shall govern Plaintiff's review of Defendants' source code and the parties, their representatives and their retained experts shall be bound by the terms of the Interim Protective Order, including, *inter alia*, any requirement that certain parties execute a form of undertaking before viewing or receiving Designated Material (as defined in the Interim Protective Order);
2. If the Court grants Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration or otherwise modifies the Protective Order, any changes to the Protective Order (the "Revised Protective Order") will be considered to be in effect retroactively to the date this stipulation is entered. Any restrictions contained in the Interim Protective order that are not contained in any Revised Protective Order shall be null and void upon entry of any Revised Protective Order;
3. If the Court denies Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and does not otherwise modify the Protective Order, the Interim Protective Order shall continue to be binding in this case.

4. Entering into this stipulation does not waive or moot Plaintiff's pending Motion for Reconsideration.

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

OF COUNSEL:

Paul J. Andre
Lisa Kobialka
James R. Hannah
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS &
FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1700

Aaron M. Frankel
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS &
FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 715-9100

By: /s/ Philip A. Rovner
Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

OF COUNSEL:

Michael A. Tomasulo
David P. Enzminger
Dae Hee Cho
David K. Lin
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700

Daniel K. Webb
Sarah J. Kalermis
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 558-5600

By: /s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
skraftschik@mnat.com

Attorneys for Defendants

DATED: _____

HONORABLE RICHARD G. ANDREWS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE